

## Math 507b, Spring 2021

### HOMEWORK PROBLEMS

- (1) Let  $a_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be positive numbers and  $b_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ . Show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n^2} < \infty.$$

- (2) For random variables  $\xi, \xi_n$ , we say that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = \xi$  *completely* if

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}(|\xi_n - \xi| > \varepsilon) < \infty$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Clarify the connections among point-wise convergence, complete convergence, and almost sure convergence. In particular,

- Is it possible to converge completely but not with probability one?
- Is it possible to converge completely but not point-wise?
- Is it possible to converge point-wise but not completely?
- Is it possible to converge with probability one but not completely?

In each case, either give a proof or construct a counterexample.

- (3) Convince yourself that (a) The function

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

defines the metric on the space of random variables by

$$\rho_f(\xi, \eta) = \mathbb{E}f(|\xi - \eta|).$$

(b) convergence in probability is equivalent to convergence in the metric  $\rho_f$ .

- (4) Let  $\xi_k, k \geq 1$ , be Gaussian random variables. Show that the series  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k^2$  converges with probability one if and only if  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{E}\xi_k^2 < \infty$ . Note that  $\xi_k$  are not necessarily independent or have zero mean, but you are welcome to start by making these additional assumptions and showing that

$$\sum_k \mathbb{E}\xi_k^2 \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \exp \left( - \sum_k \xi_k^2 \right) \right)^{-2}.$$

- (5) Assume that  $\xi_n, n \geq 1$ , and  $\xi$  are random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}|\xi_n| < \infty$  for all  $n$ ,  $\mathbb{E}|\xi| < \infty$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|\xi_n - \xi| = 0$ . Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = \xi$  in probability and the family  $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$  is uniformly integrable.
- (6) Generate a sample path of the Poisson process. Try the following two ways: (a) Set up an “exponential clock” and jump every time the clock “rings” (b) Given the time interval, generate the number of events as a Poisson random variable and then generate the times of events using the corresponding number of iid uniform random variables. Try to include the intensity of the Poisson process as a parameter in your procedure. Can you think of any other ways of generating the process?
- (7) (a) Generate a random variable having a symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable distribution for a given  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ . (b) Generate a sample path of a random walk starting at the origin and with increments having a symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable distribution. Try one, two, and three dimensions. How many times would you expect the random walk to come close to the origin?
- (8) Given a stopping time  $\tau$  and an adapted sequence  $X_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , confirm that  $\tau$  and  $X_\tau$  are  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable.
- (9) Let  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  be stopping times.
- (a) Confirm that  $\tau + \sigma$ ,  $\tau \wedge \sigma = \min(\tau, \sigma)$ ,  $\tau\sigma$ , and  $\tau \vee \sigma = \max(\tau, \sigma)$  are stopping times and  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge \sigma} = \mathcal{F}_\tau \cap \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ .

(b) Confirm that the events  $\{\tau = \sigma\}$  and  $\{\sigma \leq \tau\}$  are  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge \sigma}$ -measurable, the event  $\{\sigma < \tau\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable, and if  $\sigma \leq \tau$  with probability one, then  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\tau$ .

(c) Is it possible to express  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau \vee \sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau + \sigma}$  in terms of  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ ?

(d) True or false: If  $\mathbb{P}(\tau - \sigma \geq 0) = 1$ , then  $\tau - \sigma$  is a stopping time?

(10) If  $S_n, n \geq 1$ , is a simple (symmetric) random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , then, for  $d = 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{d/2} \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) = c_d, \quad (1)$$

and also  $c_1 = \pi^{-1/2}$ ,  $c_2 = 1/\pi$ . Is equality (1) true for all  $d$ ? If so, what is the value of  $c_d$ ?

(11) Let  $h = h(t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , be a (Borel) measurable real-valued function. Consider the following properties of  $h$ :

- LI:  $h$  is Lebesgue-integrable on  $(0, +\infty)$ ;
- IRI: the integral  $\int_0^{+\infty} h(t) dt$  exists as an improper Riemann integral;
- DRI:  $h$  is directly Riemann integrable on  $(0, +\infty)$ .

