

- ① Galois
- ② Sylow
- ③ Nullstellensatz (varieties) ← very easy
- ④ Artin - Wedderburn
- ⑤
- ⑥
- ⑦??

④ a) <sup>Problem</sup> ~~show~~ ring simple. Method: (i) show semisimple  
(ii) Apply AW  
(iii) show 1 copy.

$$R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{n_k}(D_k)$$

- $R$  simple iff  $k=1$
- $R$  product of fields iff  $n_1 = \dots = n_k = 1$  &  $D_i$  fields
- show  $R$  has identity, need to show  $R$  product of fields

RE ~~show~~  $J(R) = 0$ : If can't show no nilpotents, then work w/  $R/J(R)$  because  $J(R/J(R)) = 0$  and then try to lift, e.g. if there is identity.

### Arguments

Def/  $R$  is Artinian semisimple if ~~...~~  $J(R) = 0$ .

Tricky part: show  $J(R) = 0$ .  
Many times just use  $J(R)$  nilradical & show  $R$  has no nilpotents.

↑  
Jacobson radical

(Recall: all finite division rings are fields.)

Then/  $R$  artinian + artinian s.s.  $\Rightarrow$  R.s.s.  
↑ usually given or trivial

no nilpotents  $\Rightarrow n_1 = \dots = n_k = 1$ . (If  $R$  finite  $\Rightarrow$  each  $D_i$  is finite)  
If  $R$  is given as f.d. alg over field, then each  $D_i$  is a f.g. alg/field. If  $R = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $D_i$  is  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , or  $\mathbb{H}$ . If  $\mathbb{R}$  is comm. then no  $\mathbb{H}$ 's (or some kind of relation)



# Lying Over Theorem

1

$R \subset S$  integral.

Then every prime of  $R$  is the intersection of a prime in  $S$  w/  $R$ .

## Nöther Normalization

$R$  f.g.  $F$  alg.

and a domain.

$F \hookrightarrow R$ .

Then there is an intermediate

polynomial ring  $F \hookrightarrow F[x_1, \dots, x_n] \hookrightarrow R$

s.t.  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n] \hookrightarrow R$  is integral

A (not necessarily commutative) ring (w/1).

'module' means 'left A-module'.

Def/ A module over A is semisimple if it can be decomposed as a direct sum of simple submodules. (Not necessarily a finite  $\times$ .)

e.g. A simple module  $M$  over a division ring  $D$  is isomorphic to  $D$  as a  $D$ -module over itself.

Proof: Let  $m \in M$ .  $D \xrightarrow{\varphi} M, r \mapsto rm$ .

The image of  $\varphi$  is  $M$  since  $M$  is simple.

$$rm = 0 \Rightarrow r^{-1}rm = m = \overset{!}{0} = 0. \Rightarrow r = 0$$

so the only  $r$  satisfying  $rm = 0$  is  $r = 0$ .

so the map is injective.  $\square$ .

Fact: Every module over a division ring  $D$  is free and all bases have the

same cardinality. Hence it makes sense to talk about the dim of a module over a division ring.

Proposition (Let)  $A$  be a ring and let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module.

e.g. A module over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is simple if and only if it is  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof: simple  $\Leftrightarrow$

$\langle x \rangle = M$ ; so cyclic w/ prime order and no subgroups.  $\square$

TFAE (i)  $M$  is semisimple. (ii)  $M$  is a sum of simple modules (not assuming direct sum). (iii) Every epimorphism  $M \rightarrow L$  splits. (iv) Every submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand.

COR If  $M$  is semisimple, then submodules and quotients of  $M$  are semisimple.

Def/ A ring  $A$  is semisimple if it is semisimple as a module over itself. 2

---

Rmk/ • If a ring  $A$  is semisimple, then every  $A$ -module is semisimple, for it is a quotient of a free  $A$ -module. (Direct sums of semisimple modules are of course semisimple.)

• If  $A$  is semisimple, then any short exact sequence of  $A$ -modules

$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  splits since  $N$  is semisimple, hence  $N \xrightarrow{\dots} L$  splits.

• Every  $A$ -module  $M$  is a direct summand of a free  $A$ -module. Indeed, there is a SES

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \pi \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0$$

where  $F$  is a free  $A$ -module.

