# Identification of chromosome sequence motifs that mediate meiotic pairing and synapsis in C. elegans 

Carolyn M. Phillips ${ }^{1,5}$, Xiangdong Meng ${ }^{2}$, Lei Zhang ${ }^{2}$, Jacqueline H. Chretien ${ }^{1,3}$, Fyodor D. Urnov ${ }^{2}$ and Abby F. Dernburg ${ }^{1,3,4,6}$


#### Abstract

Caenorhabditis elegans chromosomes contain specialized regions called pairing centres, which mediate homologous pairing and synapsis during meiosis. Four related proteins, ZIM-1, 2, 3 and HIM-8, associate with these sites and are required for their essential functions. Here we show that short sequence elements enriched in the corresponding chromosome regions selectively recruit these proteins in vivo. In vitro analysis using SELEX indicates that the binding specificity of each protein arises from a combination of two zinc fingers and an adjacent domain. Insertion of a cluster of recruiting motifs into a chromosome lacking its endogenous pairing centre is sufficient to restore homologous pairing, synapsis, crossover recombination and segregation. These findings help to illuminate how chromosome sites mediate essential aspects of meiotic chromosome dynamics.


Studies of genome rearrangements in the nematode C. elegans have shown that particular regions near one end of each chromosome are required in cis for homologous recombination and segregation during meiosis. Translocations or deletions of these regions suppress genetic exchange across large chromosome regions ${ }^{1-5}$. These 'homologue recognition regions', or 'pairing centres', stabilize pairing and promote assembly of the synaptonemal complex between homologous chromosomes ${ }^{6,7}$.

A family of four paralogous proteins, each containing two atypical C2H2 zinc fingers, is required for pairing centre function ${ }^{8,9}$. Each protein localizes to the pairing centres of one or two pairs of chromosomes during early meiotic prophase: ZIM-1 on chromosomes II and III, ZIM-2 on chromosome V, ZIM-3 on chromosome I and IV, and HIM-8 on the X chromosome. Loss of any of these proteins results in defects in pairing, synapsis, recombination and segregation of the corresponding chromosomes.

Cis-acting elements that underlie pairing centre function have not yet been described. Here we identify sequence motifs enriched on each chromosome that specifically recruit the cognate zinc finger protein required for that chromosome to undergo faithful meiotic segregation. This in vivo analysis is corroborated by in vitro binding experiments that show the basis for their sequence specificity. Integration of these sequences onto a chromosome deficient in pairing centre activity is sufficient to restore meiotic chromosome pairing and synapsis. Moreover, we demonstrate that these recruitment motifs do not require a specific chromosome position, and that one zinc finger protein can substitute for another to promote meiotic interactions of a particular chromosome.

## RESULTS

## Identification of X chromosome pairing centre sequences

The X chromosome pairing centre has been previously mapped to the region distal to (or left of, by C. elegans convention) the $d p y-3$ locus, 2.15 Mb from the left telomere ${ }^{3,10}$. X chromosomes lacking this region usually fail to synapse or undergo exchange and consequently missegregate, resulting in an elevated frequency of XO (male) progeny ${ }^{3}$, known as the high incidence of males, or Him, phenotype ${ }^{11}$.

To delimit the region containing the X chromosome pairing centre more precisely, chromosome deficiencies were mapped using single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) ${ }^{12,13}$. We analysed three deficiencies that eliminate both pairing centre function and cytologically detectable HIM-8 localization (Fig. 1b-d) ${ }^{3}$. Each of these three deficiencies lacked all markers tested between 50 kb and 1.46 Mb from the left end (see Methods; Fig. 1a), but did not delete a marker at 2.07 Mb . By contrast, $y$ Df19, an X chromosome deficiency that retains HIM-8 staining (Fig. 1e) and undergoes normal meiotic segregation ${ }^{14}$ lacked the leftmost markers scored, but its right breakpoint was found to lie between 1.06 and 1.17 Mb from the left end (Fig. 1a). These data indicate that elements sufficient to recruit HIM-8 and confer pairing centre activity are contained within sequences between 1.06 and 2.07 Mb from the left end of the X chromosome.

Candidate sequences within this 1-Mb region were injected into wildtype C. elegans to test for HIM-8 binding. The resulting transgenic animals carried high-copy extrachromosomal arrays, which typically contain megabases of the injected DNA and are transmitted through mitosis and
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Figure 1 The $X$ chromosome pairing centre region. (a) Left two megabases of the X chromosome. Genetic and physical markers used for mapping are indicated. Three deficiencies that remove the pairing centre (meDf2, $m e D f 3$, and $m e D f 5$ ) and one that does not (yDf19) were mapped. All pairing centre deficiencies remove pk6142 but not pk6143, indicating breakpoints between 1.46 and 2.07 Mb from the left end. yDf19 removes unc-1 but not pk6141, indicating a breakpoint between 1.06 and 1.17 Mb from the left end. (b-e) HIM-8 immunofluorescence (yellow) in meiotic nuclei from hermaphrodites of the indicated genotypes. Diagrams of the
meiosis (see Methods) ${ }^{15}$. Combining FISH with immunofluorescence, we tested whether candidate arrays recruited HIM-8 in germline nuclei. Although this approach is unbiased with respect to candidate sequences, it does require that HIM-8 recognize a sequence motif or other element within the chromatin context of an extrachromosomal array, which undergoes transcriptional silencing and enriched H3K9 dimethylation in germline nuclei ${ }^{16}$. Although we did not know a priori whether HIM-8 would bind to arrays, we were encouraged by the success of an analogous approach to identify sequence elements that recruit C. elegans dosage compensation complex proteins in somatic nuclei ${ }^{17,18}$.

From an initial pool of cosmids that recruited HIM-8, we narrowed the recruitment activity to smaller fragments, ultimately to a 539-bp amplicon (Fig. 1f; Supplementary Information, Table S1). Centred within this short sequence are five and a half copies of a 21-bp repeat, and no other repetitive element, coding sequence or other feature of obvious interest.


X chromosomes and the mnDp66 duplication, which is required for viability in deficiency homozygotes, are shown. ( $\mathbf{f}-\mathrm{h}$ ) HIM-8 immunofluorescence (yellow) was combined with FISH (red) to test for recruitment of HIM-8 to extrachromosomal arrays. The diagram on right indicates the genomic location of the sequences tested in each panel: 539 bp amplicon from cosmid K06A9 on XL (f); cluster of TTGGTCAGTGCA repeats from XL (g); cluster of 4 HIM-8 recruitment motifs from IIIL recruits HIM-8 (yellow) but not ZIM-1 (green) (h). All images are maximum-intensity projections of deconvolved 3D stacks. Scale bars, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Computational analysis revealed that a 12-bp motif, (TTGGTCAGTGCT) contained within the larger repeat is enriched on the X chromosome, relative to the autosomes, and in the pairing centre region relative to the entire X chromosome (Supplementary Information, Fig. S1a). When degeneracy was allowed, we found that some closely related sequences were also enriched in the pairing centre region and that TTGGTCAGTGCA, which differs at the $3^{\prime}$ nucleotide, is even more abundant than the original motif (Fig. 2; Supplementary Information, Fig. S1). Interestingly, a version of this sequence lacking the $5^{\prime}$ base was previously identified computationally as the most overrepresented oligonucleotide on the X chromosome and named CeRep50 (ref. 19).

To test whether TTGGTCAGTGCA could also recruit HIM-8, we amplified two short regions from the left end of the X chromosome, each containing several copies of this motif with different flanking sequences. Both amplicons recruited HIM-8 (Fig. 1g; Supplementary Information, Table S1), as did a synthetic oligonucleotide containing


Figure 2 ZIM/HIM-8 recruitment motifs. Distribution of the most abundant ZIM-1 (green), ZIM-2 (red), ZIM-3 (orange) and HIM-8 (yellow) recruitment motif on
four tandem copies of this motif interspersed with flanking spacers. TTGGTCAGTGCA is therefore sufficient to recruit HIM-8.

Both of these related HIM-8 recruiting motifs are highly enriched near the left end of the X chromosome and are most often found in short, tandemly oriented clusters with a predominant 21-base periodicity (Supplementary Information, Table S2) ${ }^{19}$. Many copies lie within introns of known or predicted genes. Small clusters or isolated occurrences of these motifs can be found elsewhere on the X chromosome and occasionally on autosomes. $y D f 19$, the deficiency chromosome with a functional pairing centre, retains about half of the motifs normally found in the left 2 Mb of the X chromosome, whereas meDf2, meDf3 and meDf5 remove between $94-100 \%$ of the motifs found in this dense cluster.

Detection of HIM-8 in germline nuclei by immunofluorescence microscopy revealed a single primary association site in the genome, corresponding to the region of the X chromosome removed by meDf2, meDf3, and meDf5 (Fig. 1b-d) ${ }^{9}$. However, granular staining of HIM-8 was detected elsewhere on the chromatin, which may reflect binding to related motifs elsewhere in the genome. HIM-8 might weakly promote X chromosome segregation even in the absence of the pairing centre, as loss of him- 8 function results in a more severe meiotic phenotype than X chromosome pairing centre deficiencies. To assay the recruitment potential of clusters outside the pairing centre, we amplified clusters of motifs from the centre and right end of the X chromosome, and also from chromosome III. Each of these amplicons recruited HIM-8 in the high-copy extrachromosomal array assay (Fig. 1h; Supplementary Information, Table S1). Although HIM-8 recruitment motifs elsewhere on the X may contribute to segregation, they lack key pairing centre functions; in particular, they do not measurably stabilize homologue pairing in the absence of synapsis ${ }^{7}$. This suggests that pairing centres require a minimal density of binding sites, or perhaps other cis-acting components, for full function.

## Identification of autosomal pairing centre sequence motifs

Our evidence indicates that HIM-8 is recruited in vivo by a sequence previously identified in silico as the most overrepresented short
the six $C$. elegans chromosomes. Each bin along the $x$ axis represents a $500-\mathrm{kb}$ genomic segment. Note that $y$ axes show different scales for each chromosome.
oligonucleotide on the X chromosome. Earlier computational analysis also identified overrepresented sequences on each autosome, relative to the other five chromosomes. These motifs, designated as CeRep45-49, were found to be asymmetrically enriched towards one end of each chromosome ${ }^{19}$. These regions of enrichment roughly correspond with pairing centres, which have been mapped to varying precision on different chromosomes. However, these observations were based entirely on computational analysis and no functions have previously been demonstrated for these abundant motifs.