For each of the following implications, either give a proof that it is true or construct an example illustrating that it is false:

LI  $\Rightarrow$  IRI; IRI  $\Rightarrow$  LI; LI  $\Rightarrow$  DRI; DRI  $\Rightarrow$  LI; LI  $\Rightarrow$  IRI; IRI  $\Rightarrow$  LI.

(12) Prove that a random variable  $X$  is arithmetic if and only if the characteristic function  $\varphi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{itX}$  of  $X$  satisfies  $|\varphi_X(t_0)| = 1$  for some  $t_0 \neq 0$ .

(13) Consider a sequence of independent tosses of a fair coin with outcomes H and T.

(a) Compute the probability that HH will appear before HT [It is clearly  $1/2$ ].

(b) Compute the expected number of tosses to get HH.

[Here, it is non-trivial, and the answer is 6; if the number we need is  $x$ , then  $x = (E_H + E_T)/2$ , where  $E_C$  is the expected number of tosses to get HH if the first toss is  $C$ . Then  $E_H = 1 + (1 + E_T)/2$ , and  $E_T = 1 + (E_T + E_H)/2$ .]

(c) Compute the expected number of tosses to get HT [The answer is 4.]

(d) Come up with an alternative (qualitative) explanation why the answer in part (b) is bigger than the answer in part (c).

(14) Let  $\xi_k, k \geq 1$  be iid random variables with  $\mathbb{P}(\xi_k = 0) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_k = 2) = 1/2$ . Show that the sequence  $X_n = \xi_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \xi_n, n \geq 1$ , is a martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  [if you want, you can put  $X_0 = 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$ ], but there is no integrable random variable  $\xi$  such that  $X_n = \mathbb{E}(\xi | \mathcal{F}_n)$ .

(15) Let  $S_n, n \geq 0$ , be a simple symmetric random walk, with  $S_0 = 0$ . (a) Confirm that  $X_n = S_n^2 - n$  is a martingale, and then find an increasing predictable sequence  $A_n$  such that  $X_n^2 - A_n$  is a martingale. (b) Show that  $\mathbb{E}S_\tau = 0$  for every stopping time  $\tau$  satisfying  $\mathbb{E}\sqrt{\tau} < \infty$ .

(16) (a) Let  $S_n, n \geq 0$ , be a random walk (sum of iid random variables  $\xi_k$ ), with  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\xi_k = 0$ , and  $\mathbb{E}|\xi_k|^r < \infty$  for some  $r$  satisfying  $1 < r \leq 2$ . Show that  $\mathbb{E}S_\tau = 0$  for every stopping time  $\tau$  with  $\mathbb{E}\tau^{1/r} < \infty$ . Give an example illustrating that the result is not true if  $r > 2$ . (b) Let  $M_n, n \geq 0$ , be a square-integrable martingale with  $M_0 = 0$ . Is it true that  $\mathbb{E}M_\tau = 0$  for every stopping time  $\tau$  satisfying  $\mathbb{E}\sqrt{\tau} < \infty$ ?

(17) Let  $X$ , and  $Y$  be random variables such that, for some sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) = Y \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(X^2 | \mathcal{G}) = Y^2.$$

Show that  $\mathbb{P}(X = Y) = 1$ .

(18) Let  $M_n, n \geq 0$ , be a martingale and define  $\Delta M_k = M_k - M_{k-1}$ .

(a) Show that the sequence

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \frac{e^{M_n}}{\prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}(e^{\Delta M_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1})}$$

is a martingale.

(b) Let  $\{M_n, n \geq 0\}$  be a square-integrable martingale with  $M_0 = 0$  and  $|\Delta M_k| \leq c$  (for some  $c > 0$  and all  $k$  and  $\omega$ ). Show that the sequence

$$Z_n = \exp\left(M_n - \frac{\langle M \rangle_n}{2}\right)$$

is a supermartingale. What can happen if we remove the assumption that the jumps  $\Delta M_n$  are uniformly bounded?

(19) Let  $\{M_n, n \geq 0\}$  be a martingale and let  $\tau$  be a stopping time such that

$$\mathbb{E}|M_\tau| < \infty, \mathbb{P}(\tau < \infty) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(|M_n|I(\tau > n)) = 0.$$

Show that  $\mathbb{E}M_\tau = \mathbb{E}M_0$ .

