## SUPERVISOR EVALUATION FORM

Supervisor Name:
Time period supervised:
Location of Clinical Work:
Location of Supervision:

<u>Instructions</u>: For each item, select the statement that best characterizes your work with your supervisor. This form is intended to be completed in Word; simply click on a box to check it and click again to uncheck. Complete the General Supervision Characteristics section for all supervisors. Complete the Assessment Supervisors section for those supervisors who advised you on conducting formal assessments (i.e., beyond diagnostic interviewing or assessment tools used in therapy), and the Therapy Supervisors section for those who observed you in therapy. Check the box at the beginning of the assessment or therapy supervision section to indicate it was not relevant for that supervisor.

Concerning your confidentiality: If there are significant problems with a supervisor (e.g., a person who rarely meets with supervisees, models unethical behavior, or never directly observes your work through video, audio or live supervision means), we will need to act on this information immediately. We would inform you beforehand if we speak to a supervisor based on this type of feedback. In all other instances, we will provide feedback to supervisors when at least 3 forms have been completed for a particular supervisor, in summary form (i.e., we will not provide them with completed forms). For program faculty supervisors (di-prac instructors, supervisors who are considered USC faculty), evaluations will only be examined every other year to protect student confidentiality.

## **GENERAL SUPERVISION CHARACTERISTICS**

1)	COMFORTABLE WORKING RAPPORT		
	☐ We established a fully comfortable working relationship		
	$\square$ We worked well together, but there were some issues I was not comfortable discussing		
	$\square$ I was uncomfortable bringing up relevant case and personal issues with my supervisor		
2)	ENTHUSIASM AND INVOLVEMENT IN SUPERVISION		
	$\square$ My supervisor was enthusiastic and very involved in the supervision process		
	☐ My supervisor was helpful and involved, but not always fully engaged in the supervision process		
	☐ My supervisor appeared uninterested in supervision at times		
	☐ My supervisor showed a lack of interest in supervision		
3)	CLIMATE CONDUCIVE TO OPEN COMMUNICATION		
	$\square$ My supervisor created an open climate where I felt comfortable disagreeing with him/her		
	$\square$ My supervisor created a fairly open climate where I could discuss my own ideas, but I felt		
	uncomfortable disagreeing		
	$\square$ I did not feel that it was acceptable to disagree or bring up my own ideas		
4)	PRODUCTIVE USE OF SUPERVISION TIME		
	$\square$ We spent the majority of our supervision time focused on clinical cases		
	☐ We spent significant time on clinical cases, but sometimes non-related issues interfered with		
	supervision time		
	☐ There was too little focus on clinical cases in supervision		

5)	MULTICULTURAL ISSUES			
	☐ My supervisor is knowledgeable about, and is open to, discussing multicultural issues			
	$\square$ My supervisor is open to discussing multicultural issues, but does not bring them up			
	☐ My supervisor does not seem open to discussing multicultural issues			
6)	ACCESSIBILITY IN URGENT/EMERGENCY SITUATIONS			
	☐ My supervisor was available in almost every instance			
	$\square$ My supervisor was not always immediately available, but always called me back later			
	☐ My supervisor was rarely available in urgent situations			
7)	REGULAR ACCESSIBILITY			
	$\square$ My supervisor keeps appointments with me and arrives on time			
	$\square$ My supervisor has rarely forgotten an appointment, or is occasionally 5-10 minutes late			
	$\square$ My supervisor frequently reschedules appointments, or is frequently over 15 minutes late			
	☐ My supervisor frequently cancels appointments without rescheduling			
	☐ I met with my supervisor 1 time per month or less			
8)	IN-PERSON APPOINTMENTS			
	☐ My supervisor always meets with me in person			
	$\square$ My supervisor occasionally meets with me in person, occasionally via another means (e.g.,			
	phone)			
	☐ My supervisor predominately meets with me via other means (i.e., rarely in person)			
9)	NEGATIVE FEEDBACK			
	☐ My supervisor is able to provide corrective feedback without being overly critical/in a			
	constructive way			
	$\square$ Sometimes my supervisor provides corrective feedback in a constructive way, sometimes with a			
	critical tone			
	☐ When my supervisor provides negative feedback, it is typically quite critical			
10)	POSITIVE FEEDBACK			
	☐ My supervisor often points out positive aspects of my clinical work			
	☐ My supervisor occasionally points out positive aspects of my clinical work			
	☐ My supervisor has never commented on positive aspects of my clinical work			
11)	ETHICAL BEHAVIOR AND MONITORING			
	$\square$ My supervisor modeled appropriate ethical standards and monitored my ethical awareness in			
	my training and work			
	☐ My supervisor did not always monitor my ethical awareness/behavior (e.g., ask follow-up			
	questions for a risk or ethical situation)			
	☐ My supervisor did not always model ethical behavior			
12)	RECOMMEND SUPERVISOR			
	☐ I would recommend this supervisor to all colleagues			
	☐ I would recommend this supervisor to some colleagues (e.g., depending on the prospective			
	supervisee's personality)			
	☐ I would not recommend this supervisor			

THERAPY SUPERVISORS	CHECK HERE IF NOT RELEVANT FOR THIS SUPERVI
THEKAPY SUPERVISORS	CHECK HERE IF NOT RELEVANT FOR THIS SUPERVI

