## Spring 2024, MATH 408, Mid-Term Exam 1: Solutions and more

**Problem 1.** Given the set of numbers 30, 55, 60, 70, 65, and assuming that this is an independent random sample from a normal population, construct a 95% confidence interval for the standard deviation. Show your work by filling in the corresponding numerical values:

- sample mean = 56.000
- $s_n = 15.572$
- the two quantiles of  $\chi^2$  you use: with n = 5, use  $\chi_4^2$ , so that the upper quantile is 11.143 (area above/to the right is 0.025), the lower is 0.483 (area above is 0.975)
- the final answer: [9, 45]

More: How will your solution change if, instead of the individual numbers, you are given the summary statistics  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k^2$ ? How will you proceed if n is large (e.g. n = 256)?

**Problem 2.** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be an independent random sample such that the pdf of each  $X_k$  is

$$f(x;\theta) = \frac{\theta^3}{2} x^2 e^{-\theta x}, \ x > 0, \ \theta > 0.$$

Construct the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$ . Make sure to verify that you indeed maximized the likelihood function.

Solution/Answer: the likelihood function is  $2^{-n} heta^{3n}\Biggl(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k^2\Biggr)\cdot \exp\left(-n hetaar{X}_n
ight)$ , with

 $\bar{X}_n$  denoting the sample mean. Then the (equivalent) function to maximize is  $\ell(\theta) = n(3\ln\theta - \theta \bar{X}_n)$ . The equation  $\ell'(\theta) = 0$  gives  $\theta = 3/\bar{X}_n$ , which is the global max of the function:  $\ell''(\theta) = -3/\theta^2 < 0$ . Thus,  $\hat{\theta}^{(MLE)} = 3/\bar{X}_n$ .

MORE: THINK HOW YOU WOULD CONSTRUCT A CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR  $\theta$  GIVEN NU-MERICAL VALUES OF n AND  $\bar{X}_n$ . YOU CAN TRY BOTH NORMAL APPROXIMATION (FOR LARGE n) AND THE EXACT PIVOT BASED ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF  $n\bar{X}_n$ . Then think how to construct a confidence interval for  $1/\sqrt{\theta}$  or some other non-linear function of  $\theta$ .

**Problem 3.** Suppose that two independent random samples from two populations X and Y resulted in the following numerical values for the sample mean and standard deviation:

$$X_n = 11.2, \ s_{n,X} = 8.5, \ Y_n = 10.5, \ s_{n,Y} = 7.0.$$

Assume that n = 550. Is there a (statistically) significant **difference** between the population means of X and Y? Justify your conclusion by computing the corresponding P value.

Solution/Answer: using large sample approximation (more precisely, combining the CLT with LLN and the Slutsky theorem),  $\bar{X}_n$  is approximately normal with mean  $\mu_X$  (the population mean of X) and variance  $\sigma_X^2/n \approx s_{n,X}^2/n$ ;  $\bar{Y}_n$  is approximately normal with mean  $\mu_Y$  (the population mean of Y) and variance  $\sigma_X^2/n \approx s_{n,X}^2/n \approx s_{n,X}^2/n$ . Then  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_n)(s_{n,X}^2 + s_{n,Y}^2)^{-1/2}$  is approximately standard normal and the corresponding

Then  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_n)(s_{n,X}^2 + s_{n,Y}^2)^{-1/2}$  is approximately standard normal and the corresponding two-sided test statistic is  $\phi = \sqrt{n}|\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_n|(s_{n,X}^2 + s_{n,Y}^2)^{-1/2}$ . The observed value is  $\phi^* = 1.5$  corresponding to the *P* value  $\mathbb{P}(|Z| > 1.5) = 0.136$ , meaning that the numbers do not indicate a statistically significant difference between the population means.

More: Assuming the same values for the sample means and standard deviations, think about the following questions. Will the conclusion change if n = 500 (or any n < 550, for that matter) and why? What is the smallest value of n that would lead to a different conclusion? What if we are only interested in whether the sample mean of X is bigger?

**Problem 4.** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be an independent random sample from the distribution with pdf

$$f(x;\theta) = \frac{\theta^3}{2} x^2 e^{-\theta x}, \ x > 0, \ \theta > 0$$

Construct the most powerful test of  $H_0: \theta = 2$  against  $H_1: \theta = 5$  at the level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Solution/Answer: The ratio of likelihoods that we want to be small when rejecting  $H_0$  is, up to a constant,  $\exp\left((-2+5)n\bar{X}_n\right) = \exp(3n\bar{X}_n)$ , where  $\bar{X}_n$  is the sample mean. As a result, we reject  $H_0$  if  $n\bar{X}_n$  is small.

Call the original distribution  $\operatorname{Gamma}(3,\theta)$ . Because, under the null hypothesis  $\theta = 2$  the population is  $\operatorname{Gamma}(3,2)$ , we conclude that, under the null hypothesis,  $n\bar{X}_n$  is  $\operatorname{Gamma}(3n,2)$ , so that the rejection rule at level 0.05 is  $n\bar{X}_n \leq \operatorname{Gamma}(3n,2)_{0.95}$ , where the quantile on the right corresponds to the 'area to the right of the point'. From problem 2 we know that the sample mean is MLE for  $3/\theta$ , that is, the bigger the  $\theta$ , the smaller the value of  $\bar{X}_n$  we expect to measure,

## confirming that the rejection rule makes sense.

More: Assuming n = 30, what is the power of this test? See if you get upper and lower bounds using the  $\chi^2$  tables and a more precise value using your favorite statistical software.

**Problem 5.** For the first-year students at a certain university, the correlation between SAT scores and first-year GPA was 0.36. Assume the distribution of the scores is jointly normal. Predict the percentile rank on the first-year GPA for a student whose percentile rank on the SAT was 85%.

Answer: with  $\Phi$  denoting the standard normal cdf, we compute the predicted ranking as  $\Phi(0.36\Phi^{-1}(0.85)) = \Phi(0.36*1.0364) = 0.64546 = 65\%$ 

More: how will your answer change if, for some strange reasons, the correlation is negative:  $\rho = -0.36$ ? Can you think of a situation where the correlation is naturally negative and propose a corresponding problem?