(20) Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$  be a positive supermartingale and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}X_n = 0$ . Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0$  both in  $L_1$  and with probability one.

(21) Let  $\xi_k, k \geq 1$ , be independent and assume that the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$$

exists in distribution. Show that the limit also exists with probability one. In other words, if a series of independent random variables converges in distribution, it also converges with probability one. [One possible way to proceed is to use the martingale  $e^{itS_n}/\mathbb{E}e^{itS_n}$  for a suitable  $t$ .]

(22) Consider the sequence

$$X_{n+1} = \theta X_n + \xi_{n+1}$$

with unknown  $\theta$  and independent identically distributed  $\xi_k$  having mean zero and finite variance. Confirm that the least-squares estimator of  $\theta$  based on the observations  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is strongly consistent as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and then try to construct an example of  $\xi_k$  when the estimator is not consistent. [You can try Gaussian  $\xi_k$  that are not identically distributed, with variance growing fast enough, for example,  $\mathbb{E}\xi_k^2 = (k!)^2$ ].

(23) Here are some other decompositions.

(a) **A generalization of the Doob decomposition.** Let  $X = \{X_n, n \geq 0\}$  be any adapted sequence with  $\mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$ . Show that we can write  $X = M + A$ , where  $M$  is a martingale and  $A$  is predictable; the representation is unique if we assume  $A_0 = 0$ . [Try  $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}((X_k - X_{k-1})|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})$ ]

(b) **Krickeberg decomposition.** Let  $X = \{X_n, n \geq 0\}$  be a submartingale and  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}X_n^+ < \infty$ . Show that we can write

$$X_n = Y_n - Z_n,$$

where  $Y$  is a martingale and  $Z$  is a non-negative supermartingale. [Try  $Y_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_k|\mathcal{F}_n)$ ]. Is this decomposition of  $X$  unique in any sense? An alternative form: every martingale  $X_n$  with  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}X_n^+ < \infty$  is a difference of two non-negative martingales  $M_n^\pm = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_k^\pm|\mathcal{F}_n)$ .

(c) **Riesz decomposition.** Let  $X = \{X_n, n \geq 0\}$  be a supermartingale with  $\inf_n \mathbb{E}X_n > -\infty$ . Show that we can write

$$X_n = M_n + Z_n,$$

where  $M$  is a martingale and  $A$  is a potential, that is, a non-negative supermartingale converging to zero, and the representation is unique. [Start with the Doob decomposition of  $X_n$ :  $X_n = N_n - A_n$ , where  $N$  is a martingale and  $A$  is an increasing predictable process; then argue that  $A_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$  exists; then take  $M_n = N_n - \mathbb{E}(A_\infty|\mathcal{F}_n)$  and complete the proof.]

(24) (a) Consider a martingale  $M$ , a bounded stopping time  $\tau$  and any other stopping time  $\sigma$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(M_\tau|\mathcal{F}_\sigma) = M_{\tau \wedge \sigma}.$$

This is one of the (many) versions of the basic optional stopping theorem.

(b) Consider a martingale  $M$ , a stopping time  $\tau$ , and an  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable random variable  $\eta$ . Show that the sequence  $N$  with  $N_n = (M_n - M_{n \wedge \tau})\eta$  is a martingale. [Use part (a) to show that  $\mathbb{E}N_\sigma = 0$  for every bounded stopping time  $\sigma$ ; do not forget to check that  $N_n$  is adapted: can replace  $\eta$  with  $\eta I(\tau \leq n)$ ].

- (25) Let  $M$  be a martingale with  $\mathbb{E}|M_n|^p < \infty$  for all  $n$  and some  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . Combine Doob's maximal inequality with Hölder and Fubini to show that

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{k \leq n} |M_k|\right)^p\right)^{1/p} \leq q \left(\mathbb{E}|M_n|^q\right)^{1/q}.$$

Start by writing  $M_n^* = \max_{k \leq n} |M_k|$  and

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n^*)^p = (p-1) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(M_n^* > x) x^{p-1} dx.$$

- (26) Consider the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  (Borel sigma-algebra),  $\mathbb{P}((a, b)) = b - a$  (Lebesgue measure); this is sometimes called the Steinhaus probability space.