• Consequently, every module over a semisimple ring is projective. Conversely, if every  $A$ -module is projective, then every epimorphism  $A \rightarrow M$  splits, hence  $A$  is semisimple.

Summarizing:

---

Cor/ A ring  $A$  is semisimple iff every  $A$ -module is projective (iff every  $A$ -module is injective).

---

Matrix Rings Let  $D$  be a division ring, let  $M$  be a finite dimensional  $D$ -module of dim  $n$ . Let  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be a basis for  $M$ .

Define a map  $\text{Hom}_D(M, M) \rightarrow M_n(D)^{\text{op}}$   
by the correspondence  $f(X_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j$ .

Then this map is a ring isomorphism.  
 $M_n(D)^{\text{op}} \cong M_n(D^{\text{op}})$  by the map  $A \mapsto A^T$ .

---

Thm/  $M_n(D)$  is a semisimple ring  
when  $D$  is a division ring. (Both as  
left and right  $M_n(D)$ -modules.)

• Since  $M_n(D)$  is finite dimensional  
over  $D$ ,  $M_n(D)$  is Artinian / Noetherian  
as a ring. Indeed, a  $M_n(D)$ -  
submodule is a  $D$ -submodule since  
 $D$  acts as the scalar matrices.

• The same proof as for fields shows  
in fact  $M_n(D)$  is a simple ring.  
(for 2-sided ideals)

---

Def/ Let  $k$  be a field and  $G$  a group  
and denote by  $kG$  the group ring.

---

Thm/ (Maschke) If  $k$  is a field and  
 $G$  is a finite group s.t.  $\text{char } k \nmid |G|$ .  
Then  $kG$  is semisimple.

---

# Proposition

3

Let  $A$  be a semi simple ring.  
Then  $A$  is a direct sum of finitely many simple submodules<sup>(i.e. minimal left ideals)</sup>. Also,  
 $A$  is  $\wedge$  Artinian and  $\wedge$  Noetherian.  
(left) (left)

## DIGRESSION ON THE JACOBSON RADICAL OF A RING.

Def / Let  $A$  be a ring. The annihilator of an  $A$ -module  $M$  is

$$\text{Ann}_A(M) = \{ a \in A : ax = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M \}$$

If  $\text{Ann}_A(M) = \{0\}$ ,  $M$  is called faithful.

Rmk / •  $\text{Ann}_A(M)$  is a 2-sided ideal of  $A$ .

• If  $I \subset \text{Ann}_A(M)$  is a 2-sided ideal, then  $M$  is naturally an  $A/I$ -module.

• If  $N \subset M$  is a submodule, then  $\text{Ann}_A(M) \subset \text{Ann}_A(N)$ .

• If  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are submodules of  $M$ , then  $\text{Ann}_A(N_1 + N_2) = \text{Ann}_A(N_1) \cap \text{Ann}_A(N_2)$ .

Def/ The Jacobson radical  $\text{rad}(A)$  of a ring  $A$  is defined to be

$$\text{rad}(A) = \bigcap_{\substack{M \text{ simple} \\ \text{left } A\text{-module}}} \text{Ann}_A(M)$$

Rmk/  $\text{rad}(A)$  is an <sup>2-sided</sup> ideal.

• Every simple  $A$ -module is naturally a simple  $A/\text{rad}(A)$ -module. (It is clear that the submodules of  $M$  as an  $A$ -module or an  $A/\text{rad}(A)$ -module are the same.) So the converse is true as well. Summarizing:  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{simple} \\ A\text{-modules} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{simple} \\ A/\text{rad}(A)\text{-modules} \end{array} \right\}$

•  $\text{rad}(A/\text{rad}(A)) = \{0\}$  :

equals  $\bigcap_{\substack{M \text{ a simple} \\ A\text{-module}}} \text{Ann}_{A/\text{rad}(A)}(M)$

=  $\bigcap_{\substack{M \text{ a simple} \\ A\text{-module}}} \text{Ann}_A(M) = \{0\}$

Thm/  $\text{rad}(A)$  is independent of whether we consider left or right modules. (see below)



$$\text{Thm/ } \text{rad}(A) = \bigcap L$$

maximal  
proper  
left ideals  $L$

4

$$\text{Thm/ } \text{rad}(A) = \left\{ x \in A : 1 - axb \text{ is a unit for all } a, b \in A \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ x \in A : 1 - ax \text{ is left invertible for all } a \in A \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ x \in A : 1 - xb \text{ is right invertible for all } b \in A \right\}$$

Cor/  $\text{rad}(A)$  is independent of left or right.