We revamped the earlier analysis, incorporating the knowledge that pairing centres on chromosomes I and IV share a common zinc finger protein (ZIM-3), as do II and III (ZIM-1). . We found that CeRep45, the most overrepresented sequence on chromosome $\mathrm{I}^{19}$, is also highly enriched in a $120-\mathrm{kb}$ window within the pairing centre region on chromosome IV (Fig. 2; Supplementary Information, Fig. S2). Interestingly, although most repeats on chromosome I are clustered in an alternating orientation (or 'inverted' clusters, Supplementary Information, Table S2), with a total period of 68 bp , the copies on chromosome IV are mostly in tandemly oriented clusters with a 19-bp periodicity (Supplementary Information, Table S2). Amplicons spanning clusters of this motif from either chromosome I or IV strongly recruited ZIM-3 to extrachromosomal arrays (Supplementary Information, Fig. S5a, S5c, Table S1).

Chromosomes II and III both require ZIM-1 for meiotic pairing and synapsis ${ }^{8}$. Although neither CeRep45 nor CeRep46, the sequences most overrepresented on chromosomes II and III, respectively, is highly abundant on the other chromosome, we found that both repeats are associated with a distinct motif, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{G}}$ GGTCTGCTA, which is enriched on both chromosomes (Fig. 2; Supplementary Information, Fig. S3). Amplicons containing clusters of these elements showed specific recruitment of ZIM-1 (Supplementary Information, Fig. S5b, Table S1). Although this motif is predominantly in inverted clusters on chromosomes II and III, the predominant spacing of these elements is different on the two chromosomes (Supplementary Information, Table S2).
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Figure 3 Sequence-specific binding by ZIM-2, ZIM-3, and HIM-8 protein fragments. (a) Diagram of ZIM-2, ZIM-3, and HIM-8 showing the fulllength proteins (blue), the position of the zinc fingers ( ZnF , gold boxes), and the protein fragments expressed in vitro and subjected to SELEX analysis (grey bars). (b) Consensus motifs derived using MEME from the unique sequences identified following four iterations of SELEX (Supplementary Information, Table S3). Protein fragments containing the core ZnF domains of each protein show specific binding to the

Recruitment of pairing centre proteins to chromosome V was more enigmatic. The CeRep49 sequence ([T]TGGGCGCTGCT) ${ }^{19}$ seemed an excellent candidate for ZIM-2 recruitment, as it is highly enriched on chromosome V and also because its base composition and length are similar to those of motifs that recruit the other proteins (Fig. 2; Supplementary Information, Fig. S4). Indeed, we did find that one cluster of this repeat specifically recruited ZIM-2, although less robustly than other ZIM-recruiting arrays (Supplementary Information, Fig. S5d, Table S1). However, a different cluster from chromosome V containing both this motif and the motif TTGGTCGCTGCT, which differs at the underlined base, strongly recruited both ZIM-2 and HIM-8 (Supplementary Information, Fig. S5e, f, Table S1). As shown previously ${ }^{19}$, the organization of CeRep49 repeats on chromosome V is bimodal with respect to spacing, with prominent 18 - and 32 -base periodicities. Clusters with $32-\mathrm{bp}$ spacing contain CeRep49 alone, whereas clusters with $18-\mathrm{bp}$ spacing always include this $\mathrm{G}->\mathrm{T}$ variant motif (Supplementary Information, Table S2). It is unclear whether corecruitment of HIM-8 to arrays containing the second class of cluster is due to the variant sequence, or to the distinct spacing, but it is suggestive that the T variant is more similar to the X chromosome repeat, TTGGTCAGTGCA. No obvious recruitment of HIM-8 is detected cytologically on chromosome V , and genetic evidence indicates that only

DNA sequence TTGGC. The randomized region in the library used for SELEX is $21-26 \mathrm{bp}$, which is significantly larger than the stretch that a 2-finger module can specify. For this reason, oligonucleotides containing two binding sites are more likely to be co-precipitated with tagged proteins than sequences containing a single site (E. Rebar, personal communication). A C-terminal fragment of HIM-8, including the zinc finger domains, specifically binds to the same sequence identified by recruitment of HIM-8 to extrachromosomal arrays.

ZIM-2 is required for efficient pairing and synapsis of this chromosome ${ }^{8}$. Nevertheless, indirect evidence suggests that HIM-8 might contribute to pairing of chromosome V in the absence of ZIM-2, as appreciable crossing-over is detected cytologically in a zim-2 mutant ${ }^{8}$.

## In vitro analysis of pairing centre sequences

HIM-8 and the ZIM proteins each contain two short domains resembling C2H2 zinc fingers ${ }^{20}$, the most common DNA binding motif in metazoa ${ }^{21}$. Data presented here and in previous work ${ }^{8,9}$ indicate that defined chromosomal sequences are able to recruit HIM-8 and the ZIM proteins in vivo, and that missense mutations in the zinc finger domains of HIM-8 disrupt chromosome association ${ }^{9}$. However, the spacing between the Zn -coordinating Cys and His residues in the first finger of the ZIM/HIM-8 family is distinct from the canonical C2H2 spacing seen in proteins such as Zif268 (ref. 22) or Sp1(ref. 23). Furthermore, a single canonical C2H2 zinc finger usually specifies only a 3 or 4 -bp subsite ${ }^{22}$, raising the question of how these unusual proteins with two zinc fingers might recognize the non-palindromic sequences of about 12 bp that we identified.

To determine whether the C 2 H 2 zinc fingers in the ZIM/HIM-8 proteins can bind DNA sequence-specifically, we used a SELEX assay ${ }^{24}$. Briefly, protein fragments expressed in vitro were incubated with a


Figure 4 ZIM/HIM-8 recruiting arrays associate with nuclear envelope components. (a-c) Combined FISH detection of extrachromosomal arrays (red) and immunolocalization of HIM-8 or ZIM-3 (yellow) and ZYG-12:GFP (green). HIM-8 (a) and ZIM-3 (b) recruiting arrays interact with a large patch
of ZYG-12 at the nuclear envelope. The array shown in c does not recruit HIM-8 or any of the ZIM proteins, and does not colocalize with ZYG-12. Arrows indicate clear examples of colocalization (or lack thereof, c). All images are maximum-intensity projections of deconvolved 3D stacks. Scale bars, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
library of double-stranded DNA fragments carrying a randomized 21-bp stretch of DNA. The protein-bound DNA was isolated and amplified, and the cycle was reiterated three times more, after which the bound DNA fragments were sequenced and analysed to derive a consensus. The assay produced very similar results when this analysis was performed with the core zinc finger domains of HIM-8, ZIM-2 and ZIM-3. In all three cases, the pentamer motif TTGGC clearly emerged as the preferred binding site (Fig. 3; Supplementary Information, Table S3). Interestingly, this sequence is similar but not identical to one end of all of the binding motifs we identified in vivo. We next expressed a longer fragment of HIM-8, including the region from the zinc finger domain to the carboxy terminus of the protein. This fragment bound specifically to oligonucleotides containing the consensus ${ }^{T} /{ }_{A}$ TGGTCAGTGC, identical to the full length HIM-8 recruiting repeat we identified in vivo (Fig. 3b; Supplementary Information, Table S3).

Taken together, the SELEX results indicate that HIM-8 uses a composite protein-DNA interaction domain to recognize its full target site. We infer that the distinct recruitment motifs of the ZIM proteins are probably recognized by the combined specificities of their zinc finger domain and a short adjacent C-terminal domain in each protein, and that the
zinc fingers probably specify one end of each binding site containing TTGG. These findings suggest a direct correspondence between each zinc finger protein and the sequences we identified in vivo, suggesting that other co-factors are unlikely to be required to recruit these proteins to their cognate chromosome sequences. Future work may elucidate how these composite DNA-binding domains interact with their cognate binding sites.

## ZIM/HIM-8 recruiting arrays interact with the nuclear envelope

Chromosomal pairing centres are physically associated with the nuclear envelope during early meiotic prophase ${ }^{8,9}$. We observed that when ZIM/ HIM-8 proteins are recruited to the extrachromosomal arrays, they also concentrate at the interface between the array and the nuclear envelope (see Fig. 1f-h). This suggests that the zinc finger proteins recruit their binding sites to the periphery of the array and the nucleus. In work detailed elsewhere (Sato et al. submitted), we have found that sites of contact between endogenous pairing centres and the nuclear envelope are enriched for several proteins that contribute to chromosome segregation. These include the inner and outer nuclear membrane proteins SUN-1 and ZYG-12, which concentrate at discrete patches along the


Figure 5 HIM-8 recruitment motifs are sufficient for pairing centre function. (a) Integration of a HIM-8 recruiting array (see Fig. 1f) onto meDf2, an X chromosome deficient in pairing centres. (b) Hermaphrodite homozygous for meDf2 has unsynapsed X chromosomes in most pachytene nuclei, visualized as axial elements marked by HTP-3 (red) lacking the central region protein SYP-1 (green). Arrows indicate examples of unsynapsed chromosomes. (c) Most meiotic nuclei in meDf2 iels5 hermaphrodites are fully synapsed. (d, e) Stabilization of pairing in the absence of synapsis (syp-2 RNAi) was examined by performing FISH to the integrated array (red). In meDf2 iels5 oocytes (d) the arrays are paired,
nuclear surface during early prophase ${ }^{25,26}$. We therefore tested whether arrays that recruit ZIM/HIM-8 proteins also associate with these nuclear envelope components. We compared the behaviour of three extrachromosomal arrays, two that recruit different zinc finger proteins, and a control, non-recruiting array (Fig. 1g; Supplementary Information, Fig. S5c, Table S1). The ZIM/HIM-8 recruiting arrays clearly associated with patches of SUN-1 and ZYG-12 at the nuclear envelope, in contrast to the non-recruiting array (Fig. 4 and data not shown). Thus, ZIM/ HIM-8 recruitment motifs are sufficient to link the arrays to a protein complex that probably tethers these sequences to the nuclear envelope and mediates interactions with cytoplasmic dynein and microtubules (Sato et al. submitted).
as indicated by only a single region of FISH staining. In him-8; meDf2 iels5 oocytes (e) the arrays are unpaired, indicating that pairing between integrated arrays is him-8 dependent, as seen between endogenous pairing centres ${ }^{9}$. $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$ Oocytes at diakinesis in meDf2 and meDf2 iels5 hermaphrodites. FISH probes to the centre (yellow) and right end (red) identify the X chromosomes. Arrows indicate non-recombinant (univalent) and recombinant (bivalent) $X$ chromosomes in meDf2 and meDf2 iels5 hermaphrodites, respectively. (h) Quantification of recombinant X chromosomes. (i) Quantification of males produced by self-fertilizing hermaphrodites of the indicated genotypes. Scale bars, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## HIM-8 recruiting sequences are sufficient to restore pairing centre activity to the X chromosome