(a) Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be the sigma-algebra generated by the intervals

$$(k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1.$$

Compute  $\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{F}_n)$  for a Lebesgue-integrable, Borel-measurable function  $f = f(x)$ ,  $x \in (0, 1)$ . The answer is

$$\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{F}_n)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \left( 2^n \int_{k2^{-n}}^{(k+1)2^{-n}} f(y) dy \right) I(k2^{-n} < x \leq (k+1)2^{-n}).$$

(b) Let  $f = f(x)$ ,  $x \in (0, 1)$ , be a Lebesgue-integrable, Borel-measurable function. Define  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin (0, 1)$  and let

$$M_f(x) = \sup_{t \in (0, 1)} \frac{1}{t} \int_x^{x+t} f(y) dy, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

Show that, for every  $p > 1$ ,

$$\int_0^1 |M_f(x)|^p dx \leq \left(\frac{8p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_0^1 |f(x)|^p dx.$$

The result is known as Hardy-Littlewood inequality.

- (27) **Azuma-Hoeffding Inequality.** If  $X = \{X_k, k \geq 0\}$ , is a martingale with  $\mathbb{E}X_k = 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(|X_k - X_{k-1}| \leq c_k) = 1$  for some non-random numbers  $c_k$ , then, for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| > \lambda\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2 \sum_{k=1}^n c_k^2}\right).$$

- (28) Let  $M = \{M_n, n \geq 0\}$  be a martingale with  $M_0 = 0$ . Consider the following properties of  $M$ :

UI: The family  $\{M_n, n \geq 0\}$  is uniformly integrable;

H:  $\mathbb{E} \sup_n |M_n| < \infty$ ;

UP:  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}|M_n|^p < \infty$  for some  $p > 1$ .

For each of the following implications, either give a proof or construct a counter-example:

UI  $\Rightarrow$  U; H  $\Rightarrow$  UI; UI  $\Rightarrow$  UP; UP  $\Rightarrow$  UI; H  $\Rightarrow$  UP; UP  $\Rightarrow$  H.

[The collection of martingales with property H is (sometimes) called the **Hardy space**; if we think of UI and UP as the corresponding space too, then  $UP \subset H \subset UI$ , with all inclusions strict: the Hardy space is the "correct" intermediate space between uniformly integrable martingales and all  $L_p$  martingales,  $p > 1$ .]

(29) Let  $\xi_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , be iid standard Gaussian random variables. Define  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$ , and

$$M_n = \exp\left(S_n - \frac{n}{2}\right).$$

Confirm that  $\{M_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a martingale,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = 0$  with probability one, and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}M_n^p = 0$  if and only if  $0 < p < 1$ .

(30) Confirm that a non-negative local martingale is a super-martingale.

(31) The “basic martingale CLT” is usually stated for triangular arrays with  $\mathcal{F}_k^n = \sigma(\xi_{n,j}, j = 1, \dots, k_n)$ : if, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{P}(|\xi_{n,j}| > \varepsilon | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}^n) &\rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E}(\xi_{n,j} I(|\xi_{n,j}| \leq 1) | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}^n) &\rightarrow 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \text{Var}(\xi_{n,j} I(|\xi_{n,j}| \leq 1) | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}^n) &\rightarrow 1, \end{aligned}$$

all in probability, then, also as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \xi_{n,j} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

in distribution. State the particular case of this result for  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$  [taking  $\xi_{n,k} = \xi_k / \sqrt{n}$ ] and then confirm that the case of iid  $\xi_k$  (zero mean, unit variance) is covered.

(32) Consider a time-homogenous discrete time Markov chain with finitely many states and transition probabilities  $p(i, j)$ .

(a) True or False: if  $\sum_i p(i, j) = 1$ , then the chain is ergodic, and the stationary distribution is (discrete) uniform.

(b) True or False: if the chain is ergodic and the stationary distribution is uniform, then  $\sum_i p(i, j) = 1$ ?

In each case, either give a proof [if you think the statement is true] or construct a counterexample.

