

Kayla Orlinsky

Real Analysis Exam Spring 2012

Note! There are a multitude of typos in the questions and hints for this exam (mainly in questions 3 and 4). To stay true to the exam, the typos in the question statements have not been rectified. My solutions are to what I perceived each question to mean.

Problem 1. Let f and g be real integrable functions on a σ -finite measure space (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) , and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$F_t = \{x \in E \mid f(x) > t\} \quad \text{and} \quad G_t = \{x \in E \mid g(x) > t\}.$$

Show that

$$\int_X |f - g| d\mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu((F_t \setminus G_t) \cup (G_t \setminus F_t)) dt.$$

Solution. Since f, g are integrable, they are measurable and so F_t and G_t are μ -measurable (because $F_t = f^{-1}(t, \infty)$ and $G_t = g^{-1}(t, \infty)$).

Thus, $\chi_{F_t \Delta G_t} \in L^+(\mu \times m)$ and since m and μ are σ -finite, by Tonelli,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(F_t \Delta G_t) dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_X \chi_{F_t \Delta G_t} d\mu dt \\ &= \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{F_t \Delta G_t} dt d\mu \\ &= \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{F_t \setminus G_t} + \chi_{G_t \setminus F_t} dt d\mu \\ &= \int_X \left[\int_{g(x)}^{f(x)} dt + \int_{f(x)}^{g(x)} dt \right] d\mu \quad \text{on } F_t \cap G_t^c, g(t) \leq t < f(t) \\ &= \int_{\{x \mid f \geq g\}} f(x) - g(x) d\mu + \int_{\{x \mid f \leq g\}} g(x) - f(x) d\mu \\ &= \int_X |f(x) - g(x)| d\mu \end{aligned}$$

✂

Problem 2. Show that

$$\int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2(\sin^2 x)^{1/3}}$$

is finite.

Solution. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then since

$$\sin^{2/3}(k\pi + \varepsilon) = \sin^{2/3}(\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon,$$

(and similarly for $\sin^{2/3}(k\pi - \varepsilon) = \sin^{2/3}(\varepsilon)$ since we are squaring \sin which is an odd function) we have that

$$\frac{1}{\sin^{2/3} x} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

near $k\pi$. This is easily verified since

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\sin^{2/3} x - x) = \frac{2}{3} \sin^{-1/3} x \cos x - 1 = \frac{2 \cos x}{3 \sin^{1/3} x} \geq 0 \quad \text{near } 0^+$$

and since

$$\sin^{2/3} x - x = 0 \quad \text{at } x = 0$$

we have that $\sin^{2/3} x - x$ is increasing and positive near 0^+ so in that region, $\sin^{2/3} x \geq x$.

Now, since

$$\int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 \sin^{2/3} x} dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 \sin^{2/3} x} dx$$

it suffices to show that the integral near any $k\pi$ is small.

However, using the above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k\pi-\varepsilon}^{k\pi+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x^2 \sin^{2/3} x} dx &\leq \int_{k\pi-\varepsilon}^{k\pi+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x^2 \varepsilon} dx \\ &= \frac{-1}{x\varepsilon} \Big|_{k\pi-\varepsilon}^{k\pi+\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{-1}{k\pi + \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{k\pi - \varepsilon} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{-(k\pi - \varepsilon)}{(k\pi - \varepsilon)(k\pi + \varepsilon)} + \frac{k\pi + \varepsilon}{(k\pi + \varepsilon)(k\pi - \varepsilon)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{k^2\pi^2 - \varepsilon^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{k^2\pi^2 - \varepsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 \sin^{2/3} x} dx &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 \sin^{2/3} x} dx \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{k\pi+\varepsilon}^{(k+1)\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x^2 \sin^{2/3} x} dx + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{k\pi-\varepsilon}^{k\pi+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x^2 \sin^{2/3} x} dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 \varepsilon} dx + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^2 \pi^2 - \varepsilon^2} < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

☺

Problem 3. A collection of functions $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset L^1$ on the measure space (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) is said to be *uniformly integrable* if

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in A} \int_{\{x \mid |f_\alpha(x)| > M\}} |f_\alpha| = 0.$$

- (a) Prove that if $f \in L^1$ then $\{f\}$ is uniformly integrable.
 (b) Prove that if $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and $\{f_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$ are two collections of uniformly integrable functions then $\{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in A \cup B}$ is uniformly integrable.
 (c) Show that if $\mu(X) < \infty$, and $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset L^1$ is uniformly integrable then

$$\sup_{\alpha \in A} \int |f_\alpha| d\mu < \infty.$$

Give an example to show that the conclusion fails without the condition $\mu(X) < \infty$.

