

The Topological Entropy of Generalized Polygon Exchanges

Eugene Gutkin ^{*} Nicolai Haydn [†]

May 8, 2014

1 Summary

We introduce the notion of a generalized polyhedron exchange (g.p.e.), and define the topological entropy of a g.p.e.. By our definition, the g.p.e. is a generalization to an arbitrary dimension of the interval exchange transformation [1]. Many natural dynamical systems are g.p.e.'s. For instance, the Poincare return map of a polygonal billiard, [4], is a g.p.e. in two dimensions (a generalized polygon exchange).

The (topological) entropy of a g.p.e., T , is the growth rate, under iterations, of the number of atoms in the defining partition, \mathcal{P}_n , for T^n . Our main result, Theorem 3 below, says that if the length of the boundary of \mathcal{P}_n grows exponentially fast at a given rate ϑ (and if two other, technical, conditions are satisfied), the entropy of T is less or equal to ϑ . In particular it follows that if the length of the boundary grows subexponentially, then entropy of T is zero.

We give two applications of this theorem. One of them, Theorem 5, says that the topological entropy for (the Poincare map of) a polygonal billiard is zero. The two previous proofs of this fact, [4, 2], required a detailed analysis

^{*}Mathematics Department, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, 90089-1113. Email:<egutkin@math.usc.edu>. Partially supported by NSF.

[†]Mathematics Department, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, 90089-1113. Email:<nhaydn@math.usc.edu>. Partially supported by NSF.

of the dynamics of polygonal billiards. Our proof is based on the observation that the boundary length grows quadratically, hence Theorem 3 applies.

The other application, Theorem 6, is to the *directional billiards in a rational polytop* (see the definition below). It says that the topological entropy of (the Poincare map of) any directional billiard is zero.

2 Generalized Polyhedron Exchanges

By a *polyhedron* P , $\dim P = n$, we mean a compact Euclidean polyhedron in \mathbf{R}^n , $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$. The m -dimensional faces of P are polyhedra of dimension $m < n$. *Polygons (polytops)* are the polyhedra of dimension 2 (3). A *partition* \mathcal{P} of a polyhedron P is a representation $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$, where P_i are *subpolyhedra* of P , and $\text{int}P_i \cap \text{int}P_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$.

We fix $r \geq 1$, and shall say that something is smooth, whenever it is of class C^r .

Definition 1 (I) A generalized polyhedron X of dimension n is a closed subset of a smooth manifold M^n , and a mapping $f : X \mapsto \mathbf{R}^n$ (into) such that: 1) f extends to a diffeomorphism of an open set O , $X \subset O \subset M$, into \mathbf{R}^n 2) $f(X)$ is a polyhedron.

(II) A d -dimensional space X with a generalized polyhedral partition \mathcal{P} is a subset of a manifold M^d and a representation $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ satisfying the following conditions.

- i) The X_i are generalized polyhedra of dimension d .
- ii) $\text{int}(X_i) \cap \text{int}(X_j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$.
- iii) If $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ is such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i \neq \emptyset$, the polyhedral structures on $X_i, i \in I$, agree, so that $\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ is a generalized polyhedron.

If (X, \mathcal{P}) is as above, we say that X_i are the *atoms* of \mathcal{P} , and that $\partial\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \partial X_i$ is the *boundary* of \mathcal{P} .

Definition 2 Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be two partitions of X , and let $T : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{Q})$ be such that $T_i = T|_{P_i} : P_i \rightarrow Q_i$ and $T_i^{-1} : Q_i \rightarrow P_i$ are homeomorphisms and smooth on the interiors. Then we say T is a *generalized polyhedron exchange (g.p.e.)*.

