USC University of
Southern California

Individual Report for Instructor Lototsky (39718-20151 : MATH-508 Filtering Theory (39718))

USC Student Course Evaluations - Spring 2015

Project Audience 5
Responses Received 3
Response Ratio 60.0%

Creation Date   Tue, May 26, 2015

Technology powered by blue

Download PDF

How would you rate the instructor's effectiveness on the following items?

1. Clearly articulated course goals.

|		
1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	1	33.33%
5 Excellent	2	66.67%
Total	3	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	4.67
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.58
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.47
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.33
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.27

2. Organized course to achieve those goals.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	2	66.67%
5 Excellent	1	33.33%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	4.33
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	+/-0.58
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.47
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.33
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.27

3. Carefully explained difficult concepts, methods, and subject matter.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	1	33.33%
4 Above Average	1	33.33%
5 Excellent	1	33.33%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	4.00
Median	4.00
Mode	3, 4, 5
Standard Deviation	+/-1.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.82
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.58
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.47

4. Encouraged students to participate in their learning (e.g., through discussion, projects, study groups and other appropriate activities).

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	1	33.33%
5 Excellent	2	66.67%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	4.67
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.58
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.47
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.33
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.27

5. Was accessible to students (e.g., during office hours, before and after class, etc.).

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	3	100.00%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

6. Evaluated student work in fair and appropriate ways.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	3	100.00%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	5.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

7. Was enthusiastic about communicating the subject matter.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	3	100.00%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	5.00

8. Stimulated student interest in the subject matter.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	1	33.33%
5 Excellent	2	66.67%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	4.67
Median	5.00

Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.00
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.00

Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.58
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.47
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.33
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.27

How would you rate the instructor's effectiveness on the following items? (continued)

9. Presented subject matter in ways that were academically challenging.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	2	66.67%
5 Excellent	1	33.33%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	4.33
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	+/-0.58
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.47
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.33
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.27

10. Provided students a valuable learning experience.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	2	66.67%
5 Excellent	1	33.33%
Total	3	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	4.33
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	+/-0.58
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.47
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.33
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.27

Overall, how would you rate this instructor?

Overall, how would you rate this course?

1 Poor	0	0.00%		
2 Below Average	0	0.00%		
3 Average	0	0.00%		
4 Above Average	2	66.67%		
5 Excellent	1	33.33%		
Total	3			

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	4.33
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	+/-0.58
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.47
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.33
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.27

What were this instructor's main strengths?

Comment

Personality and knowledge.

How might this instructor improve his or her teaching effectiveness?

Comment

I am ok with everything.