- (d) Again, let $\mu(X) < \infty$ and suppose $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset L^1(\mu)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f_0$ a.e. and $\int |f_n| d\mu \rightarrow \int |f_0| d\mu$. Prove that $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is uniformly integrable. Hint: Consider some ϕ_M , a continuous bounded function on $[0, \infty)$, equal to 0 on $[M, \infty)$, for which $|t| \mathbf{1}_{\{|t| > M\}} \leq |t| - \phi_M(|t|)$.

Solution.

- (a) Since $f \in L^1$, $\{x \mid |f(x)| = \infty\}$ is μ -null and so $\mu(\{x \mid |f(x)| > M\}) \rightarrow 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, if $\int |f| d\mu = N$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x \mid |f(x)| > M\}} |f(x)| d\mu \leq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} N \mu(\{x \mid |f(x)| > M\}) = 0.$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in A \cup B} \int_{\{x \mid |f_\alpha(x)| > M\}} |f_\alpha| &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \sup_{\alpha \in A} \int_{\{x \mid |f_\alpha(x)| > M\}} |f_\alpha|, \sup_{\beta \in B} \int_{\{x \mid |f_\beta(x)| > M\}} |f_\beta| \right\} \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in A} \int_{\{x \mid |f_\alpha(x)| > M\}} |f_\alpha| \quad \text{WLOG take } \sup_{\alpha \in A} \geq \sup_{\beta \in B} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since } \{f_\alpha\} \text{ are uniformly integrable.} \end{aligned}$$

- (c)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\alpha \in A} \int |f_\alpha| d\mu &= \sup_{\alpha \in A} \left[\int_{\{x \mid |f_\alpha(x)| > M\}} |f_\alpha| d\mu + \int_{\{x \mid |f_\alpha(x)| \leq M\}} |f_\alpha| d\mu \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{\alpha \in A} \left[\int_{\{x \mid |f_\alpha(x)| > M\}} |f_\alpha| d\mu + M \mu(X) \right] < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{nx}$ on $[0, \infty)$ with the Lebesgue measure. Then $\{x \mid f_n(x) > M\}$ is m -null for $M \geq 1$ so

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{x \mid f_n(x) > M\}} |f_n| dm = 0$$

but $\frac{1}{nx}$ diverges as an integral for all n , so

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{nx} dx = \infty.$$

(d) From (c),

$$\infty > \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int |f_n| d\mu \geq \limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int |f_n| d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n| d\mu = \int |f_0| d\mu.$$

So $f_0 \in L^1(\mu)$.

Again using (c), we note that since $f_n \in L^1$, for all n and for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some M_n such that

$$\int_{\{x \mid |f_n(x)| > M_n\}} |f_n(x)| d\mu < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\infty > \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int |f_n| d\mu \geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{x \mid |f_n(x)| > M_n\}} |f_n(x)| d\mu.$$

So, because the sup is finite, and since each $f_n \in L^1$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some $M > 0$ such that

$$\sup_n \int_{\{x \mid |f_n(x)| > M\}} |f_n(x)| d\mu < \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

Finally, this implies that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \int_{\{x \mid |f_n(x)| > M\}} |f_n(x)| d\mu = 0$$

and so $\{f_n\}$ are uniformly integrable.

(1) Note that if no such M exists, then for all M

$$\sup_n \int_{\{x \mid |f_n(x)| > M\}} |f_n(x)| d\mu \geq \varepsilon$$

and so there is some $\int |f_n| d\mu$ such that

$$\int_{\{x \mid |f_n(x)| > M\}} |f_n(x)| d\mu + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq \sup_n \int_{\{x \mid |f_n(x)| > M\}} |f_n(x)| d\mu \geq \varepsilon$$

which implies that for all M

$$\int_{\{x \mid |f_n(x)| > M\}} |f_n(x)| d\mu \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

which is a contradiction OF $|f_n(x)| \in L^1$.

