

Basic setting:

- $\mathbb{F} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, stochastic basis with the usual assumptions, that is, \mathbb{P} -completeness of \mathcal{F}_0 and right continuity of \mathcal{F}_t

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{F}_{t+\varepsilon} = \mathcal{F}_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- $W = W(t), t \geq 0$, a Wiener process on \mathbb{F} , that is, a continuous square-integrable martingale,

$$W(0) = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\left(W(t) - W(s)\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right) = t - s, \quad t \geq s.$$

- Measurable real-valued functions $b = b(x), \sigma = \sigma(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\int_0^T \left(|b(f(t))| + |\sigma(f(t))|^2 \right) dt < \infty \tag{1}$$

for ever $T > 0$ and every $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T])$, that is, f is continuous on $[0, T]$.

The equation

$$dX = b(X)dt + \sigma(X)dW(t), \quad t > 0,$$

understood as the Itô integral equation

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t b(X(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s)) dW(s), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

with $X(0) \in \mathcal{F}_0$, that is, X_0 is \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable.

Basic regularity conditions:

$$\sigma^2 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}); \quad \sigma^2(x) \geq \delta > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}; \tag{3}$$

$$|b(x)| + |\sigma(x)| \leq C, \quad C > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}$$

Note that (4) implies (1), and (1) is necessary to define the right-hand side of (2).

Two concepts of solution.

STRONG SOLUTION. Given the stochastic basis and the Wiener process, a **strong solution** $X = X(t)$ of (2) is a continuous \mathcal{F}_t -adapted process satisfying (2) with probability one for all $t > 0$ [because X is continuous, the exceptional set does not depend on time]. **Strong uniqueness**, also known as **path-wise uniqueness**, on $[0, T]$ for equation (2) means

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X(t) - Y(t)| > 0\right) = 0$$

for every two solutions $X = X(t)$ and $Y = Y(t)$ satisfying (2) with $X(0) = Y(0)$ and with the same Brownian motion W .

WEAK SOLUTION, sometimes also called **martingale solution**, is a stochastic basis satisfying the usual conditions, a Brownian motion on this basis, and a continuous \mathcal{F}_t -adapted process $X = X(t)$ satisfying (2) with probability one for all $t > 0$. **Weak uniqueness** on $[0, T]$ for equation (2) means that, as a random element with values in $\mathcal{C}([0, T])$, every weak solution has the same distribution.

LOCAL EXISTENCE of a solution means there is stopping time τ on the underlying stochastic basis such that $\mathbb{P}(\tau > 0) = 1$ and the solution exists on the stochastic interval $\{(\omega, t) : 0 \leq t \leq \tau(\omega)\}$.

General results.

- (1) Under the minimal regularity conditions (3), (4), there are only two possibilities: either (2) has a unique strong solution on every stochastic basis with a given Brownian motion, or (2) does not have a unique strong solution regardless of the choice of stochastic basis and Brownian motion; see [4, Section 2.1]. More generally, there are $16 = 2^4$ combinations to consider, namely existence and uniqueness for strong and weak solutions; see [1, Table 1.1]. Of course, many of the combinations do not make sense and some are trivial.
- (2) **YAMADA-WATANABE THEOREM:** Weak existence and strong uniqueness imply existence and uniqueness of a strong solution [7, Theorem IX.1.7].

- (3) DUAL YAMADA-WATANABE THEOREM: Weak uniqueness and strong existence imply existence and uniqueness of a strong solution [A. S. Cherny (2001)].
- (4) A strong solution satisfies $\sigma(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq t) \subseteq \sigma(X(0), W(s), 0 \leq s \leq t)$, that is, the solution is determined by the initial condition and the Wiener process; a weak solution satisfies $\sigma(X(0), W(s), 0 \leq s \leq t) \subseteq \sigma(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq t)$, that is, the initial condition and the Wiener process might not be enough to define the solution; cf. [6, Section 4.4]. In general, it is a separate, and hard, problem to establish equality $\sigma(X(0), W(s), 0 \leq s \leq t) = \sigma(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq t)$ of the “input” and “output” sigma-algebras for a strong solution of (2); cf. [5, Section 12.2].
- (5) Conditions (4) ensure **non-explosion**: every solution that exists locally can be continued to $[0, T]$ for all non-random $T > 0$. Accordingly, any other condition that ensures non-explosion can be used instead of (4). Two main examples are linear growth and monotonicity:

$$|b(x)| + |\sigma(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|), \quad C > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (5)$$

$$xb(x) + \sigma^2(x) \leq C(1 + x^2), \quad C > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)$$

Linear growth implies monotonicity; taking $b(x) = -x^3$, we see that it is possible to have monotonicity without linear growth. For details and more examples, see [9, Chapter 10].

Basic existence and uniqueness results.

- (1) If (3) holds, then equation (2) has a unique weak solution as long as any of conditions for non-explosion, such as (4), (5), or (6), hold (see [8, Theorem 5.6] for the proof under condition (4)).
- (2) If the functions b and σ are locally Lipschitz continuous, that is, for every $R > 0$ there exists a number L such that

$$|b(x) - b(y)| + |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq L|x - y|, \quad (7)$$

for all x, y with $|x| \leq R, |y| \leq R$, then equation (2) has a unique strong solution as long as any of conditions for non-explosion, such as (4), (5), or (6), hold (see [3, Theorem V.1.1] for the proof under condition (6)). It is possible to relax (7) in a way similar to (6): for every $R > 0$ there exists a number L such that

$$(x - y)(b(x) - b(y)) + |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|^2 \leq L|x - y|^2 \quad (8)$$

for all x, y with $|x| \leq R, |y| \leq R$; cf. [3, Theorem V.1.1].

More sophisticated existence and uniqueness results.

- (1) If $\sigma^2 \geq \delta > 0$, then equation (2) has a unique weak solution as long as any of conditions for non-explosion, such as (4), (5), or (6), hold (see [2] for the proof under condition (4)). In other words, no continuity of σ^2 is required.
- (2) If (3) holds and $|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq L\sqrt{|x - y|}$ for some $L > 0$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then equation (2) has a unique strong solution as long as any of conditions for non-explosion, such as (4), (5), or (6), hold (see [10] for the proof under condition (4)).

For a comprehensive collection of examples, see [1, Section 1.3].

REFERENCES

1. A. S. Cherny and H.-J. Engelbert, *Singular stochastic differential equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1858, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
2. N. V. Krylov, *Itô's stochastic integral equations*, Theor. Probability Appl. **14** (1969), no. 2, 330–336.
3. N. V. Krylov, *Introduction to the theory of diffusion processes*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
4. N. V. Krylov and A. K. Zvonkin, *On strong solutions of stochastic differential equations*, Sel. Math. Sov. **1** (1981), 19–61.
5. R. Sh. Liptser and A. N. Shiryaev, *Statistics of random processes, II*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
6. R. Sh. Liptser and A. N. Shiryaev, *Statistics of random processes, I*, second ed., Springer, Berlin, 2001.
7. D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, third ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
8. D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan, *Diffusion processes with continuous coefficients. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **22** (1969), 345–400.
9. D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan, *Multidimensional diffusion processes*, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
10. A. K. Zvonkin, *A transformation of the phase space of a diffusion process that will remove the drift*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **93** (1974), no. 1, 129–149.