USC University of Southern California

Individual Report for Instructor Lototsky (39482-20152 : MATH-606 Topics in Stochastic Processes (39482))

USC Student Course Evaluations - Summer 2015

Project Audience 17
Responses Received 7
Response Ratio 41.18%

**Creation Date**   Mon, Aug 31, 2015

Technology powered by blue

Download PDF

How would you rate the instructor's effectiveness on the following items?

1. Clearly articulated course goals.

|		
1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

2. Organized course to achieve those goals.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

### 3. Carefully explained difficult concepts, methods, and subject matter.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

4. Encouraged students to participate in their learning (e.g., through discussion, projects, study groups and other appropriate activities).

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

5. Was accessible to students (e.g., during office hours, before and after class, etc.).

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value

6. Evaluated student work in fair and appropriate ways.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7

7. Was enthusiastic about communicating the subject matter.   7

Mean   5.00

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

8. Stimulated student interest in the subject matter.   5.00

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

## How would you rate the instructor's effectiveness on the following items? (continued)

9. Presented subject matter in ways that were

10. Provided students a valuable learning experience.

Overall, how would you rate this instructor?

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

(Academically challenging?)

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	7	100.00%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

Overall, how would you rate this course?

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	1	14.29%
5 Excellent	6	85.71%
Total	7	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.86
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.38
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.35
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.14
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.13

What were this instructor's main strengths?

Comment

quite knowledgeable, ready for the lectures and punctuality

Always explains ideas and concepts by providing detailed and rigorous justification while at the same time he manages to show to the audience the big picture. Has a very expanded knowledge of the literature related to the concepts he presents so even for questions where an immediate answer is not available, he will direct you to the correct paper to find it yourself.

Additional comments?

Comment

This was an ideal summer math class.