

# **Open Pacific Coast Study**

Santa Barbara-Ventura Region Sea Level Rise & Coastal Impacts Planning Workshop

April 14, 2015





#### California Coastal Analysis and Mapping Project

Two Companion Large-Scale Efforts:

- Open Pacific Coast (OPC) Study
- San Francisco Bay Area Coastal (BAC) Study

Re-study flood risk along the open coast and inland bays of all California coastal counties



Re-map the elevation and inland extent of wave-induced coastal flooding

www.r9coastal.org





### **Overall OPC Study Schedule**



OPC Study Phase 2 includes Southern California Counties



### Santa Barbara / Ventura Schedule

Task Name	<b>Completion Date</b>
Santa Barbara	
Kick-Off Meeting	December-11
Present Work Maps	March-16
Work Map Comment Period	May-16
Resilience Meeting	July-16
Issue Preliminary FIRM Panels	January-17
FIRM Panel Effective date	June-18
Ventura	
Kick-Off Meeting	December-11
Present Work Maps	August-15
Work Map Comment Period	October-15
Resilience Meeting	November-15
Issue Preliminary FIRM Panels	May-16
FIRM Panel Effective date	September-17





### **Coastal Study Process**





### **Data Acquisition & Processing**

6

#### **Offshore Water Levels**

- 50-year (1960-2009) hourly stillwater level (SWL) time series
  - Rely on long-term observed tide station records where available
  - Use predicted tide data and adjacent station data to fill gaps
- Extreme tide frequency analysis for stillwater elevations (SWEL)
  - Based on observed annual maxima tide data
  - 50-, 20-, 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2percent annual chance SWELs





### **Data Acquisition & Processing**

#### **Deepwater Wave Hindcast (OWI) – Oceanweather Inc.**

oceanweather inc.

oceanweather inc.

41

FWC7km Spectral Archive

Wave Grid

Spectra Archive

- Global Reanalysis of Ocean Waves (GROW) Model
- 50-year hourly hindcast of waves (1960-2009)
- COASTAL model provides wave spectra at 124 grid point locations
- Extensive validation with buoy data



### **Data Acquisition & Processing**

# Nearshore Wave Transformation – Scripps Institution of Oceanography

- SIO SHELF linear spectral refraction and shoaling model from deepwater to surf zone (15m water depth in San Mateo at 200 m spacing)
- 50-year hourly hindcast of nearshore waves (1960-2009)
- Model validation with buoy data

Wave height and peak direction







### 1-D Coastal Hazard Analyses

- Transect-based analysis
- 59 analysis transects
- Transect locations and density based on:
  - Shoreline characteristics
  - Shoreline orientation
  - Nearshore
    bathymetry
  - Wave climate
  - Land use and development

FEMA





### **Total Water Level**

#### Components of the total water level (TWL)

- Astronomical tide (predicted tide): 5-7 ft
- Surge components: atmospheric pressure, wind setup, El Niño sea level effects: 1-3 ft
- Wave components: wave setup + runup: 10-20 ft



10

Increasing Resilience Togethe



# Analyzing Wave Setup, Runup, and Overtopping

#### **Setup and Runup Methods**

- Stockdon (2006): sandy beaches and dunes with slope < 1:9</p>
- DIM (*Pacific Guidelines*): rocky beaches with slope > 1:9
- DIM + TAW (van der Meer): steep barriers (bluff, seawalls, and revetments)

#### **Overtopping Method**

Cox-Machemehl (inland extend of high velocity zone beyond crest)

#### **Dune Erosion Method**

- MK & A geometric dune erosion model
- Kriebel and Dean time dependence adjustment

#### **Extreme Value Statistical Analysis**

- Primary: Peaks-over-threshold (POT) with Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD)
- Secondary: Annual maxima (AM) with Generalized Extreme Value Distribution (GEV)





### Estimating Extreme TWLs



- TWL time series is computed for 50-year hindcast period
  - Peak TWLs values are extracted for extreme value statistical analysis
- EVA to determine: 50-, 20-, 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2percent annual chance TWLs



#### **Coastal Structures**

- Wide variety of coastal structures present along CA coast
- BakerAECOM reviewed: LiDAR, as-builts from community, aerial photos, site visit notes, USACE drawings and surveys, and Coastal Commission GIS layers to identify and represent structures in profile









#### **Coastal Structures**

- BakerAECOM developed global treatments to guide decisions at each site based on FEMA's Pacific Guidelines and USACE guidance
- Consider historical performance, structure condition, as-built drawings, maintenance history, certification, permits, and engineering judgment
- Will structure withstand base flood event?
  - Yes  $\rightarrow$  Conduct <u>Intact</u> analysis only
  - No or uncertain  $\rightarrow$  Conduct Intact and Failed Analysis
- Failed Analysis = partial failure or removal, depending on site conditions
- Map the most hazardous Base Flood Elevation only