Pairing centres contribute to meiotic chromosomal segregation by stabilizing homologue pairing and promoting synapsis${ }^{7}$. To test whether a dense cluster of HIM-8 recruitment motifs is sufficient to restore these pairing centre functions to an X chromosome lacking its endogenous pairing centre, a HIM-8-recruiting extrachromosomal array was integrated onto the meDf2 chromosome, which is deficient in 'pairing centre activity' (meDf2 ieIs5; see Methods; Fig. 5a). Whereas the X chromosomes in meDf2 homozygotes usually fail to synapse (Fig. 5b) ${ }^{7}$, in meDf2 ieIs 5 nearly all nuclei showed complete homologous synapsis (Fig. 5c), demonstrating that HIM-8 recruitment by the


Figure 6 ZIM/HIM-8 proteins can interchangeably support pairing centre function. (a-d) Oocytes at diakinesis from zim-2, zim-2;iels12, him-8 and him-8; iels14 hermaphrodites. FISH probes to the 5S rDNA (red in a, b) and an $X$-chromosome repeat (red in c, d) were used to identify chromosomes $V$ and $X$, respectively. In animals carrying chromosomal insertions of ZIM-2/HIM-8 binding sites iels12 (b) and iels14 (d), the chromosome-specific probes localize to a single bivalent, which is also marked by a FISH probe to $\lambda$ DNA (green), indicating that the insertion of binding sites restored crossover recombination on chromosome V in zim- 2 animals and the X chromosome in him- 8 animals. (e) Quantification of bivalent X (red) and V (orange) chromosomes. (f) Quantification of males produced by self-fertilizing hermaphrodites of the indicated genotypes. (g, h) Arrays of binding sites (red) inserted into two different chromosomes
inserted array can mediate proper synapsis of the X chromosomes. We also analysed pairing of the X chromosomes in the absence of synapsis by knocking down expression of syp-2, an essential synaptonemal complex component ${ }^{27}$, by RNA interference (RNAi). Throughout the
(iels12/+; iels14/+ and iels13/+; iels15/+) were assayed for their ability to promote stable pairing between non-homologous chromosomes in the absence of synapsis (syp-2 RNAi). (i) Synapsis was analysed in animals heterozygous for two different insertions (ie/s13/+; ie/s15/+) by immunostaining of synaptonemal complex components. Nuclei containing unsynapsed chromosomes, visualized as segments positive for the axial element protein HTP-3, (red) but lacking transverse filament proteins including SYP-1 (green), usually contain integrated arrays (blue) that are paired and synapsed with each other, indicating nonhomologous synapsis between chromosomes $V$ and $X$ (arrows). In contrast, nuclei with fully synapsed chromosomes often contained unpaired arrays (blue), indicating that all chromosomes are likely synapsed with their appropriate homologues (arrowheads). Scale bars, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
early meiotic region of the gonad, hybridization to the integrated array showed only a single region of staining (Fig. 5d), indicating that these inserted sequences can stabilize pairing between homologous X chromosomes in the absence of synapsis. By introducing the him-8(tm611)
null allele ${ }^{9}$, we found that both the pairing and synapsis mediated by the integrated array require HIM-8 function (Fig. 5e and data not shown), much as these events do when mediated by the endogenous X chromosome PC.

Pairing and synapsis enable chromosomes to complete crossover recombination, which is required for chiasma formation and homologue segregation at the first meiotic division. To determine whether meDf2 ieIs5 chromosomes undergo crossing-over, we scored the frequency of bivalents at diakinesis (Fig. 5f-h). As shown previously ${ }^{3}$, most oocytes (65.6\%) in meDf2 hermaphrodites had univalent X chromosomes. By contrast, $99.4 \%$ of oocyte nuclei in meDf2 ieIs5 homozygotes had bivalent (recombinant) X chromosomes. The fidelity of X chromosome meiotic segregation can be quantified in C. elegans by the frequency of male self-progeny produced by hermaphrodites. meDf2 ieIs5 hermaphrodites produced $1.8 \%$ males, which is markedly fewer than meDf2 homozygotes (33.1\%; Fig. 5i). We conclude that integration of many copies of a 539-bp segment that recruits HIM-8 rescues pairing, synapsis, crossing-over and segregation defects arising from deletion of the endogenous pairing centre.

## Pairing centre function does not require a specific chromosome position or zinc finger protein

In our initial rescue experiment, HIM-8 motifs were fortuitously integrated towards the left end of the meDf2 chromosome, near their natural location. We carried out additional experiments to determine whether the position on the chromosome is essential for pairing centre activity, and also whether a particular chromosome requires a specific member of the ZIM/ HIM-8 family. Identification of sequences that recruit both HIM-8 and ZIM-2 to arrays allowed us to address these questions about pairing centre plasticity. We UV-irradiated animals carrying such an array and screened for integration events onto either chromosome V or X (Supplementary Information, Fig. S5e, f). Two independent integrations into the left (nonpairing centre) arm of chromosome V were recovered (ieIs12 and ieIs13), as were two integrations on the right (non-pairing centre) arm of $X$ (ieIs14 and ieIs15). The integrated arrays on chromosome V were homozygosed and crossed with zim-2(tm574), which eliminates ZIM-2 activity ${ }^{8}$, whereas the X chromosome integrants were crossed with him-8(mn253) to abrogate HIM-8 function. In all cases the presence of the array on chromosome V or X resulted in restoration of bivalent formation relative to zim- 2 or him-8 mutants, respectively (Fig. 6a-e). Disjunction of the X chromosome (as measured by the frequency of male self-progeny) was also rescued in the absence of HIM-8, presumably by ZIM-2 association with the X-linked integrated array (Fig. 6f). These results indicate that ZIM-2 can promote crossing-over on the X chromosome, that HIM-8 can promote crossingover on V, and that the major ZIM/HIM-8 binding cluster does not require a specific chromosome position to function.

Integration of the same extrachromosomal array onto two different chromosomes also provided an opportunity to address whether such 'artificial pairing centres' can promote pairing and synapsis between non-homologous chromosomes. We generated trans-heterozygotes by crossing worms with the ZIM-2/HIM-8 recruiting array integrated on V (ieIs12 or ieIs13) to animals with the same array integrated on the X chromosomes (ieIs14 or ieIs15). We looked at whether such 'matching' pairing centres could stabilize pairing between non-homologous chromosomes in the absence of synapsis, and/or promote non-homologous synapsis. To look at pairing in the absence of synapsis, we visualized the integrated arrays in worms subjected to syp-2 RNAi. In all four trans-heterozygous combinations, the ZIM-2/HIM-8 recruiting
arrays on $X$ and $V$ were consistently paired ( $99 \%$ of nuclei) throughout the normal leptotene/zygotene and pachytene regions of the gonad (Fig. 6g, h; Supplementary Information, Table S4), indicating that non-homologous chromosomes did indeed undergo robust, stable pairing.

When synapsis was allowed to proceed in animals carrying the matching integrated arrays on non-homologous chromosomes, the meiotic configuration of individual nuclei was more variable, probably because of competition between the endogenous pairing centres and the integrated arrays (Fig. 6i; Supplementary Information, Table S4). In $71 \%$ of pachytene-stage nuclei, the integrated arrays were together and the associated non-homologous chromosomes were synapsed, whereas the true homologous partners of the two array-bearing chromosomes remained unsynapsed. $10 \%$ of nuclei showed complete homologous synapsis with the integrated arrays apart, indicating that the arrays occasionally failed to induce non-homologous synapsis even if they had initially paired. An additional $6 \%$ of nuclei showed complete synapsis, yet the integrated arrays were closely associated. This may reflect physical association between two pairs of homologously synapsed chromosomes; alternatively the normal copies of V and X may have synapsed with each other, or aberrantly loaded synaptonemal complex without pairing.

Together, these data suggest that the artificial pairing centres created by integrated zinc finger-binding arrays have potent pairing activity and that they can sometimes, but not always, mediate synapsis between nonhomologous chromosomes even in the presence of homologous partners carrying intact pairing centres. These results are consistent with the idea that the integrated arrays and endogenous pairing centres compete to initiate synapsis. We emphasize, however, that the integrated arrays have important differences from endogenous pairing centres. Not only are the repeats probably present at higher density and much higher copy number within the artificial pairing centres, but within natural pairing centres the zinc finger-recruiting motifs are distributed among hundreds of kilobases of chromosome-specific sequences. We consider it likely that these interspersed sequences have an important role in specifying partner choice, perhaps by stabilizing and/or destabilizing pairing. This would explain why pairing between ZIM-1- or ZIM-3-binding pairing centres on different chromosomes is not detected in wild-type animals ${ }^{8}$.

## ZIM/HIM-8 recruiting arrays induce meiotic defects

Consistent with the aberrant interactions observed between arrays on nonhomologous chromosomes, animals carrying free HIM-8 and ZIM recruiting arrays showed meiotic defects, including unsynapsed chromosomes, univalents, and male and inviable progeny (Supplementary Information, Fig. S6). These defects may reflect aberrant pairing between arrays and endogenous pairing centres dependent on the same zinc finger protein or titration of the ZIM/HIM-8 proteins off the endogenous pairing centre onto the array. However, these are unlikely to be the only explanations, as other chromosomes also showed segregation defects; for example, ZIMbinding arrays induced a weak Him phenotype, indicating missegregation of the X chromosome. This suggests that the arrays may titrate limiting components, such as nuclear envelope proteins, away from endogenous pairing centres that do not share the same zinc finger dependence.

## DISCUSSION

These findings help to illuminate the mechanisms underlying homologue pairing, synapsis and segregation during meiosis. They demonstrate that pairing centre functions are defined by the binding of ZIM/HIM-8 proteins,
through a composite DNA binding domain, to a concentrated locus on each chromosome, and that consequent association with a complex of proteins at the nuclear envelope is both necessary and sufficient to facilitate specific pairing and synapsis between homologous chromosomes.