(33) Consider the simple symmetric random walk on  $[0, L]$  with integer  $L$  so that

$$p(i, i \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, L-1, \quad p(0, 0) = p(0, 1) = p(L, L) = p(L, L-1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Confirm that the chain is ergodic and the stationary distribution is uniform on  $[0, 1, 2, \dots, L]$ . Find some numbers  $C > 0$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\max_{i,j} |p^{(n)}(i, j) - 1/(L+1)| \leq Cr^n.$$

How do  $C$  and  $r$  depend on  $L$ ?

(34) Let  $N = N_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be a non-trivial branching process and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}N_1 > 1$ .

(a) Give an example when  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n / \mu^n = 0$  with probability one;

(b) Given an example when  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n / \mu^n \neq 0$  and compute the corresponding limit.

(c) Can  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n / \mu^n \neq 0$  be infinite with positive probability?

(35) (a) Give an example of a sequence that is strictly stationary but not mean-square stationary.

(b) Give an example of a sequence that is mean-square stationary but not strictly stationary.

(36) (a) Show that, for every finite sequence  $n_1 \dots n_k$ , with

$$n_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}, \quad n_\ell \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}, \quad \ell = 2, \dots, k,$$

there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that the decimal expansion of the number  $2^N$  starts with  $n_1 \dots n_k$ . [Start by showing that the map  $x \mapsto (x + \log_{10} 2) \bmod 1$  is ergodic.] What about  $3^N$ ?

(b) Show that the distribution of the first digit of the sequence  $\{2^n, n \geq 1\}$  follows **Benford's law** (that is, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the proportion of the numbers in the sequence with the first digit equal to  $k$  approaches  $\log_{10}(1 + k^{-1})$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, 9$ ). What about the first two digits? What about  $3^n$ ?

(c) As a bonus, determine the smallest  $n$  such that  $2^n$  starts with 7.

- (37) Let  $X_n, n \geq 1$ , be a stationary ergodic sequence, with each  $X_k$  taking values in a finite set. Denote by  $p_n = p_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  the joint distribution of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Show that the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln p_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

exists with probability one and is non-random. [This is one form of the **Shannon-McMillan-Breiman** (ergodic) theorem]. Start with the iid case.

- (38) (a) Confirm that a Gaussian sequence is strictly stationary if and only if it is mean-square stationary.

(b) Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a stationary Gaussian sequence with  $\mathbb{E}X_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}X_1 X_n = 0$ . Show that the sequence is ergodic.

- (39) Let  $\xi_k, k \geq 1$ , be iid standard normal random variables. Confirm that each of the following represents the standard Brownian motion  $W = W(t)$  on  $[0, T]$ :

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k M_k(t),$$

where  $M_k(t) = \int_0^t m_k(s) ds$  and  $\{m_k, k \geq 1\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L_2((0, T))$ ;

$$W(t) = \sqrt{2T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k \frac{\sin((k - (1/2))\pi t/T)}{\pi(k - (1/2))},$$

which is the **Karhunen-Loève** representation/expansion of the standard Brownian motion.

Why is usual Fourier series representation of  $W$  not as useful?

- (40) Let  $W = W(t), t \in [0, T]$ , be a standard Brownian motion.

(a) Confirm that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( W(kT/n) - W((k-1)T/n) \right)^2 = T,$$

both in  $L_2$  and with probability one.

(b) Confirm that the process  $M(t) = W^2(t) - t$  is a martingale. Then find a continuous process  $A = A(t)$  so that  $M^2(t) - A(t)$  is a martingale. How much further can you go?

- (41) Let  $W = W(t)$  be a standard Wiener process and let  $\tau$  be a stopping time. Confirm that

$$\frac{1}{3} \mathbb{E} \sqrt{\tau} \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \leq \tau} |W(t)| \right) \leq 3 \mathbb{E} \sqrt{\tau}.$$

- (42) Let  $N = N(t)$  be a Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}N(t) = \lambda t$ . Confirm that  $M(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$  and  $M^2(t) - \lambda t$  are martingales.

- (43) Let  $T$  be a positive random variable ( $\mathbb{P}(0 < T < \infty) = 1$ ). Define the process  $X = X(t)$  by  $X(t) = I(T = t)$ . Identify sufficient (and, if possible, necessary) conditions on the distribution of  $T$  for each of the following to happen:

- The process  $X$  has a modification that is identically equal to zero.
- The conditions of the Kolmogorov continuity criterion hold.
- The process  $X$  does not have a modification that is identically zero.
- The filtration generated by  $X$  is (right-, left-, simply) continuous.