1)	CASE CONCEPTUALIZATION (I.E., AN OVERARCHING MODEL FOR UNDERSTANDING MY CLIENT'S PROBLEMS WHICH		
	POINTS TO PARTICULAR INTERVENTION STRATEGIES)		
	☐ My supervisor encourages and models case conceptualization		
	☐ My supervisor sometimes discusses case conceptualization with me		
	☐ My supervisor and I have never discussed case conceptualizations of my clients		
2)	PROVISION OF RESOURCES (E.G., BOOKS, ROLE PLAYS) FOR LEARNING THERAPEUTIC TECHNIQUES OR MODELS		
	☐ My supervisor has provided me with resources both independently and when I ask		
	☐ My supervisor provides helpful resources when I ask		
	☐ My supervisor provides unhelpful resources, or does not get back to me when I request		
	resources		
	☐ My supervisor has never mentioned providing a resource, and I have never asked		
3)	APPROACH TO SUPERVISION		
	☐ My supervisor regularly reviews videos of my sessions before we meet		
	$\square$ My supervisor occasionally reviews videos of my sessions before we meet, or frequently within		
	supervision meetings		
	$\square$ My supervisor typically does not review videos outside supervision, but occasionally does during		
	supervision		
	☐ My supervisor rarely reviews videos either inside or outside supervision		
4)	FORMULATION OF CLEAR TREATMENT GOALS		
	$\square$ We regularly discussed overarching and session treatment goals, and why these goals were		
	selected		
	☐ We sometimes discussed goals, but sometimes I was unsure why a goal was selected or I was		
	unclear about the goals		
	☐ We rarely discussed specific treatment goals		
5)	CONSULTING THE EMPIRICAL LITERATURE		
	$\square$ My supervisor regularly advises consulting the empirical literature when relevant (e.g., new		
	clinical problem to me)		
	$\square$ My supervisor occasionally references the empirical literature, but does not encourage me to		
	consult it		
_	☐ My supervisor rarely references or mentions the empirical literature		
6)	APPROPRIATE REVIEW OF CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION		
	☐ My supervisor read all of my clinical documentation and provided corrective feedback when		
	needed		
	☐ My supervisor read all of my clinical documentation but rarely provided feedback		
	☐ My supervisor read some clinical documentation (e.g., risk reporting, intake reports), but I was		
	uncertain if (s) read routine notes		
	☐ I was uncertain if my supervisor reviewed any of my clinical documentation		

HECK HERE IF NOT RELEVANT FOR THIS SUPERVISOR
I

1)	APPROPRIATE MONITORING OF SCORING PROTOCOLS AND PROCEDURES		
	☐ My supervisor regularly looked over score profiles and helped me resolve difficult scoring issues		
	☐ My supervisor was available to help me with scoring when I asked, but did not routinely review		
	scored protocols		
	☐ My supervisor did not review my scored protocols and did not help me resolve scoring issues		
2)	FORMULATION OF CLEAR ASSESSMENT GOALS		
	☐ We regularly discussed the purpose of assessments and why specific tests were selected to		
	address goals (or how a fixed battery was able to address those goals)		
	☐ We sometimes reviewed relevant referral/research questions and made appropriate		
	adjustments to the battery, but sometimes I was unsure of the purpose of the tests		
	☐ I often did not know the purpose of the assessments or how the battery addressed relevant		
	referral/research questions		
3)	Approach to Supervision		
,	☐ My supervisor often observed my clinical work directly, co-tested or co-interviewed with me, co		
	practiced testing with me		
	☐ My supervisor provided observation or co-testing early in supervision but not towards the end		
	☐ My supervisor carefully evaluated whether I was already familiar with test materials, and		
	decided not to observe or practice with me		
	☐ My supervisor did not observe me directly and did not provide the opportunity for co-testing,		
	co-interviewing or practice		
4)	Integration of Assessment Results		
٠,	☐ My supervisor and I met regularly to discuss patterns of findings, possible diagnoses, and		
	appropriate recommendations		
	☐ My supervisor and I reviewed assessment results and formulated impressions based on them,		
	but not how to translate results into diagnoses and recommendations		
	☐ My supervisor and I discussed only test scores, with little to no focus on translating those scores		
5)	into meaningful conclusions about the client  HELPFULNESS OF WRITTEN REPORT FEEDBACK		
رد	☐ The feedback for reports was helpful in improving my clinical writing and assessment skills		
	☐ The feedback for written reports was mostly helpful but sometimes did not address important		
	concerns I had with the report		
	☐ The feedback for written reports was minimal and did not address important concerns with		
	diagnosis, conceptualization, or recommendations		
6)	TIMELINESS OF REPORT FEEDBACK		
O,	☐ The feedback I received on my written reports was timely (within 1-2 weeks)		
	☐ The feedback Freceived on my written reports was timely (within 1-2 weeks) ☐ The feedback I received on my written reports was generally timely (within 3 weeks) but		
	sometimes occurred long after I had written my first draft		
	☐ I received little feedback about reports and/or the feedback occurred after the report was		
7\	already in the chart/delivered to the client		
7)	Access to Necessary Resources (e.g., appropriate testing materials and norms, scoring, and interpretive manuals)		
	My supervisor provided access to necessary resources		
	☐ My supervisor usually had appropriate materials, but sometimes helped me to locate more		
	appropriate norms or tests for particular clients		
	☐ My supervisor often did not have appropriate testing materials or norms and did not explain		
	how to locate such materials		

## **Open Ended Questions**: (use as much space as you need)

1) What was the best thing about working with this supervisor?

Click here to enter text.

2) What do you wish had been different about your work with this supervisor?

Click here to enter text.

3) Any other comments or elaborations on above questions?

Click here to enter text.

## For di-pracs or placement sites: (use as much space as you need)

1) What were your disappointments or frustrations regarding this placement site/di-prac experience?

Click here to enter text.

2) Would you recommend this site/di-prac to a classmate? Why or why not? Click here to enter text.

3) Overall, was this practicum experience a valuable one in terms of your clinical development? Your research/scientific development?

Click here to enter text.