Although evidence presented here shows that a high-copy, highdensity cluster of HIM-8 or ZIM protein binding sites can promote chromosome pairing and synapsis, the question of how chromosomes recognize their appropriate partners remains unclear. Previous work has demonstrated that the identity of the HIM-8/ZIM protein cannot specify partner choice during meiosis; indeed, we suspect that all pairing centres are likely to have derived from a common motif recognized by a single ancestral binding protein ${ }^{8}$. This would be more analogous to the situation in many other organisms, where shared telomeric repeats mediate association with the nuclear envelope to promote meiotic chromosome interactions. In light of this, it is interesting that the pentameric motif recognized by the HIM-8 and ZIM zinc finger cores (TTGGC) is closely related to the telomeric repeat in C. elegans (TTAGGC). It seems most likely that homology is assessed at unique sequences interspersed with and/or adjacent to the major clusters of binding sites on each chromosome. Future work may reveal how this assessment is accomplished, and how recruitment of HIM-8 and the ZIM proteins contributes to this fundamental mechanism underlying sexual reproduction.

## METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at http://www.nature.com/naturecellbiology/
Note: Supplementary Information is available on the Nature Cell Biology website.
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## METHODS

SNP mapping. The boundaries of the deficiencies meDf2, meDf3, meDf5, and $y D f 19$ were mapped using 'snip-SNPs', polymorphisms that alter a restriction site between N2 Bristol and a Hawaiian isolate of C. elegans ${ }^{12,13}$. A unc-1 dpy-3 strain with Hawaiian-derived SNPs to the left of $d p y$ - 3 was generated by extensive outcrossing to the Hawaiian strain CB4856. mnDp66; Dfmales were then crossed to these Hawaiian unc-1 dpy-3 hermaphrodites. Cross progeny were allowed to self-fertilize, and Unc nonDpy F2s (lacking mnDp66, which carries N2 SNP alleles) were lysed and their DNA was genotyped for seven SNPs ranging from $0.05-2.07 \mathrm{Mb}$ from the left end of the $X$ chromosome. Detection of only the Hawaiian digest pattern indicated that a SNP lies within the deletion, whereas both N 2 and Hawaiian alleles were expected for SNPs outside the deletion.

Progeny analysis. Hermaphrodites were picked to individual plates as L4s and moved daily to fresh plates until they no longer laid eggs. All of their self-progeny were counted to determine the proportion of unhatched (dead) eggs and adult males and hermaphrodites. The following strains were analysed: ieEx69 (ZIM-3 recruiting array derived from the pairing centre region of chromosome I; $n=1370$ ), ieEx29 (ZIM-1 recruiting array derived from an amplicon in the pairing centre region of chromosome II; $n=1,754$ ), ieEx41 (HIM-8 recruiting array generated from pairing centre region of X chromosome; $n=3,170$ ), wildtype ( $n=1,954$ ), mnDp66;meDf2 $(n=2,217)$, mnDp66;unc-119(ed3);meDf2 ieIs5 ( $n=3,637$ ), ieIs14 $(n=2,370)$, ieIs15 $(n=1,663)$, him-8(mn253) $(n=1,315)$, him-8(mn253); ieIs14 ( $n=1,526$ ), and him-8(mn253); ieIs15 ( $n=1,111$ ).

Extrachromosomal arrays and integration. Extrachromosomal arrays were generated by injecting DNA mixtures including a plasmid carrying a phenotypic marker (rol6(su1006), unc-119(+), or myo-2::GFP) at $10-100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, phage $\lambda$ DNA (HindIII digested; New England Biolabs) at $50-100 ~ \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, and candidate DNA sequences on cosmids, plasmids, or PCR products, at $10-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. PCR primers are listed in Supplementary Information, Table S1.

A synthetic $84-\mathrm{bp}$ oligonucleotide consisted of four tandem copies of the sequence AATTTGTGTTGGTCAGTGCAA. Both DNA strands were synthesized, annealed and co-injected with plasmids carrying phenotypic markers. Similar results were obtained when the same sequence was cloned into a TOPO vector (Invitrogen).

To integrate a HIM-8 recruiting array containing a 539-bp amplicon from cosmid K06A9 (ieEx22), array-bearing animals were crossed to the X chromosome pairing centre deficiency strain mnDp66(X;I);unc-119(ed3) III; meDf2 X. ieEx22 contains an unc-119 rescuing construct, so the resulting array-bearing, meDf2 F2 animals were nonUnc and Him. The worms were washed four times in M9 and placed on a $10-\mathrm{cm}$ unseeded NGM plate. Once the liquid had absorbed into the agar, the plate was placed uncovered into a Stratalinker, and irradiated with $350 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ of 254-nm light. The animals were allowed to recover for 4 h on food. Four L4s or young adults were then transferred to each of 40 plates. When their progeny reached the L4 larval stage, 500 of these F1s were picked to individual plates. From each F1, three F2 animals were picked to individual plates and their progeny (F3s) were screened for stable transmission of the nonUnc phenotype. A single integrant that mapped to the X chromosome was recovered. This arraycontaining X chromosome was outcrossed three times to meDf2 animals to generate the mnDp66 (X;I); unc-119(ed3) III; meDf2 ieIs5 X strain used for analysis.

Because a duplication of the X chromosome pairing centre region, $m n D p 66$, was present in the original irradiated animals, we checked to make sure that the improvement in segregation was not a result of this duplication recombining back onto the X chromosome. The $u n c-1$ locus is present on $m n D p 66$ and absent from $m e D f 2$. The $d p y-3$ locus is present on $m e D f 2$ and absent on $m n D p 66$. We therefore crossed mnDp66; meDf2 ieIs5 hermaphrodites to N 2 males, and mated the resulting male cross progeny, $m n D p 66 /+$; meDf2 ieIs5, to unc-1 dpy-3 hermaphrodites. Unc nonDpy progeny (unc-1 dpy-3/meDf2 ieIs5) were recovered at the expected frequency of $50 \%$, indicating that the unc-1 region is still missing from the meDf2 ieIs5 X chromosome.

Chromosomal integration of a ZIM-2/HIM-8 recruiting array was performed similarly to the integration of the HIM-8 recruiting array above, except that the extrachromosomal array was derived from a region of sequence motifs from chromosome V with $18-\mathrm{bp}$ spacing and carried a dominant rol-6(su1006) marker
and except for the presence of the array (ieEx75), the parental animals carried a normal karyotype. F1s (230) were individually plated after UV irradiation. Ten lines were identified that produced $100 \%$ Rol progeny, of which two mapped to the left arm of chromosome V (ieIs12 and ieIs13) and two mapped to the right arm of the X chromosome (ieIs14 and ieIs15).

Immunofluorescence and FISH. Cytological methods were performed as described previously ${ }^{9}$. A FISH probe specific for the extrachromosomal and integrated arrays was synthesized from $\lambda$-phage DNA as previously described ${ }^{8}$. Chromosome-specific probes recognizing the middle and right ends of the $X$ chromosome and to the 5S rDNA on chromosome V have been described previously ${ }^{9,28}$.

To quantify the frequency of recombinant chromosomes, hermaphrodites were picked as L 4 s and maintained at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. Adults were dissected, fixed, and hybridized with appropriate fluorescent probes to allow unambiguous identification of the relevant chromosomes. Three-dimensional images of oocyte nuclei at diakinesis were recorded and chromosomes were scored as bivalent (both homologues connected) or univalent (separate). The number of nuclei scored for each experiment were as follows: X chromosomes in wild-type ( $n=162$ ), mnDp66;meDf2 $(n=121)$, mnDp66;unc-119(ed3);meDf2 ieIs5 $(n=174)$, ieIs14 ( $n=157$ ), ieIs15 $(n=110)$, him-8(mn253) $(n=150)$, him-8(mn253); ieIs14 $(n=238)$, and him-8(mn253); ieIs15 $(n=300)$; chromosome V in the following strains: wild-type $(n=194)$, ieIs12 $(n=105)$, ieIs13 $(n=115)$, zim-2(tm574) $(n=140)$, zim-2(tm574);ieIs12 $(n=155)$, and zim-2(tm574);ieIs13 ( $n=127$ ). For all experiments involving integrated arrays, an array-specific probe was included to confirm that the chromosome being analysed (that is, X or V ) contained an array.

Feeding RNAi. To examine chromosome pairing in the absence of synapsis, expression of syp-2, which encodes essential component of the central region of synaptonemal complex, was eliminated by feeding RNAi in $m n D p 66$; unc-119(ed3); meDf2 ieIs5 and mnDp66; unc-119(ed3); him-8(tm611); meDf2 ieIs5 animals, and also in the trans-heterozygous progeny of [ieIs12 or ieIs13] and [ieIs14 or ieIs15]. L4 larvae were placed on plates containing IPTG with lawns of bacteria containing RNAi clone sjj_C24G6.1 (ref. 29). Their progeny were dissected and stained $20-24 \mathrm{~h}$ post-L4. To examine cross-progeny of animals carrying arrays on different chromosomes, matings were carried out on syp-2 RNAi lawns and the resulting transheterozygotes were maintained on syp-2 RNAi plates until dissection, $20-24 \mathrm{~h}$ post-L4. Absence of synapsis was verified by SYP- 1 immunofluorescence in all analysed animals.

Computational identification of motifs. To determine whether sequences related to TTGGTCAGTGCT might also be enriched on the X chromosome, a Perl script was written to search the genome, allowing variation at one nucleotide within the sequence at a time. The script was run iteratively to analyse any related sequences that were enriched at least 5 -fold on the X chromosome relative to the autosomes. All such derived, enriched X chromosome motifs are presented in Supplementary Information, Fig. S1a. The same method was used to identify sequences related to the ZIM recruitment motifs that are enriched on the autosomes (Supplementary Information, Figs S2a, S3a, Fig. S4a).