Problem 4. Let \mathbb{M} be the collection of all finite measures on the measure space (X, \mathcal{M}) .

(a) Show that

$$d(\nu, \lambda) = 2 \sup_{E \in \mathcal{M}} |\nu(E) - \lambda(E)|$$

defines a metric on \mathbb{M} .

(b) For any $\mu \in \mathbb{M}$, that dominates measures ν and λ with $\nu(X) = \lambda(X) = 1$, let

$$p = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{d\lambda}{d\mu}.$$

Prove that

$$d(\nu, \lambda) = \int |p(x) - q(x)| d\mu = 2 \left(1 - \int (\min\{p(x), q(x)\}) d\mu \right).$$

Hint: notice that $\mu(E) - \lambda(E) = \lambda(E^c) - \nu(E^c)$.

Solution.

- (a)
- $d(\nu, \lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{M}$.
 - $d(\nu, \lambda) = 0 \iff \nu = \lambda$ for all $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{M}$ is immediate from the definition.
 - $d(\nu, \lambda) = 2 \sup_E |\nu(E) - \lambda(E)| = 2 \sup_E |\lambda(E) - \nu(E)| = d(\lambda, \nu)$ for all $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{M}$.
 -

$$\begin{aligned} d(\nu, \mu) + d(\mu, \lambda) &= 2 \sup_E |\nu(E) - \mu(E)| + 2 \sup_E |\mu(E) - \lambda(E)| \\ &\geq 2 \sup_E (|\nu(E) - \mu(E)| + |\mu(E) - \lambda(E)|) \quad \sup A + \sup B \geq \sup(A + B) \\ &\geq 2 \sup_E |\nu(E) - \lambda(E)| \quad \text{Triangle Inequality} \\ &= d(\nu, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

So d is a metric on \mathbb{M} .

(b) Note that since $\nu(E) + \nu(E^c) = \lambda(E) + \lambda(E^c) = 1$, for all E , we have that

$$\nu(E) + \nu(E^c) = \lambda(E) + \lambda(E^c) \implies \nu(E) - \lambda(E) = \lambda(E^c) - \nu(E^c).$$

$$\left\| \textbf{Claim 1. } 2 \sup_E \left| \int_E (p - q) d\mu \right| = \int |p - q| d\mu \right.$$

Proof. $\boxed{\leq}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \sup_E |\nu(E) - \lambda(E)| &= \sup_E |2(\nu(E) - \lambda(E))| \\
 &= \sup_E |\nu(E) - \lambda(E) + \lambda(E^c) - \nu(E^c)| \\
 &\leq \sup_E (|\nu(E) - \lambda(E)| + |\nu(E^c) - \lambda(E^c)|) \\
 &= \sup_E \left(\left| \int_E (p - q) d\mu \right| + \left| \int_{E^c} (p - q) d\mu \right| \right) \\
 &\leq \int |p - q| d\mu
 \end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{\geq}$ Let $E = \{x \mid p(x) - q(x) \geq 0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_X |p - q| d\mu &= \int_E (p - q) d\mu + \int_{E^c} (q - p) d\mu \\
 &= \nu(E) - \lambda(E) + \lambda(E^c) - \nu(E^c) \\
 &= 2(\nu(E) - \lambda(E)) \\
 &\leq 2 \sup |\nu(E) - \lambda(E)| \\
 &= 2 \sup \left| \int_E (p - q) d\mu \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

✂

Now, assuming that " ν dominates λ " implies that $\nu \ll \mu$ and $\lambda \ll \mu$, we have that

$$\nu(X) = \int p d\mu = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(X) = \int q d\mu = 1.$$

Finally, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(\nu, \lambda) &= 2 \sup_{E \in \mathcal{M}} |\nu(E) - \lambda(E)| \\
 &= 2 \sup_{E \in \mathcal{M}} \left| \int_E p d\mu - \int_E q d\mu \right| \\
 &= 2 \sup_E \left| \int_E (p - q) d\mu \right| \\
 &= \int |p - q| d\mu \quad \text{from the claim} \\
 &= \int_E (p - q) d\mu + \int_{E^c} (q - p) d\mu \\
 &= \int_X (p - \min\{p, q\}) d\mu + \int_X (q - \min\{p, q\}) d\mu \\
 &= 2 \left(1 - \int \min\{p, q\} d\mu \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

✂