We say a partition \mathcal{Q} is a *refinement* of a partition \mathcal{P} (write $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{Q}$) if every atom of \mathcal{Q} is a subpolyhedron of an atom of \mathcal{P} . If \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are two partitions of X , their join $\mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}$ is the partition formed by the intersections $P \cap Q$ where $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. It turns out that the proper way to study the dynamics of a g.p.e. $T : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{Q})$ is to consider an *inverse limit space* \hat{X} , which is constructed from T , \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} , and on which the map \hat{T} induced by T is a homeomorphism. In that framework one can then define as usual (see, e.g., [5]) the n th join $\mathcal{P}_n = \bigvee_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{-k}\mathcal{P}$ of the partition \mathcal{P} . For simplicity of exposition, we shall in §3 consider only the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}$, and say that $T : (X, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R})$ is a g.p.e. on the partition \mathcal{R} .

We say a point $x \in X$ is n -*regular* if x belongs to the interior of an atom of \mathcal{R}_n , $n \geq 0$, and *regular* if it is n -regular for all n . Since we don't assume that T_i and T_j agree on $P_i \cap P_j$, in general, the powers T^k of a g.p.e. T are well defined only on the set of regular points, which is dense in X .

When $\dim X = 2$ (3) we typically speak of a *generalized polygon (polytop) exchange*.

Examples. 1. A partition of $X = [0, 1]$ is given by n intervals $P_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i]$, where $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$. An interval exchange on the intervals P_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, (see, e.g., [1]) is then a special case of a g.p.e., and the mappings T_i are parallel translations.

2. Let X be a rectangle in \mathbf{R}^2 , e.g. $X = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Let the atoms of the partition $\mathcal{P} : X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ be rectangles with the sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Let $t_i = (a_i, b_i)$ be n vectors such that the rectangles $Q_i = P_i + t_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, form a partition \mathcal{Q} of X . This defines a *rectangle exchange* $T : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{Q})$ where the restrictions T_i , $T_i x = x + t_i$, are translations in the plane.

2'. The space $X \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ is an arbitrary polygon, the atoms of a partition $\mathcal{P} : X = \cup P_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are subpolygons. We define an affine polygon exchange on \mathcal{P} by n affine transformations $T_i : P_i \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_i = T_i(P_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$, is a partition of X .

3. The obvious analog of Example 2 in 3 dimensions features the unit cube $X = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ partitioned by n *rectangular parallelepipeds* P_i . The mappings T_i are parallel translations $x \mapsto x + t_i$, $t_i \in \mathbf{R}^3$, such that the parallelepipeds $Q_i = P_i + t_i$ form a partition of X .

3'. In the 3 dimensional version of Example 2', X is a polytop, \mathcal{P} is a partition of X by subpolytops P_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $T_i : P_i \rightarrow X$ are affine

transformations of \mathbf{R}^3 such that the polytops $Q_i = T_i(P_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$, form a partition, \mathcal{Q} , of X . This defines a polytop exchange $T : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{Q})$.

3 Subexponential growth

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a g.p.e. on a partition $\mathcal{R} = \{R_i : i \in I\}$. The number of atoms in a finite partition will be denoted by $|\mathcal{R}|$. The number $h(T, \mathcal{R}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\mathcal{R}_n|$ is called the entropy of the g.p.e. T relative to the partition \mathcal{R} , and the topological entropy of the g.p.e. T is then given by $h(T) = \sup_{\mathcal{U}} h(T, \mathcal{U})$ where the supremum is over partitions \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{R} < \mathcal{U}$ (compare with the topological entropy of a homeomorphism, see, e.g., [5]). If \mathcal{R} is a generating partition (that is, the atoms of the infinite join $\bigvee_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} T^j \mathcal{R}$ consist of single points), then $h(T) = h(T, \mathcal{R})$.