SELEX. In vitro site selection by SELEX was performed exactly as described previously ${ }^{24}$. Fragments of ZIM/HIM-8 cDNA constructs were amplified by PCR, in a two-step scheme, to yield linear products carrying HA-tags at the carboxy terminus. A library of DNA fragments carrying a $21-\mathrm{bp}$ randomized sequence flanked by a constant region was used for experiments on ZIM-2 and ZIM-3, whereas two libraries, one with a $21-\mathrm{bp}$ and the other a $26-\mathrm{bp}$ randomized portion, was used for experiments on HIM-8 (data presented are collate findings from both). The MEME server ${ }^{30}$ was used to identify motifs and generate the logograms presented.
28. Dernburg, A. F. et al. Meiotic recombination in C. elegans initiates by a conserved mechanism and is dispensable for homologous chromosome synapsis. Cell 94, 387398 (1998).
29. Kamath, R. S. \& Ahringer, J. Genome-wide RNAi screening in Caenorhabditis elegans. Methods 30, 313-321 (2003).
30. Bailey, T. L. \& Elkan, C. Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Mol. Biol. 2, 28-36 (1994).

| a | $I$ | $I I$ | $I I I$ | $I V$ | $V$ | $X$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TTGGTCAGTGCA | 3 | 8 | 23 | 4 | 13 | 239 |
| TTGGTCAGTGCT | 7 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 83 |  |
| TTTGTCAGTGCA | 5 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 82 |  |
| TTGGTCAGTGCG | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 50 |  |
| CTGGTCAGTGCA | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 40 |  |
| GTGGTCAGTGCA | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 36 |  |
| TTGGTCAGTGCC | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 30 |  |
| ATGGTCAGTGCA | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 26 |  |
| TCGGTCAGTGCA | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 20 |  |



V





Figure S1 HIM-8 recruitment motifs. (a) A family of related motifs is enriched on the $X$ chromosome relative to the autosomes. The two most abundant motifs both recruit HIM-8 in our extrachromosomal array assay. (b) Distribution of the most abundant HIM-8 recruitment motif
(yellow in (a)). The number of occurrences in each 500-kb region is plotted over the length of each chromosome. The majority of HIM-8 recruitment motifs on the $X$ chromosome lie between 0.5 and 1.5 Mb from the left end.
a

|  | $I$ | $I I$ | $I I I$ | $I V$ | $V$ | $X$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TTGGTTGAGGCT | 797 | 1 | 10 | 190 | 1 | 2 |
| TTGAGTGAGGCT | 91 | 1 | 1 | 126 | 4 | 4 |
| TTGATTGAGGCT | 26 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| TTAAGTGAGGCT | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 |
| TTCGTTGAGGCT | 20 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| TTGGTTGAGACT | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 |








Figure S2 ZIM-3 recruitment motifs. (a) A family of related motifs is enriched on chromosomes / and $/ V$ relative to the other chromosomes. (b) Distribution of the most abundant ZIM-3 recruitment motif (orange in (a)). The number of occurrences in each 500-kb region is plotted over the length of each chromosome.

a |  | $I$ | $I I$ | $I I I$ | $I V$ | $V$ | $X$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TGGGTCTGCTA | 1 | 277 | 228 | 2 | 61 |
| TTGGTCTGCTA | 1 | 157 | 202 | 1 | 28 | 7 |
| TGGGTATGCTA | 2 | 7 | 133 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
|  | ATGGTCTGCTA | 3 | 25 | 75 | 2 | 24 |



Figure S3 ZIM-1 recruitment motifs. (a) A family of related motifs is enriched on chromosomes $/ /$ and $/ / /$ relative to the other chromosomes. (b) Distribution of the two most abundant ZIM-1 recruitment motifs (dark and light green in (a)). The number of occurrences in each 500-kb region is plotted over the length of each chromosome.

a |  |  | $I$ | $I I$ | $I I I$ | $I V$ | $V$ | $X$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | TTGGGCGCTGCT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 926 | 90 |
| TTGGTCGCTGCT | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 105 | 9 |  |
| TTAGGCGCTGCT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 3 |  |
| TTGAGCGCTGCT | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 1 |  |
|  | TTGGGCTCTGCT | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 |








Figure S4 ZIM-2 recruitment motifs. (a) A family of related motifs is enriched on chromosome $V$ relative to the other chromosomes. (b) Distribution of the most abundant ZIM-2 recruitment motif (red in (a)). The number of occurrences in each 500-kb region is plotted over the length of each chromosome.


Figure S5 Recruitment of ZIMs to autosomal motifs. (a-f) Immunostaining of the indicated ZIM protein (yellow) was combined with FISH to the extrachromosomal array (red). Diagram to the right indicates location in the genome from which each PCR product in (a-f) was generated. See Materials and Methods and table S1 for details. Recruitment of ZIM-2 by an amplicon from chromosome $V(\mathbf{d})$ was less robust than the other examples. An
extrachromosomal array generated from a segment on chromosome $V$ recruits both ZIM-2 (e) and HIM-8 (f). The proteins show similar but not precisely overlapping localization to the array. Note that in (f), a HIM-8 focus can be seen that localizes to the endogenous $X$ chromosome PC, in addition to the array. All images are maximum-intensity projections of deconvolved 3D stacks. Scale bars represent $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

SYP-1
FISH to array

| d |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% males <br> \# of adults scored) | range of \% males | \% viable embryos <br> \# of embryos scored) | range of <br> \% viable embryos |
| I | $0.7(1046)$ | $0.0-2.5$ | $76.4(1370)$ | $61.8-86.7$ |
| II | $0.7(1243)$ | $0.0-5.1$ | $70.9(1754)$ | $21.7-98.9$ |
| $X$ | $4.3(2991)$ | $2.1-12.3$ | $94.4(3170)$ | $85.6-100.0$ |

Figure $\mathbf{S 6}$ ZIM/HIM-8 recruiting arrays produce meiotic defects. (a-c) Unsynapsed chromosomes are visualized as regions of HTP-3 staining (red) that lack SYP-1 (green). Extrachromosomal arrays are detected by FISH to $\lambda$-phage DNA (blue). Nuclei with unsynapsed (arrows) and fully synapsed chromosomes (arrowheads) are observed. Some nuclei lack the array, due to irregular mitotic segregation and resulting mosaicism. All images are maximum intensity projections. Scale bars represent $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (a) ZIM-3 recruiting array derived from the chromosome / PC region. (b) ZIM-1 recruiting array generated from the chromosome // PC region. (c) HIM-8 recruiting array generated from the $X$ chromosome PC region. (d) Frequency of males and viable embryos observed among whole broods of
hermaphrodites carrying extrachromosomal arrays generated from the PC region of chromosome I (ieEx69), I/ (ieEx29), or X (ieEx41). The ranges of observed segregation defects for individual hermaphrodites carrying the same array are indicated. Individual arrays preferentially disrupted segregation of the particular chromosomes that depend on the ZnF protein they recruit. For example, many of the HIM-8 recruiting lines produced abundant male self-progeny but few dead embryos, indicating a preferential effect on meiotic segregation of the $X$ chromosomes. In contrast, several ZIM-1 and ZIM-3 recruiting lines produced many dead eggs with a moderate number of males, more reminiscent of the zim mutant phenotypes (C. M. Phillips, A. F. Dernburg, Dev Cell 11, 817 (Dec, 2006)).

| Chromosome | Name | Description | Figure | Type | Start location | End location | Length | Forward primer | Reverse primer | Recruitment | Co-transformation Marker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | ieEx2 | T08D2 |  | cosmid | 159,154 | 199,130 | 39,977 |  |  | none | unc-119+ |
|  | ieEx4, ieEx5, ieEx8 | cosmid pool A |  | pool |  |  | 98,652 |  |  | none | rol-6 |
|  |  | (ZK380) |  | cosmid | 1,628,595 | 1,656,984 | 28,390 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (R09H3) |  | cosmid | 1,657,483 | 1,668,169 | 10,687 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (F07G6) |  | cosmid | 1,702,425 | 1,741,393 | 38,969 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (F31A9) |  | cosmid | 1,777,293 | 1,797,898 | 20,606 |  |  |  |  |
|  | not available | cosmid pool B |  | pool |  |  | 168,964 |  |  | HIM-8 | myo-2::GFP |
|  |  | (K06A9) |  | cosmid | 1,520,293 | 1,559,865 | 39,573 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (F07G6) |  | cosmid | 1,702,425 | 1,741,393 | 38,969 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (B0294) |  | cosmid | 1,892,089 | 1,902,865 | 10,777 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (F52D2) |  | cosmid | 1,948,255 | 1,989,639 | 41,385 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (F49H12) |  | cosmid | 2,056,571 | 2,094,830 | 38,260 |  |  |  |  |
|  | not available | B0294 |  | cosmid | 1,892,089 | 1,902,865 | 10,777 |  |  | none | myo-2::GFP |
|  | not available | K06A9 |  | cosmid | 1,520,293 | 1,559,865 | 39,573 |  |  | HIM-8 | myo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx9 | K06A9-left |  | pool | 1,525,558 | 1,540,404 | 14,847 | gtgcattgatttgggagagg ccacttgagaaccgttctg atccaaaagcactccatccc | cagaaacggttctcaagtgg ttgggatggagtgctttgg cctaccaatctgaagtccag | none | myo-2::GFP |
|  |  |  |  | PCR product | 1,525,558 | 1,530,937 | 5,380 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | PCR product | 1,530,918 | 1,536,182 | 5,265 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | PCR product | 1,536,161 | 1,540,404 | 4,244 |  |  |  |  |
|  | not available | K06A9_5kb |  | PCR product | 1,540,385 | 1,545,886 | 5,502 | ctggacttcagattggtagg | gagggacatacaccttgtc | HIM-8 | unc-119+, mvo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx10, ieEx11 | K06A9_1kb_1 |  | PCR product | 1,540,385 | 1,541,396 | 1,012 | ctggacttcagattggtagg | ggctcaaaaagtgaacaggg | none | mvo-2:GFP |
|  | ieEx12 | K06A9_1kb_2 |  | PCR product | 1,541,260 | 1,542,366 | 1,107 | acgeccegttactttttcc | ctaatcagttttggcaagcttc | none | myo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx13 | K06A9_1kb_3 |  | PCR product | 1,542,115 | 1,543,479 | 1,365 | acataccgacatcatagcag | gaggtgtgaaatgttgcagg | none | mvo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx14 | K06A9_1kb_5 |  | PCR product | 1,544,055 | 1,545,118 | 1,064 | gtcgtgacaatcacatgacac | tattccacaagtctcggagg | HIM-8 | myo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx15 | K06A9_1kb_6 |  | PCR product | 1,544,910 | 1,545,886 | 977 | ttagttctccggcttatccc | gagggacatacaccttatc | none | myo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx17 | K06A9_546bp |  | PCR product | 1,544,055 | 1,544,600 | 546 | gtcgtgacaatcacatgacac | cacaatttatgagtggtagatc | none | unc-119+, mvo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx18-ieEx26 | K06A9_539bp | 1 f | PCR product | 1,544,477 | 1,545,015 | 539 | tgataagaaactttgtaaatggc | gaattgatatgcaaaagttgatc | HIM-8 | unc-119+, myo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx39-ieEx42 | left_end_1 | 1g, 4a, S6c | PCR product | 1,292,750 | 1,293,641 | 892 | actatgtgaccattgcaccc | gacccagttggaagcattcc | HIM-8 | unc-119+, mvo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx44, ieEx47 | left_end_2 |  | PCR product | 920,701 | 921,111 | 411 | cactgacgacaattaccacg | aattgatagagggaaatggc | HIM-8 | unc-119+, myo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx73, ieEx 74 | middle |  | PCR product | 6,286,941 | 6,288,814 | 1,874 | tccagaagtatttgtccgcc | atggactaaagacggaccac | HIM-8 | rol-6 |
|  | ieEx71, ieEx72 | right_end |  | PCR product | 12,126,536 | 12,127,269 | 734 | agacaacattcacagcctgc | tcccattcagagtttccetg | HIM-8 | rol-6 |
|  | ieEx56, ieEx60 | 84 bp oligo |  | oligonucleotide |  |  | 84 |  |  | HIM-8 | unc-119+, mvo-2:.GEP |