Def/ If  $L \subset A$  is an additive s.g.

$$\text{then } L^k \text{ is } \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^1 \cdots x_i^k : x_i^j \in L \right\}$$

If  $L$  is a left/right/2-sided ideal, then the same is true of  $L^k$ .

If  $L^k = \{0\}$  then  $L$  is called nilpotent.

In particular, every elt of  $L$  is a nilpotent elt, and we say  $L$  is a nil ideal.

$$(L \text{ nilpotent} \implies L \text{ nil})$$

Thm / (i)  $\text{rad}(A)$  contains every nil left / right ideal. (ii) If  $A$  is left or right Artinian, then  $\text{rad}(A)$  is nilpotent. Hence in this case  $\text{rad}(A)$  is the maximal left / right nilpotent ideal. (A nilpotent left / right ideal is a nil left / right ideal.)

---

Calculation in the Proof:

Let  $L$  be nil left ideal. Let  $x \in L$ . We must show for every  $a \in A$  that  $1 - ax$  is left invertible. We conclude  $L \subset \text{rad} A$ .

$y = ax \in L$ . Let  $y^k = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= 1 - y^k = (1 + y + \dots + y^{k-1})(1 - y) \\
 &= (1 + y + \dots + y^{k-1})(1 - ax). \quad \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$


---

Cor / If  $A$  is <sup>(left/right)</sup> Artinian, then every <sup>(left/right)</sup> nil ideal is nilpotent.

---

(Proof: There is fixed  $N$  s.t.  $x \in \text{rad}(A) \Rightarrow x^N = 0$ .  
Every nil ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is contained in  $\text{rad}(A)$ .  
So  $\mathcal{I}^N = \{0\}$ .)

---

END OF DIGRESSION.

Thm/ Let  $A$  be a ring.

Then  $A$  is semisimple



$A$  is Artinian and  $\text{rad}(A) = 0$ .  
(left)

Proof: Let  $A$  be semisimple. So

$A$  is Artinian, and  $A = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N L_j$  is the direct sum of finitely many simple left ideals.

For each  $j=1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $M_j = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^N L_i$  is a maximal left ideal of  $A$ , and  $\bigcap_{j=1}^N M_j = \{0\}$ .

Since  $\text{rad}(A)$  is the intersection of all proper maximal left ideals, it follows  $\text{rad}(A) = 0$ .

Conversely, assume  $A$  is left Artinian and  $\text{rad}(A) = 0$ . Consider the family of left ideals  $L$  of  $A$  s.t.  $A = S \oplus L$  where  $S$  is a semisimple left module of  $A$  (e.g.  $S=0, L=A$ ). Let  $L$  be minimal among this family;  $L$  exists since  $A$  is left Artinian. It will be shown that if  $L \neq 0$ , then we may write  $L = M \oplus L'$

where  $M$  is a <sup>nonzero</sup> minimal left ideal and  $L'$  is a left ideal; then

$$A = (S \oplus M) \oplus L'$$

and  $S \oplus M$  is semisimple (as  $M$  is simple), contradicting the minimality of  $L$ .

So let  $L \neq 0$ . Again using that  $A$  is <sup>left</sup> Artinian let  $M \neq 0$  be a minimal left ideal contained in  $L$ . Then  $M^2 \subseteq M$  and either  $M^2 = 0$  or  $M^2 = M$ . In the case  $M^2 = 0$ ,  $M$  is a nilpotent left ideal, so  $M$  is a nil left ideal, so  $M$  is contained in  $\text{rad}(A) = 0$  (as  $A$  is left-Artinian).

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ . So  $M^2 = M$ . Thus we may choose  $x \in M$  s.t.  $Mx \neq \{0\}$ . ( $x \neq 0$ )  $Mx \subseteq M$  so  $Mx = M$ . So there is  $e \in M$  s.t.  $ex = x$ . So  $e^2x = ex$ , so  $(e^2 - e)x = 0$ . ( $\because e \neq 0$ )

Observe now that  $M_1 = \{z \in M : zx = 0\}$  is a left ideal contained in  $M$ . Also note  $M_1 \neq M$  (as  $Mx \neq 0$ ). Hence  $M_1 = 0$ .

