

# Kayla Orlinsky

## Real Analysis Exam Fall 2014

**Problem 1.** Assume that  $f$  is integrable on  $(0, 1)$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f(x)x \sin(ax^2)dx = 0.$$

**Solution.** First, let  $f(x) = \chi_E(x)$  for some measurable set  $E \subset [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \chi_E(x)x \sin(ax^2)dx &= \int_E x \sin(ax^2)dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 x \sin(ax^2)dx \\ &= \int_0^a \frac{\sin(u)}{2a} du \quad \begin{array}{l} u = ax^2 \quad x : [0, 1] \\ du = 2ax dx \quad u : [0, a] \end{array} = \left. \frac{-\cos(u)}{2a} \right|_0^a \\ &= \frac{-\cos(a)}{2a} + \frac{1}{2a} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by linearity of the integral, the statement holds for simple functions.

Now, since  $f \in L^1$ , there exists some  $\phi$  simple function such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^1 |f - \phi|dx < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 f(x)x \sin(ax^2)dx - \int_0^1 \phi(x)x \sin(ax^2)dx \right| &\leq \int_0^1 |(f(x) - \phi(x))x \sin(ax^2)|dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |f(x) - \phi(x)|dx < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

since  $x \sin(ax^2) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$  and all  $a$ .

Thus, since we already showed that

$$\int_0^1 \phi(x)x \sin(ax^2)dx \rightarrow 0$$

we are done. ✂

**Problem 2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $f$  and  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots$  be real valued measurable functions on  $X$ . If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in measure and if  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous, prove that  $F \circ f_n \rightarrow F \circ f$  in measure.

**Solution.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then, since  $F$  is uniformly continuous, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$ ,  $|F(x) - F(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

Let

$$E = \{x \mid |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \delta\}$$
$$F = \{x \mid |(F \circ f_n)(x) - (F \circ f)(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Now, we note that if  $x \in E^c$ , then  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \delta$  and so  $|F(f_n(x)) - F(f(x))| < \varepsilon$  which implies that  $x \in F^c$ .

Thus,  $E^c \subset F^c$  and so  $F \subset E$ .

Finally, this implies that since  $\mu(E) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in measure, then  $\mu(E) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as well.  $\wp$

**Problem 3.** Let  $f_n$  be **nonnegative** measurable functions on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  which satisfy  $\int f_n d\mu = 1$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Prove that

$$\limsup_n (f_n(x))^{1/n} \leq 1$$

for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x$ .

**Solution.** First, for all  $n$ , let

$$E_n = \{x \mid f_n(x) > n\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int f_n d\mu \\ &= \int_{E_n} f_n d\mu + \int_{E_n^c} f_n d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{E_n} n d\mu + \int_{E_n^c} f_n d\mu \\ &\geq n\mu(E_n) + 0 \quad \text{since } f_n \geq 0 \\ \implies \mu(E_n) &\leq \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, except on a set of shrinking measure,  $f_n(x) \leq n$ , and so (again except on a set of shrinking measure)  $f_n(x)^{1/n} \leq n^{1/n}$ .

Finally, since

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} \\ \implies \ln y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= 0 \\ \implies y &= e^0 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\limsup_n (f_n(x))^{1/n} \leq \limsup_n (n^{1/n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} = 1.$$

☺

**Problem 4.** Let  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ . Suppose  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

- (a) Define what it means for  $F$  to be absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ .
- (b) Give an example of a function which is uniformly continuous but not absolutely continuous. (Remember to justify your answer.)
- (c) Prove that if there exists  $M$  such that  $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq M|x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , then  $F$  is absolutely continuous. Is the converse true? (Again, remember to justify your answer).

**Solution.**

(a)

$F(x)$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any finite collection  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_1^n$  of disjoint subintervals of  $[a, b]$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i - a_i| < \delta$  implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(b_i) - F(a_i)| < \varepsilon$

- (b) Let  $f(x)$  be the Cantor Function on  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $f(x)$  is continuous on a closed interval, it is uniformly continuous.

Now, assume that  $f(x)$  is absolutely continuous. We already know that  $f'(x) = 0$  a.e. since it is only non-constant on the Cantor set (which has Lebesgue Measure 0).

Thus, if  $f(x)$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , then by the Fundamental Theorem of Lebesgue Integrals,

$$1 = f(1) - f(0) = \int_0^1 f'(x)dx = \int_0^1 0dx = 0.$$

Thus,  $f(x)$  cannot be absolutely continuous on  $[0, 1]$ .

- (c) Clearly if such an  $M$  exists, it must be nonnegative. If  $M = 0$ , then  $F$  is constant on  $[a, b]$  and so it is clearly absolutely continuous.

If  $M > 0$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can let  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$ . Then, for any finite collection of disjoint intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_1^n$  satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) < \delta,$$

we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |F(b_i) - F(a_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n M|b_i - a_i| = M \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i - a_i| < M\delta = M \frac{\varepsilon}{M} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $F$  is absolutely continuous.

Now, let  $F(x) = \sqrt{x}$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $F'(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$  exists except at 0 (a null set) and since

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \int_b^1 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{x} \Big|_b^1 = \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} (1 - \sqrt{b}) = 1$$

so  $F'(x) \in L^1$  and by the same computation

$$F(x) - F(0) = \int_0^x F'(x) dx.$$

Thus, again by the Fundamental Theorem of Lebesgue Integrals,  $F$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, 1]$ .

However, for all  $y > x$ , we have

$$|F(y) - F(x)| = \sqrt{y} - \sqrt{x} = \frac{y - x}{\sqrt{y} + \sqrt{x}}.$$

If there exists some  $\infty > M > 0$  such that  $\frac{y-x}{\sqrt{y}+\sqrt{x}} \leq M(y-x)$ , then  $M \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}+\sqrt{x}}$ . However, for  $x, y$  very small near 0, we can force  $M$  to grow as large as we like and so no such finite  $M$  exists.

✂