| 1 | ieEx69, ieEx70 | 1 | S5a, S6a | PCR product | 13,883,701 | 13,885,547 | 1,847 | gacacttactgcacagcagg | tcatttgtgtccagtagaga | ZIM-3 | unc-119+, mvo-2:.:GFP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | ieEx29, ieEx30 | II | S6b, S6b | PCR product | 1,303,119 | 1,304,835 | 1,717 | tagtaggaacgagccaattce | attggagaaattgggcctgc | ZIM-1 | unc-119+, mvo-2.:GFP |
| III | ieEx31, ieEx32 | III |  | PCR product | 78,566 | 79,885 | 1,320 | cgatttgtcacgtgtagagtg | attagcagacceagctatgg | ZIM-1 (weak) | unc-119+, myo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx78 | III |  | PCR product | 340,603 | 341,464 | 862 | ttttgttcgagcgtcattcg | aatgcgaagaaggcagaagc | ZIM-1 (weak) | rol-6 |
|  | ieEx77 | $X$ PC on III | 1h | PCR product | 1,243,666 | 1,244,174 | 509 | ggataggagtttttgccgc | tgaatttgccataaattgccc | HIM-8 | rol-6 |
| IV | ieEx33, ieEx34 | IV | 4b, S5c | PCR product | 122,506 | 123,738 | 1,233 | gatgccagcttgtcgaagag | gaggatgatgttccattgag | ZIM-3 | unc-119+, mvo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx37, ieEx38 | 40dH08 | 4c | fosmid | 2,441,775 | 2,477,542 | 35,768 |  |  | none | unc-119+. mvo-2:.:GFP |
| $v$ | ieEx35, ieEx36 | $V$ (32bp) | S5d | PCR product | 18,877,099 | 18,878,680 | 1,582 | tcccaaactcggcaaacctg | tttgtagcattagcagcacc | ZIM-2 (weak) | unc-119+, mvo-2::GFP |
|  | ieEx75, ie Ex76 | $V$ (18bp) | S5e, S5f | PCR product | 19,110,852 | 19,112,083 | 1,232 | cacgttgtttgattggagg | tcttggagctgttgaagcg | ZIM-2/HIM-8 | rol-6 |

Table S1 Transgenic lines generated for ZIM/HIM-8 recruitment assays. The genomic location, size of clone or amplicon, primers (if applicable), and co-transformation markers are indicated for the transgenic lines generated in this work. Also indicated are the results of the recruitment assay and the figure (if any) in which cytological data are presented.

| Chromosome | ZIM | Recruitment motif | Orientation | Spacing |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $I$ | ZIM-3 | TTGGTTGAGGCT | inverted | $35-40 \mathrm{bp} / 28 \mathrm{bp}$ | total interval of 68 bp |
| II | ZIM-1 | TGGGTCTGCTA | inverted | $28 \mathrm{bp} / 35 \mathrm{bp}$ | total interval of 63 bp |
| III | ZIM-1 | TTGGTCTGCTA | TGGGTCTGCTA | inverted | $14 \mathrm{bp} / 17 \mathrm{bp}$ |
| IV | ZIM-tal interval of 31 bp |  |  |  |  |
| $V$ | ZIM-3 | TTGGTTGCTAGGCT | tandem | 19 bp |  |
| $V$ | ZIM-2 | TTGGGCGCTGCT | tandem | 32 bp |  |
| $X$ | HIM-8 | TTGGCGCTGCT | tandem | 18 bp |  |

Table S2 ZIM/HIM-8 recruitment motifs vary in spacing and relative orientation. Relative orientation and spacing of recruitment motifs within clusters are indicated. Both isolated, inverted pairs and larger inverted clusters of $\mathrm{ZIM}-3$ recruitment motifs are common in the PC region of chromosome I. By contrast, chromosome IV contains this motif primarily in closely spaced tandem clusters. On both chromosomes II and III, ZIM-1 binding clusters contain alternating copies of the two most common motifs in inverted orientation. However, the predominant spacing between clustered copies is different on the two chromosomes. Chromosome $V$ has two subtypes of binding site clusters, both containing motifs in tandem orientation. One type contains only the TTGGGCGCTGCT with a predominant spacing of approximately 32 bp. The second class contains a mixture of TTGGGCGCTGCT and TTGGTCGCTGCT, with a tighter spacing.

Table S3. Oligonucleotide sequences from SELEX assays. Unique oligonucleotides bound by the ZIM$2, Z \mathrm{IM}-3$, and HIM-8 protein fragments following four cycles of the SELEX assay. The sequences have been aligned and the consensus motifs derived by MEME analysis are highlighted.
(a) Oligonucleotides bound to $\mathrm{ZIM}-2 \mathrm{ZnF}$ core fragment
(101 unique sequences, 21 bp each)

```
    CGTGTTGGCAAGGTGCCAAAG
        CTGTTGGCACGCTGCCAAGTG
    TGAATTGGCACCCTGCCAAAT
            CTTGGCACGCGGCCAAGGCCT
        CACTTGGCAATCTGCCAAGAA
        ACCTGGCAAGGTGCCAACCTC
CAATCTCTGGCACGCTGCCAA
    TCACCTGGCAAGGTGCCAAGT
GACTCTTGGCAACGGGCCAAA
    CATGTTGGCAATGCGCCAATA
    CATGTTGGCAATGCGCCAATA
            ССTGGCACCCTGCCAACCCAG
    GACCCTGGCAATCTGCCAATA
TGACGCTGGCACGATGCCAAG
        GGCTTTGGCACGCTTCCAATTA
            CTGGCACGATGCCAAGGTTGG
        TTTTTGGCAAGGTTCCAATAT
            ATTTGGCATTGGGCCAAAGGA
                TTGGCACCCAGCCAAGGGCCA
    TACCGCTGGCACCCGGCCAAT
        ATGTTGGCAGGGCGCCAAGTG
    ATGGTTTGGCAATGTTCCAAA
    GCTATTTGGCACGAAGCCAAT
    TCAACCTGGCACCATGCCAAC
        TGCTGGCAGGGTGCCAATTAG
            CTTGGCAACCAGCCAAATAAC
        ACCTCTGGCAATGCGCCAAAC
    CCAGAATTGGCACTCTGCCAGC
            ACTTGGCATTGCGCCAAAGGG
            CATCTGGCACCCCGCCAATCT
                CCTGGCACCCCGCCAACTGCT
                TCTGGCACGAGGCCAATTGGT
        ATCACTGGCATTCTGCCAACC
        GCACCTTGGCAACCTGCCAGA
        ACCGCTTGGCACACGGCCAAT
            ACCTGGCACGGTGCCAGTGGA
                CTTGGCAACCTGCCAGGGCGC
        TCCAGTTGGCAGTCCGCCAA
            GGTTGGCAACGGTCCAACAGC
                TTGGCACCGCTCCAACACAGA
            GATTGGCATGACGCCAAGACG
                TTGGCATCGAGCCAACAATCA
    AGAGTGTTGGCAAGTCGCCAA
        CTTACTGGCAAGTTGCCAAAT
            AGTTGGCAATATGCCAGGATT
                ATGGCACGGTGCCAAACTTTAC
    CCGAGGTTGGCACGTAGCCAA
    AGGTGCTGGCACAGGGCCAAG
            CTTGGCAGGGGTCCAATTAGT
TTGGTCTTGGCAACGATCCAA
    AAATTCTGGCAAAGGGCCAAATT
    GTAAATTGGCACTACGCCAGC
                CTGGCACTTGGCCAACTGTGG
```

```
            AATGGCAACGTGCCAAGTATT
            TTGGCAGCGGTCCAATTTTAT
    AACCCCTGGCACCCGGCCAGCT
            CATTGGCAATCAGCCAGGAAC
                TTGGCAGGGCGCCAGGTCTTG
            TATGGCACGCGGCCAAAAATT
        CACTTGGCATCCGTCCAACAA
    GGGTTTGGCAACGTTCCAGTT
    TAGTGATGGCACGATGCCAAAT
            GCTGGCACGCATCCAAAGCCCT
        CACCCTGGCATCACGCCAACA
    GTACTGGGCACCGCGCCAACA
    ATGCGTTGGCATTCCGCCAGT
        TCATTGGCACGTGGCCAGAAA
    GCGTTTTGGCGATGTGCCAAC
    AACTTCTGGCACTGAGCCAGT
        ATTTGGCAGACCGCCAATTTC
                CCTGGCATTTTGCCAAGTTCC
            CCGTGGGCAGGGTGCCAAACTT
                CTTGGCGCGCGGCCAAATTCA
                ATGGGCATTGTGCCAAGGCGT
            TTTGGGCAGTGTGCCAATTATT
                ACTGGCATCGGTCCAAGACCT
            GTTTGGCAGCGAGCCAGCGTA
CCTTCCCTGGCACCACGCCAG
            AGTTGGCAGTCATCCAAGGCA
        TGATTTGGCGATGCGCCAAGC
        ATATTGGCAATTCGCCAGGAA
    AAGTTGGGCATGATGCCAATT
    TAACCTGGCATGAGTCCAACC
        GGTTGGGCAAAGTGCCAAGAC
            CCTGGCATTAGTCCAACATAT
                ATGGCACAGGGCCAACCCTAG
    GCGTCCTGGAACGGGGCCAAA
        AAGCTTGGCACAGGTCCAGGT
            TTGGCACGGTGCCGAGAGTTG
        ATTTGGCTGTCGGCCAATATT
    GTTTCCTGGCATGCGTCCAGC
            GATTGGCGGGAGGCCAAGTGA
                CTTGGCTAGCCGCCAGCTATTT
        CCTCCTGGCAATCTGCCATCT
    TGATCTGGGCAAAATGCCAGG
    CGTTTTGGCTCGATTCCAGGT
TAACATTTGGCGCCAATCCAA
    AATTATTGGCTGGTGTCCAAT
    GGGGCCTGGCTGTGAGCCAGG
GGAGGTTGGAAACGCGGCCAAG
    GTGCTCTGGAACGACGCCAAC
```

