

Ideas for solutions.

1. Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are iid with values in a bounded interval  $[a; b]$  with density  $f(x)$ .

(a) Suppose the distribution is uniform in  $[a; b]$ . Show that  $\liminf_n n(X_n - a) = 0$  a.s.

(b) Still supposing the distribution is uniform, what can you say about  $\liminf_n n^2(X_n - a)$ ?

[Answer:  $+\infty$ ]

(c) Suppose instead that the endpoint  $a = 0$  and the density satisfies  $f(x) \sim cx^{-1/2}$ ,  $x \rightarrow 0$ , with  $0 < c < \infty$ . (Here  $\sim$  means the ratio converges to 1.) Find the new values of the lim inf's in (a) and (b) [Answer: both are zero.]

Solution. By Borel-Cantelli, with (pair-wise) independent continuous random variables  $Y_n > 0$  and numbers  $a_n > 0$ ,  $\sum_n P(Y_n < Ca_n) < \infty$  for all  $C > 0$  means  $\liminf_n (Y_n/a_n) = +\infty$  and  $\sum_n P(Y_n < Ca_n) = +\infty$  for all  $C > 0$  means  $\liminf_n (Y_n/a_n) = 0$ .

Accordingly, in parts (a) and (b), with  $Y_n = X_n - a$ ,  $P(Y_n < Ca_n) = C/n$  in (a) and  $P(Y_n < Ca_n) = C/n^2$  in (b). In (c), with  $Y_n = X_n$ , we have  $P(Y_n < Ca_n) \sim C_1\sqrt{a_n}$ .

2. Let  $X$  be a non-negative random variable. Prove that for  $b > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log Ee^{-tX}}{t} = -b$$

if and only if

$$P(X \geq b) = 1.$$

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\xi$  be a non-negative random variable. Then

- (1)  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} \geq p_0 > 0$  if and only if  $\mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) \geq p_0$ ;
- (2)  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} \leq e^{-\lambda\varepsilon_0}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{P}(\xi \geq \varepsilon_0) = 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) \geq p_0 > 0$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} \geq \mathbb{E}\left(1(\xi = 0)\right) = \mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) \geq p_0.$$

If  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} \geq p_0$ , then, for every  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad p_0 &\leq \mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) \leq \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda\xi}1(\xi \leq 1/n)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda\xi}1(\xi > 1/n)\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\xi \leq 1/n) + e^{-\lambda/n}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$p_0 \leq \mathbb{P}(\xi \leq 1/n) + e^{-\lambda/n},$$

or, after passing to the limit  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi \leq 1/n) \geq p_0.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_n (\xi \leq 1/n)\right) \geq p_0.$$

If  $\mathbb{P}(\xi \geq \varepsilon_0) = 1$ , then

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda\xi}1(\xi \geq \varepsilon_0)\right) \leq e^{-\lambda\varepsilon_0}.$$

If  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} \leq e^{-\lambda\varepsilon_0}$ , then, for every  $\delta < \varepsilon_0$ ,

$$e^{-\lambda\varepsilon_0} \geq \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda\xi}1(\xi \leq \delta)\right) \geq e^{-\lambda\delta}\mathbb{P}(\xi \leq \delta),$$

that is

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi \leq \delta) \leq e^{-\lambda(\varepsilon_0 - \delta)},$$

or, after passing to the limit  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi \leq \delta) = 0$$

for every  $\delta < \varepsilon_0$ , meaning that  $\mathbb{P}(\xi < \varepsilon_0) = 0$ . □

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\xi$  be a non-negative random variable. Then*

- (1)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} = p_0 > 0$  is equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) = p_0$ ;
- (2)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi}}{\lambda} = -\varepsilon_0 < 0$  is equivalent to  $\varepsilon_0 = \inf \{t > 0 : \mathbb{P}(\xi \geq t) = 1\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) = p_0 > 0$ , then  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} = p_0$  after passing to the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty}$$

in (1).

By the same argument, if  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} = p_0 > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) = p_0$ .

If  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  is such that  $\mathbb{P}(\xi \geq \varepsilon_0) = 1$ , then (2) implies

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi}}{\lambda} \leq -\varepsilon_0.$$

If in addition  $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_0 \leq \xi \leq \varepsilon_0 + \delta) > 0$  for every  $\delta > 0$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} = \mathbb{E}(e^{-\lambda\xi} \mathbf{1}(\xi \geq \varepsilon_0)) \geq e^{-\lambda(\varepsilon_0 + \delta)} \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_0 \leq \xi \leq \varepsilon_0 + \delta),$$

that is,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi}}{\lambda} \geq -\varepsilon_0 - \delta.$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi}}{\lambda} = -\varepsilon_0.$$

If (3) holds, then take  $\delta > 0$  and assume that  $\mathbb{P}(\xi \leq \varepsilon_0 - \delta) > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda\xi} \geq \mathbb{E}(e^{-\lambda\xi} \mathbf{1}(\xi \leq \varepsilon_0 - \delta)) \geq e^{-\lambda(\varepsilon_0 - \delta)} \mathbb{P}(\xi \leq \varepsilon_0 - \delta).$$

Applying  $\lambda^{-1} \ln(\cdot)$  to both sides and passing to the limit  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$  leads to a contradiction  $-\varepsilon_0 \geq -(\varepsilon_0 - \delta)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{P}(\xi < \varepsilon_0) = 0$ . A similar contradiction results from the assumption that  $\mathbb{P}(\xi < \varepsilon_0 + \delta) = 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . □

**3.** *Suppose that  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ , that  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and identically distributed, and that  $S_n = X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Assume that  $X$  has characteristic function*

$$\phi(u) := \mathbb{E}e^{iuX} = e^{-|u|^\alpha}.$$

a) *Is  $X$  symmetric (meaning  $X$  and  $-X$  have the same distribution)?* [Yes, because  $\phi$  is real and so the pdf of  $X$ , which exists because  $\phi$  is integrable, is an even function.]

b) *Find a function  $f(n)$ , depending on  $n$ , such that  $S_n/f(n)$  has the same distribution as  $X$ .* [Look at the characteristic function of  $S_n/f(n)$  to conclude that  $f(n) = n^{1/\alpha}$ .]

c) *For what values of  $\alpha$  does  $S_n/\sqrt{n}$  converge in distribution to a Gaussian random variable with positive variance* [Only for  $\alpha = 2$ .]

d) *For what values of  $\alpha$  does  $S_n/n$  converge to 0 in probability?* [For  $\alpha > 1$ ; the convergence is, in fact, with probability one.]

For parts c) and d) note, by looking at differentiability of  $\phi$  at zero, that  $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$  for  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$  only for  $\alpha = 2$ .