We say a positive sequence $a_n, n \geq 1$, has *growth rate* $\vartheta \geq 0$ if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n}{n} = \vartheta.$$

We shall assume that there is a Finsler metric (i.e., a metric induced by norms on the tangent spaces) $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on the space X . If γ is a piecewise C^1 curve on X , we denote its length by $|\gamma|$. If \mathcal{P} is a polyhedral partition, we denote by $E(\mathcal{P})$ the union of edges of the atoms of \mathcal{P} , and set $\ell(\mathcal{P}) = |E(\mathcal{P})|$. For $x \in X$ let $\mathcal{P}_x \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the set of atoms containing x , and set $b(\mathcal{P}) = \max_x |\mathcal{P}_x|$. Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 3 *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a g.p.e. on a partition \mathcal{R} . Suppose that the partitions $\mathcal{R}_n, n > 0$, satisfy the following conditions:*

- i) The atoms of \mathcal{R}_n are connected.*
- ii) The sequence $c_n = \ell(\mathcal{R}_n)$ has growth rate $\vartheta \geq 0$.*
- iii) The sequence $b_n = b(\mathcal{R}_n)$ has growth rate $\leq \vartheta$.*

Then the growth rate of the sequence $|\mathcal{R}_n|$ is bounded by ϑ .

Sketch of Proof. We will give a proof for the special case of a rectangle exchange, that is, the target partition of any partition that consists of euclidean rectangles is made up of euclidean rectangles (e.g. if T is a Baker's transformation). Thus let \mathcal{P} be a partition of a rectangle X into subrectangles P_i , on each of which the map T is a translation.

By assumption (ii) we have that $\ell(\mathcal{P}_n) \leq \text{const} \cdot \vartheta'^n$ for any $\vartheta' > e^\vartheta$ and some const. which we can assume to be equal to 1. Assumptions (i), (iii) are always satisfied for rectangle exchanges. In fact we have that $b(\mathcal{P}_n) \leq 4$ for all n .

Suppose the sequence $a_n = |\mathcal{P}_n|$ has growth rate $\alpha > \vartheta' > \vartheta$. By considering a suitable iterate of T , we can achieve that $\vartheta' > 4$ and $\alpha > \vartheta' + 2$. For simplicity let us assume that $\alpha = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log a_n$.

Let δ be the smallest height or width of any of the rectangles in \mathcal{P} , and denote by B_n the number of ‘bad’ rectangles in \mathcal{P}_n , which are those atoms whose diameters exceed δ . In particular a ‘bad’ rectangle has boundary length greater than 2δ . Thus

$$B_n \leq \frac{\ell(\mathcal{P}_n)}{2\delta} \leq \frac{\vartheta'^n}{2\delta},$$

since $\ell(\mathcal{P}_n) \leq \vartheta'^n$ for large enough n . Let us now estimate $a_{n+1} = |\mathcal{P}_{n+1}| = |T^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n \vee \mathcal{P}|$. Since in taking the join $T^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n \vee \mathcal{P}$ no ‘good’ rectangle (i.e. with diameter $\leq \delta$) in \mathcal{P}_n is cut into more than four pieces, we obtain

$$a_{n+1} \leq a_1 B_n + 4a_n \leq \frac{a_1 \vartheta'^n}{2\delta} + 4a_n \leq \vartheta'^n \cdot \text{const.} + 4\alpha^n < (\vartheta' + 1)\alpha^n$$

if n is large enough. But this contradicts our assumption that $a_{n+1} \approx \alpha^{n+1} \geq (\vartheta' + 2) \cdot \alpha^n$. \square

Corollary 4 *If a g.p.e. $T : (X, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R})$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3 then $h(T, \mathcal{R}) = 0$. If \mathcal{R} is moreover a generating partition, then T has topological entropy $\leq \vartheta$.*

4 Applications

Polygonal billiard. Theorem 3 can be applied to the Poincare return map on the billiard flow in a polygon. If Δ is a polygon in \mathbf{R}^2 , then the Poincare return map T maps the space $X = \partial\Delta \times [0, \pi]$ onto itself, where $\partial\Delta = [0, L]$ and L is the total length of $\partial\Delta$. If (s, ϑ) is a point in X , then it corresponds to a trajectory with footpoint $s \in \partial\Delta$ and whose angle of incidence is measured by ϑ . The map T is piecewise continuous on the elements of a finite partition $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i\}$, where the boundary of the individual polygons P_i consists of

precisely those points in X whose trajectory, when it hits $\partial\Delta$ next time, will be in a vertex of the polygon Δ . One can easily check that the Poincaré return map T is indeed a g.p.e. that satisfies the first and the last condition of Theorem 3. To show that the length of the boundary set of \mathcal{P}_n grows subexponentially, or actually, quadratically, one defines a Finsler metric m on the cross-section X by

$$dm = |ds| \sin \vartheta + |d\vartheta|.$$

Using the explicit form of the differential, dT , one can show that $m(\partial\mathcal{P}_n) \leq cn^2$, where c is a constant. Thus the second condition of Theorem 3 is satisfied by T , and we conclude as follows.