(b) Oligonucleotides bound to $\mathrm{ZIM}-3 \mathrm{ZnF}$ core fragment (144 unique sequences, 21 and 26 bp )

TGCTCCATTGTTGGCATTGTGCCAAA
TTGGCAACGTGCCAAAGCTGTTGGTA
ACCAAGCTTGGCAACGTGCCAA
TTGGCACTGTGCCAACATGATCCAAA
TGACAAATCATTGGCATCGTGCCAGA
ATCGGTGTTGGCATGGTGCCAG
ACAGCTTGGCAGTGTGCCAGTA AATTGGCAACGTGCCAGGGCTG

```
    ATTGTTGGCTTGGTGCCAAGAC
        ATTGGCTATGTGCCAAAACCTC
        ATCTTGGCAACGTGCCAGGTCT
        TCAGTTGGCTGCGTGCCAAAACACGCG
        AGGTTTGGCAAGGTGCCAGGCT
TCCGGGAACCCTTGGCTGGGTGCCAA
                TTGGCATTTTGCCAATGGCGATTGTA
                TTGGCCTCGTGCCAAGGCGGTAGCGA
    ACGGTGCTTCTTGGCATTTTGCCAAA
        TACGTCTCCTTGGCTCCGTGCCAACA
            GAATTGGCCTCGTGCCAAGAGCTAAT
                ATTGGCATTTTGCCAACTATC
                TTTTGGCACGGTGCCAGGTGG
                CTTGGCGTGGTGCCAAGATTGCATGG
        AAAATGGTTGGCGTGGTGCCAAT
    GGCAGCTTAGTTGGCGGCGTGCCAAA
    CGGACCCCCATTGGCAATTTGCCAAA
    ACTATTGAACTTGGCCGCGTGCCAAA
        GTGGTTTGGCCGCGTGCCAAC
        CTTGGCTATGTGCCAGATGTT
    GGAAAATTGGCCCTGTGCCAA
                TTGGCCGGGTGCCAAGTGTGGAGTGA
                TTGGCCCTGTGCCAACGGTGGGTTGA
TAAACATAAAGTTGGCAGCTTGCCAA
TCTGGACGTTATTGGCAGCTTGCCAA
        CATATTGGCCCTGTGCCAAAC
            ATTGGCCAGGTGCCAAAACTC
                TTGGCCTTGTGCCAGGTCGCAGAACA
                TTGGCCCCGTGCCAAGGTACATTGCA
    ACATAGAATCTTGGCCCCGTGCCAA
    ACCGGGGCGTTGGCTCCGTGCCAGAT
                TTGGCATTTTGCCAGGTGCCGGGTAA
                CATTGGCATCCTGCCAACGTC
                TTTGGCCGTGTGCCAGCTCTC
            AACTTGGCAATATGCCAACAA
        GGACTTTGGCGGTGTGCCAGACATTT
                TTGGCAGCATGCCAAAGGTCCGCCAA
TTAGCCTCCTCTTGGCTTTTTGCCAA
                TTTGGCGATGTGCCAGACCCT
            ACGCGTTGGCATCTTGCCAGG
        GGGCAGTTGGCGGCGTGCCAG
                TTGGCGACGTGCCAGGCTCATACAAA
    TTCTGACGGGTTGGCAGTTTGCCAGG
        TTTGGCAATTTGCCAGGACTT
                TTGGCTTGTTGCCAAAAAAGTGCGTA
                TTTGGCTGTTTGCCAAGAACC
        AGACTTGGCATCATGCCAGCT
                TTGGCAGTCTGCCAGCGCAGCACGCA
                TTGGCAATCTGCCAGGCTGTGTGCAA
TCATCTCGCCCCTGGCATGGTGCCAA
TGTAGAGGTCGTTGGCAGTGCGCCAA
TGGGACTGGAGCTGGCATGGTGCCAA
                TTGGCAATATGCCAGGTTTAGTGGAA
        ATTCTTGGCAATATGCCAGCCGACGT
        AGTTGGCACGTTGCCAGGACC
        GTTGACTGGCAATGTGCCAAC
    TCTGGGGTTTGGCAACGCGCCAAGTT
        TTGGCAACGCGCCAAGGGCACTACCA
    CTAAAGGTTTGGCTTGATGCCAACTT
        ATTGGCTGCATGCCAACAGCG
```

```
    CACTTGGCAAGCTGCCAGCTTATGGC
        TTGGCAGGATGCCAGGGTATG
        TTGGCAGTGGGCCAAAACCTGGCCCA
TGCCTGTGTTGTTGGCAATGGGCCAA
TGGGGAGATATTTGGCTAGATGCCAA
            CGTTGGCTGGATGCCAAGTAA
            ATCTGGCATTGTGCCAGCTGT
                TTGGCATGGTGCCATCACTGG
            TTACTTGGCATTGTTCCAAAG
        CAATGTTGGCAGCGTGCCATT
            GTATTGGCCGTTTGCCAGTGT
            AATTTGGCGTGTTGCCAGATT
                GTTGGCAACGCGCCAGGGCTC
        GGTTCTTGGCCGGCTGCCAAG
        ATTTTTTGGCTACCTGCCAGTTCTTT
            CTTGGCGAGCTGCCAAGGGCT
            ATTGGCTGCGCGCCAAAAGCT
            GCTGGCACTGTGCCAGACACC
    GTCCCGATGTTGGCTGGCTGCCAGCA
TTAGATCTCACCTGGCGTCGTGCCAA
        AAGTTTGGCACGGCGCCAGATCCTGC
        ATACTTGGACTCGTGCCAATG
        CAAATTGGCACGGTGCCACGC
    TGCACACGATTTGGCTACGGGCCAAA
        CGCTTTGGCGCGTTGCCAGGT
            GTTGGATCGGTGCCAAGGGTG
            TTTGGCTCTGGGCCAAATTAC
        CGGTCTTGGCCACGCGCCAAC
            GTTGGCTCAGTGCCAGCACGG
            CCTGGCTACGTGCCAGCGCTGTCGCC
        ACTACTTGGCGGCGGGCCAACAACCC
    TTGAACCGTCTTGGAGCGGTGCCAAA
            CAGTTGGCCCGCTGCCAGGTTGGGGA
        GGATGTTGGCGCGCTGCCAGGCGGGT
        TTTATTTGGCTTCGAGCCAAG
            TTGGCTCCGTGCCACGGCAGGCTAAA
            CCTGGCGATGTGCCAGGTACC
                TTGGCATTCCGCCAGTTACATGCTAA
            ATGGGCATTGTGCCAATAAAA
                GTTGGCTTATTGCCAGAAAATAGATG
            GATTTGGCACGTTGCCATAACCTCCC
                TTGGCATCGTGCCCAATGTCGGCTCA
TGTACGAACAGTTGGATGGTTGCCAA
        GGGGAGTTGGCGCCGTTCCAA
TGCGTGGAAATTTGGCCCGGTTCCAA
                TTGGCGCTGGGCCAGGTTTTC
        TTTATCTTGGATTGATGCCAA
            GTTGGCAAGGTGCCCACCCCG
    TGGCGCTGAACTGGCAGTGGGCCAAG
TGTGGTTGTTGTTGGCTCTACGCCAA
                TTGGCAAAGTGCCATTTTGAT
            GTGTTGGCGGGTCGCCAAGTC
TCATCAAAAACCTGGCCTCCTGCCAA
            TCGTGGCAGCGTGCCAAACGTAAGTG
            TTCTTGGCTCGTTGCCATCAT
                CTTGGAACTGGGCCAAAGATA
            GGCTTGGCAATATTCCAGGAT
                ATTGGCTTCATGCCACGCCGG
            AATTGGCATAGTTCCAGGAAC
        AGTTGGACAAGTGCCAAACTT
```

```
    CTCTTGGCTTCCAGCCAACATGCAGG
        TCTGGAGGCGTGCCAAGATTCTTGCT
TGGGGTTGGAGTTGGACGGGCGCCAA
                ATTGGCATGGAGCCATGACTA
    CTATCCTGGCTTGGGCGCGTGCCAAA
            CCAACTCGGCGACGTGCCAACTTATC
                ACTGGCGCTGCGCCAGGTTAATT
                GCTGGCAACTTGCCACCGATTGTTGC
            AATTGGCGTTCAGCCAGATAC
    TACTCGACCTGGCTTTCCGCCAAGTC
                TTGGAGGATTGCCAAATATGAGCGGA
            CTTACTGGCATTCTTCCAGAT
                ACGGGCATGGTGCCAGGTTGA
TTAGATTCCACTTGGACGCAGGCCAA
                    CGTTGGCTTGAGTCCAAGCTGTGAAG
    GAACCCACCCTTGGAACTCCGACACC
```