### Flood Hazard Mapping

#### **Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) Mapping**

- <u>Zone VE</u>: Inundated by 1-percent annual chance flood with additional waveinduced hazards (wave runup, wave overtopping splash, high velocity, or overland wave propagation); detailed Base Flood Elevation (BFE)
- <u>Zone AE</u>: Inundated by 1-percent annual chance flood; detailed BFE
- Both high hazard zones carry mandatory flood insurance purchase requirements
- <u>Zone X (shaded)</u>: Inundated by 0.2-percent annual chance flood (or inundated by <1 ft for 1-percent flood)</li>





Coastal

Hazard

Areas

High

### Primary Frontal Dune V Zone Mapping

#### Primary Frontal Dune (PFD) V Zone Mapping

- <u>Definition</u>: "a continuous or nearly continuous mound or ridge of sand with relatively steep seaward and landward slopes immediately landward and adjacent to the beach and subject to erosion and overtopping from high tides and waves during major coastal storms..."
- <u>Landward extent</u>: "a point where there is a distinct chance from a relatively steep slope to a relatively mild slope" (i.e., the *dune heel*)
- <u>Implications</u>: The PFD represents the landward extension of the VE Zone
- <u>Purpose</u>: Floodplain management tool to protect dunes and regulate coastal construction practices and building standards
- Delineation of the PFD is mandated by FEMA regulations







#### FEMA Regulatory Flood Insurance Rate Map





Increasing Resilience Together

### **Coastal Non-Regulatory Products**

- Changes Since Last FIRM
- Flood Depth Grids
- Flood Risk Analysis Grids
  - 50-, 20-, 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent annual chance
- Primary Frontal Dune Location
- Increased Flooding Scenarios (BFE + 1 ft, BFE + 2 ft, BFE + 3ft )



### **Coastal Non-Regulatory Products**

#### Figure 2: Example of the Increased Flooding Scenarios Dataset









# FEMA West Coast Sea Level Rise Pilot Study

#### **City and County of San Francisco**





#### **SLR Pilot Study and FEMA** *Pacific Guidelines*

#### **Direct Analysis Linear Superposition** Offshore Zone **Offshore Waves Offshore Waves** Assume negligible **SLR** effect Wave Transformations Wave Transformations Shoaling Zone **Nearshore Waves** Water Levels **Nearshore Waves** Water Levels SLR Wave Setup Wave Setup **Shoreline** Erosion Erosion Change & Profile Wave Runup Wave Runup Adjustment Coastal Coastal ~ ← Structures Structures Overtopping Overtopping Surf Zone **Overland Wave Propagation Overland Wave Propagation** and Backshore (if necessary) (if necessary) TWL TWL **SLR** Flood Hazard Mapping Flood Hazard Mapping $TWL_{SIR} > TWL + SLR$ **FEMA** $TWL_{SLR} = TWL + SLR$ 21

#### **Pilot Study SLR Scenarios \***

- Mid-range values for 2050 and 2100
  - +12" and + 36"
- High end of range for 2050 and 2100
  - +24" and +66"

\* Sea-Level Rise for the Coasts of California, Oregon, and Washington, National Research Council, 2012





#### **San Francisco County**



San Francisco County Shoreline









China Beach (Narrow beach + seawall+ rocky bluffs)

Ocean Beach (Wide beach + seawall)

Ocean Beach (Narrow beach + eroding bluff)



#### Current Condition Mapping Sloat Blvd – Armored Low Bluff



- 1% Runup (TWL) = 26 ft NAVD
- 0.2% Runup (TWL ) = 27 ft NAVD
- No overtopping







#### Linear Superposition vs. Direct Analysis Sloat Blvd – Armored Low Bluff

- BFE increase exceeds the SLR increase by a factor of ~2
- Overtopping occurs at much lower SLR under direct analysis vs. linear superposition method

SLR (ft)	ΔBFE (ft)
0	-
1.0	2.2
2.0	4.3
3.0	6.3
4.0	9.6
5.5	12.9



#### Current Condition Mapping Cliff House – Natural High Bluff



- 1% Runup (TWL) = 25 ft NAVD
- 0.2% Runup (TWL) = 26 ft NAVD
- No overtopping







### Linear Superposition vs. Direct Analysis

**Cliff House – Natural High Bluff** 

 BFE increase exceeds the SLR increase by a factor of ~3

SLR (ft)	ΔBFE (ft)
0	-
1.0	3.5
2.0	6.2
3.0	8.6
4.0	11.5
5.5	16.1





# Armored Shoreline – Potential Shoreline Retreat







### Stay Informed Throughout the Study



- Meetings
- Materials
- Study Updates





### **FEMA Resources**



- Follow FEMA R9 via Twitter @femaregion9
- Sign up for the CCAMP E-newsletter at www.r9map.org/SiteAssets/signUPNewsletter.html



 Flood Map Center: https://msc.fema.gov/portal -Print a FREE flood map







## **Questions & Answers**