Theorem 5 *The Poincaré return map of a polygonal billiard has zero topological entropy.*

Billiards in rational polytopes. Let S be the surface of a closed connected polytop $V \subset \mathbf{R}^3$. The billiard flow in V has a natural cross-section X which consists of unit vectors v with footpoints in S , directed inward. The first return map $T : X \rightarrow X$ is the *billiard ball map* in 3 dimensions. The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ of Euclidean motions of \mathbf{R}^3 is a semi-direct product of the orthogonal group, $O(3)$, and the group of translations of \mathbf{R}^3 . For any hyperplane $L \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ let $g_L \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ be the symmetry about L , and let $r_L \in O(3)$ be the corresponding orthogonal reflection. If the reflection group $G = G(V)$, generated by reflections r_L about the hyperplanes L spanned by the faces of the polytop V , is finite, then we say that V is a *rational polytop*.

We shall assume that V is a rational polytop. The natural action of G on the unit sphere $S^2 \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ has a *fundamental domain*, $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ which is a spherical polygon. We identify the points $\omega \in \Omega$ with the orbits of G in S^2 , i.e. $\Omega \cong S^2/G$.

The phase space Z of the billiard flow in V consists of pairs $(v, \theta) \in V \times S^2$ and is foliated by invariant subsets $Z_\omega = \{(v, \theta) : \theta \in \omega\} \in Z$, where $\omega \in \Omega$. We shall call the restriction of the billiard flow to Z_ω the *billiard flow in direction* ω and denote it by $B_\omega^t : Z_\omega \mapsto Z_\omega$.

For $\omega \in \text{int}(\Omega)$, the invariant sets Z_ω are naturally homeomorphic to a canonical space W , that depends only on the polytop V and not on the ‘parameter’ ω . The space W is a closed 3-dimensional topological manifold, which geometrically is obtained by glueing $|G|$ copies of V along their

boundary faces. This construction of W from a rational polytop V has a close analog in two dimensions, where a rational polygon P in the plane gives, in a natural way, rise to a closed surface $S = S(P)$ which is triangulated by a finite number of copies of P , and which carries a one-parameter family of the directional billiard flows.

Denote by $F = F(W)$ the union of faces of W . We have the following result.

Theorem 6 *Let V be a rational polytop.*

(I) *For any $\omega \in \Omega$, the set F is a cross-section of the directional billiard flow B_ω^t . Moreover, the first return map, T_ω , is an affine polygon exchange.*

(II) *For any direction ω , the topological entropy of T_ω is zero.*

Directional billiard flows in a rational polytop are the analog in the three dimensions of such flows for a rational polygon (see, e.g., [3]). Thus, Theorem 6 is the three dimensional version of the well known fact that the directional billiards in a rational polygon have entropy zero (see, e.g., [1]).

References

- [1] I. P. Cornfeld, S. V. Fomin, Ya. G. Sinai, *Ergodic Theory*, Springer-Verlag 1982
- [2] G. Galperin, T. Kruger, S. Troubetzkoy, *Local instability of orbits in polygonal and polyhedral billiards*, preprint, U. Bielefeld, 1993
- [3] E. Gutkin, *Billiard flows on almost integrable polyhedral surfaces*, *Ergod. Theor. and Dyn. Syst.* 4 (1984), 569–584
- [4] A. Katok, *The growth rate for the number of singular and periodic orbits for a polygonal billiard*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 111 (1987), 151–160
- [5] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Springer-Verlag GTM #79, 1982