How the answers to (a)–(d) change if  $T$  is a stopping time (on a stochastic basis satisfying the usual conditions).

- (44) (a) The **Fractional Brownian motion** with the Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  is a Gaussian process  $B^H = B^H(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , with mean zero and covariance

$$\mathbb{E}B^H(t)B^H(s) = \frac{t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}}{2}$$

Confirm that the trajectories of  $B^H$  are Hölder continuous of every order less than  $H$ , and that  $B^{1/2}$  is the standard Brownian motion.

(b) The **Brownian sheet**  $W = W(t, x)$ ,  $t, x > 0$ , is a zero-mean Gaussian field with covariance  $\mathbb{E}W(t, x)W(s, y) = \min(t, s) \min(x, y)$ . What can you say about the process  $X(t) = W(t, t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ?

### What to remember.

- (1) Modes of convergence;
- (2) Uniform integrability;
- (3) Zero-one laws: Kolmogorov, Hewitt-Savage, Blumenthal;
- (4) Stopping time;
- (5) Two identities (equalities/equations) of Wald;
- (6) Recurrence vs transience for (a) random walk; (b) Markov chain;
- (7) Reflection principle;
- (8) Ballot theorem;
- (9) Arcsine laws;
- (10) Martingale/submartingale/supermartingale vs harmonic/sub-harmonic/superharmonic function;
- (11) Doob decomposition (Meyer is for continuous time);
- (12) Quadratic variation and covariation, both  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  versions (it gets even more interesting in continuous time);
- (13) Optional stopping theorem(s);<sup>1</sup>
- (14) Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality(ies);
- (15) Convergence in  $L_1$  and with probability one for (sub)martingales;
- (16) LLN(s) and CLT(s) for martingales;
- (17) Theorems of Kakutani and Hájek and Feldman (about equivalence/singularity of measures);
- (18) Kolmogorov-named equations in connection with Markov processes: Chapman-Kolmogorov, forward Kolmogorov (Fokker-Plank), backward Kolmogorov;
- (19) Strong Markov property;
- (20) Ergodic Theorems (the more, the better);
- (21) Benford's Law;
- (22) Stochastic basis with the usual conditions/assumptions;
- (23) Brownian motion;
- (24) Poisson process;
- (25) Continuity criterion of Kolmogorov;
- (26) Different ways two continuous-time stochastic processes can be “the same”;
- (27) Wiener process vs Brownian motion; Lévy's characterization of the Wiener process;
- (28) Lévy processes;
- (29) Skorokhod representation (embedding) for Brownian motion;
- (30) Dambis-Dubinis-Schwarz theorem (a general martingale as a time-changed Brownian motion);
- (31) Weak convergence of random processes (as processes) and the Donsker invariance principle;

---

<sup>1</sup>Not to be confused with *optimal* stopping.

- (32) Some other “concrete” examples: simple symmetric random walk, branching (Bienaymé-Galton-Watson) process, Polya urn model, M/G/1, M/M/∞ and other queues, Ehrenfest chain, Bernoulli shift.

**Reflective questions for discussions.**<sup>2</sup>

- (1) Take one homework problem you have worked on this semester that you struggled to understand and solve, and explain how (or if...) the struggle itself was valuable.
- (2) What mathematical ideas are you curious to know more about as a result of taking this class? Give one example of a question about the material that you would like to explore further, and explain why you consider this question interesting.
- (3) What three theorems did you most enjoy from the course, and why?
- (4) Formulate a research question related to the course material that you would like to answer.
- (5) Reflect on your overall experience in this class by describing an interesting idea that you learned, why it was interesting, and what it tells you about doing or creating mathematics.
- (6) Think of one particular proof [of a result related to the topic of this class] and share your ideas about the ways you think the proof should be improved. [The two super-challenges are the section theorem(s) about stopping times and existence of a progressively measurable modification].

---

<sup>2</sup>Most are not mine, including the wording. Suggestions for improvement will be part of the discussion.