(c) Oligonucleotides bound to $\mathrm{HIM}-8 \mathrm{ZnF}$ core fragment (91 unique sequences, 21 bp each)
AGTTGGCACGGTGCCAATGTC
ATTGGCAGGGTGCCAAATTCC
TTGGCAGGATGCCAAGTCCGC
TTGGCAGGATGCCAACCGTTC
TTTGGCAGGATGCCAACCCCT
GCGATCTTGGCACGGTGCCAG
CAGTATTGGCAGGTTGCCAGT
GCCATTGGCGCGGTGCCAAAA
GTTTGGCTCCGTGCCAACCCC
GTTGGCAGCGTGCCAGGGCAG
ATTGGCCCGATGCCAGAATCC
TTGGCAGTGTGCCAAATCATT
ATTGGCCCCATGCCAATCTCA
GAGGTTGGCCGCATGCCAAGA
GGGTCTTGGCATGATGCCAAC
TGCTGCTTTGGCTCAGTGCCAA
GTATTGGAAGGATGCCAAGGA
TTGGCGGCATGCCAATAATGG
GTTGGCCGAGTGCCAAGAAAG
ATTGGCTGAATGCCAAGTGTG
ATAATTGGCGCGTTGCCAGTC
GCAGGTTGGCACATTGCCAGT
GGAATTGGATGGGTGCCAAGG
TTCTTGGCTGGCTGCCAAATC
TTGGCCCGCTGCCAAACCCAC
TTGGCGGAGTGCCAAGGCATC
ATGTTTGGCGCCGTGCCAGCA
GGTTCTTGGATGGTTGCCAAG
ACGTTGGCGTGGTGCCAAGAT
ATTGGCGGCATGCCAGGTCAA
TTGGAACGTTGCCAGCACGGG
AAATTGGCAGGACGCCAAGTC
CCGATCTTGGCAGGTTGCCAT
GGAGTTGGCACGGAGCCAGTA
GCGCTTTGGCACGTCGCCAAG
TTTTGGCTGTGTGCCAGAGTA
CGCTTGGCTGGTAGCCAATTA
GGGTCGTTGGCAAGTTGCCAG
ACTTGGCCCCCTGCCAAAAGA
CTATTTGGCCTGTTGCCAGAT

```
        CAATTGGCACCGTGCCATGCG
CGGGAATTGGCGCGGAGCCAA
    GGAGTTGGCAGGGCGCCAGCG
                CTTGGACCGATGCCAGGGGAT
                CCTTGGAACTGTGCCAACTTT
                    TTGGCCCGGCGCCAAGGGCTC
            GTTTGGCTTCGTGCCAGGTAA
                TTTGGCGCATTGCCAGGGTTC
    TTGTTTGGCATAATGCCAGAC
                CTTGGCTTCATGCCAGAATGC
        GCATTGGCACACTGCCAGGTT
    CAGACTTGGATTGGTGCCAAT
            TGCTGGCCCGATGCCAAGATA
        CGTTTTGGCGCGGTGCCATGT
    ATTCTTTGGCTTTTTGCCAAC
                CTTGGCACCTAGCCAGCACCG
            TCCTGGCCCGTTGCCAACTAT
    CCATATTGGACCGCTGCCAAC
                CTTGGCGAGTTGCCAGAGGTT
                ATTGGCGCCTAGCCAATACGT
GCAGAGCTGGCTGGTTGCCAG
            TCTGGCACCATGCCAGAACCG
                TTGGCTGTCTGCCAGAAGGGG
GCGGATTTGGCTCTGTGCCAT
    TAATCTGGCCTGGTGCCAAGA
    GCACTTTGGCTGAGCGCCAGA
                GTTGGCACAGTGCCACAGCGT
            GGATTGGACCCAAGCCAATAA
            GGATTGGACCCAAGCCAATAA
                GTTGGCTGATCGCCAGATGTC
            GACTTGGACGCTAGCCAAGCT
                AGCTGGCGGTATGCCAAATTA
                GTTTGGCTGGTTTCCAAGTGA
    GGTTGTTGGCACTCTGCCATT
GGGAAATTGGCTACGTGCCAT
            TACTTGGACGATAGCCAATGC
                AGTTGGACGGCAGCCAACTAC
            AAATTGGCTACACGCCAGGTC
                    TTGGACTCAAGCCAACTACAT
                GTGGGCAGCTTGCCAGGTGTC
        AACCTGGCAGATCGCCAAACA
    GGGAGCTGGAATCGTGCCAGG
                GCTGGAGAGGTGCCAAGTATA
    AAAAATTGGCCCGGATCCAAT
        ATCCTGGAACCAAGCCAGGAT
    ACACGTTGGATATACGCCAAG
        AAACGGGCAGCGTGCCAACTT
    CTAATCTGGCAAATTGCCATG
                TCTTGGCGCTACGCCACGCTA
                TCTTGGCGCTACGCCACGCTA
                GGTTGCCCGCCTGCCAGCAAG
```

(d) Oligonucleotides bound to HIM-8 C-terminal fragment (117 unique sequences, 21 and 26 bp )

TAAGCACTGACCAACGCGCGC
ACGCACTGACCAAAACGGTGT
GCACTGACCAACCGACACCCC
GGGGGGCTGCACTGACCAACT
TGCACTGACCAACGGGCCCGC

```
            GCACTGACCAAAGGGATTCCC
            GCACTGACCAAATTGTCAGTG
        GTCGGAGCACTGACCAACTCG
            GCACTGACCAAATCGTCTCTG
            AGCACTGACCAAATCCCTGCA
CGCCACTTTAGCACTGACCAAT
        GCAACGTGCACTGACCAAACG
    GACGCCCGGCACTGACCAACC
            GAGAGCACTGACCAACCGCCG
                GCAGCACTGACCAAAAGTCAC
                CATGCACTGACCAACCCACCC
                    AGCACTGACCAACAAGGCGTG
                    TGCACTGACCAAACCGACAAT
                    GCACTGACCAACTCACACCCT
            ACAAGCACTGACCAAACATAC
                AGCACTGACCAATTGTCAGTG
            ATGCACTGACCAAATTGTCGT
    CGTCTCCCTGCACTGACCAAA
                    CGCACTGACCAAGCCCCCACC
                AAGCACTGACCAAAGAACATC
    AACCATTAGCACTGACCAACC
                CTGCGCACTGACCAAATCGCA
                    GGCACTGACCAAACCTCCCCT
            TCTGCACTGACCAACACCCTC
                    GCACTGACCAATTTCCTACC
                    TGCACTGACCAAGCCTTCCAT
        GCCTGGGCACTGACCAACCCC
            AGCACTGACCAAGCGATCGTCC
        GGTTACGCACTGACCAAGCTG
                    GGCACTGACCAAACCTCCCCT
                    GCACTGACCAACCTACGCCCA
    CGGGACGCCGCACTGACCAAC
        CATGCATGCACTGACCAACCT
    CTGACGCTAGCACTGACCAA
GCACCGACGAGCACTGACCAA
CCGCGACTCGGCACTGACCAA
TGGCCAAGACGCACTGACCAA
ACGACGCCACGCACTGACCAA
TACGGCCGGGGCACTGACCAA
            TAAGCACTGACCAAAAACGCTTCG
GCACCGCGCTGCACTGACCAA
TCGCCCCCCAGCACTGACCAA
        TGCGGGCACTGACCAAGTTGTCAGTG
            TGGCACTGACCAAATGCACTGAC
            TAGCACTGACCAAAATGGCTCCC
            TAGCACTGACCAAGCCTAGCAC
GCCGACAGTCGCACTGACCAA
CGCCACATGCGCACTGACCAA
AGGCACGGCAGCACTGACCAA
CGCCCGGAGCGCACTGACCAA
ACTGACGCTAGCACTGACCAA
CCCGGCGCCTGCACTGACCAA
CGACCAGAAAGCACTGACCAA
        TACGAGCACTGACCAAGCACTGACA
TAGTCTATTTGCACTGACCAA
    CGGTACAAGCACTGACCAAAACACGA
ATGTCGCTGAGCACTGACCAA
AGTGCCGATAGCACTGACCAA
    AGCACTGACCATCGCATGCCAC
AGGCGTGCGAGCACTGACCAT
```

```
AGGTACCGGGGCACTGACCAT
    AGCACTGACCATCGGCACGCCT
CGCGTAGCACGCACTGACCAT
AGCCGTGGCAGCACTGACCAT
    AGCACTGACCATGGCGCTGCC
        GCACTGACCATCTACTTGGCC
        AGCACTGACCATCCAGGCAGC
CCTGCCCACGGCACTGACCAT
GTCACACTTAGCACTGACCAT
GCCAAACAAAGCACTGACCAT
ACACGGACGAGCACTGACCAT
CAGCCCACCTGCACTGACCAT
CGTGATCCCCGCACTGACCAT
GGACACCCCCGCACTGACCAT
    ATGCTCATCGCACTGACCATTCCCCG
    TCAGCACTTGCACTGACCATCCGTGG
                GGCACTGACCATGGTCAGTGC
                TGCACTGACCATTATGTATCG
        TCACTGCACTGACCATCCGTCAGTGG
ACTGACGCGCGCACTGACCATCGGAA
GCACTGACCAGCACTGACCATGAT
            TTGGCACTGACCATGGTCAGTGCA
                GCACTGACAAATGGTCGCTGC
                    GCACTGACAAAGTGGGGCTGC
ACTGACGCGTGCACTGACAAA
        TTGATGCACTGACAAAACTGTCGCTG
                TGCACTGACAAAGTCTGTCAG
                AGCACTGACAAAAATGACAGT
    CTGACCGTCGCACTGACAAAA
                AGCACTGACAAACGCACTGACC
    CTGACGCAAGCACTGACAAAA
            ATGCACTGACCACCGCCGCCC
                TGCACTGACCAGACTGCCGCG
CCACTGACAAGCACTGACCAC
        GTACACTGCACTGACCACCCG
            TGCACTGACCACGCAGCACCC
        GTACACTGCACTGACCACCCG
                TGCACTGACCAGGCGTCAGTG
GGCACCCTAAGCACTGACCACGCACT
        CCTGATGCACTGACAATTGTCGCTGC
GCAGCACCATGCACTGACAATTTGTC
            GCACTGACGAATTGCCATTGC
        TCGCCGCACTGACCTACATGTCAGTG
        TTAAGGCACTGACAAGGGCGTCAGTG
        AAACCGCACTGACAACTCCACTGACC
            TGCACTGACGATTTGTGCGGC
                GCACTGACAGAGTGGTCAGTG
            TCGCACTGACCTGTCAGTGCA
                CGCACTGACCGGTCGCTGCGT
                    GCACTGACCCGTCGCTGCTCG
        TGAGCACTGACGCGTCAGTGCAGG
GCTTGGTGGCTCTCGTACCAA
```

(a) iels13/+; iels15/+; syp-2 RNAi

|  | paired arrays | unpaired arrays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 35 | 0 |
| 2 | 45 | 1 |
| total | 80 | 1 |
| percent | $\mathbf{9 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ |

(b) iels13/+; iels15/+

|  | incomplete <br> synapsis; <br> paired arrays | complete <br> synapsis; <br> unpaired arrays | complete <br> synapsis; <br> paired arrays | incomplete <br> synapsis; <br> unpaired arrays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 34 | 8 | 3 | 9 |
| 2 | 41 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| 3 | 55 | 9 | 2 | 13 |
| total | 130 | 19 | 11 | 22 |
| percent | $\mathbf{7 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ |

Table S4 Artifical PCs can promote pairing and synapsis between nonhomologous chromosomes. (a) The number of nuclei with paired ZIM/HIM-8 recruiting arrays in iels13/+; iels15/+; syp-2 RNAi was scored in two gonads from different animals. (b) Nuclei in three individual gonads of the genotype iels13/+; iels15/+ were scored for complete or incomplete synapsis and pairing of the integrated $\mathrm{ZIM} / \mathrm{HIM}-8$ recruiting arrays.
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