Since  $e^2 - e \in M_1$ ,  
we gather  $e^2 = e$ , i.e.  $e$  is an idempotent.

6

write  $1 = e + (1 - e)$ . For each  $a \in L$ ,  
 $a = ae + a(1 - e)$ . So  
 $L \subset Le + L(1 - e)$ .

Also,  $Le \subset M$  and  $e = e^2 \in Le$ .

So  $Le \neq 0$ . So  $Le = M$ .

Also,  $L(1 - e) \subset L + Le \subset L$  ( $e \in M \subset L$ ).

So  $L \subset Le + L(1 - e) = M + L(1 - e) \equiv M + L' \subset L$ .

So  $L = M + L'$ .

The sum is direct:

i.e.  $M \cap L' = 0$ :

Since  $M = Le$ , an arbitrary elt is  $ae$ ,  $a \in L$ .

So assume  $ae = b(1 - e)$  where  $a, b \in L$ .

Then  $ae = ae^2 = b(1 - e)e = b(e - e^2) = 0$ .

We conclude  $L = 0$ ,

hence  $A$  is semisimple.  $\square$

---

Thm/~~(Wedderburn)~~ Any semisimple ring is a direct product of finitely many simple Artinian rings.

Rmk/ • The converse is true:

Every direct product of simple Artinian rings is semisimple. Indeed, we will see that every simple Artinian ring is a matrix ring over a division ring.

Prop/ (Schur's lemma) Let  $A$  be a ring.  
Let  $M$  &  $N$  be simple  $A$ -modules.

(i) Any  $A$ -module homomorphism  $M \rightarrow N$  is 0 or an iso.

(ii)  $\text{Hom}_A(M, M)$  is a division ring.

Proof: Immediate.  $\square$ .

Rmk/ • Let  $M$  be a left  $A$ -module.  
Set  $D = \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ .

Then  $M$  is a  $D$ -module by setting  
 $d \cdot m := d(m)$  for  $d \in D, m \in M$ .

• For each  $a \in A$ , we obtain an elt  $\lambda_a$  of  $\text{Hom}_D(M, M)$  by setting  $\lambda_a(m) = a \cdot m$ .

The  $\vee$  map  $A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_D(M, M)$  is a ring hom.

"This is a natural representation of  $A$  in the  $D$ -module  $M$ ."

Thm/ (Rieffel) Let  $A$  be simple. Let  $M \neq 0$  be a left ideal of  $A$ . Set  $D = \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ .

Then the representation  $A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_D(M, M)$  is an isomorphism (of rings)

i.e. every  $D$ -module map  $M \rightarrow M$  is faithfully mult on the left by some  $a \in A$ .

7

Cor/ Let  $A$  be a simple Artinian ring.

Let  $M$  be a minimal left ideal of  $A$ .

Set  $D = \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ . Then

$$A \cong \text{Hom}_D(M, M) \cong M_n(D)^{\text{op}} \cong M_n(D^{\text{op}})$$

where  $n = \dim_D M$  (note:  $D$  is a division ring by Schur's lemma, since  $M$  is a simple  $A$ -module).

Conclusion:  $A$  is a simple Artinian ring if and only if  $A$  is isomorphic to a matrix ring over a division ring. (In a very natural way.)

Proof: Lemma/ Let  $D$  be a division ring.

Let  $M$  be a  $D$ -module. Then  $\text{Hom}_D(M, M)$  is Artinian if and only if  $\dim_D M < \infty$ .

Proof (lemma): If  $\dim_D M < \infty$ , then since  $D$  is a division ring we know  $\text{Hom}_D(M, M) \cong M_n(D^{\text{op}})$  which is Artinian by f.d. together w/ the fact that every  $M_n(D^{\text{op}})$ -submodule is a  $D^{\text{op}}$ -submodule ( $D^{\text{op}}$ -subspace).  $\checkmark$  Conversely, assume  $M$  has infinite dimension over  $D$ . Then there is an infinite ascending chain of  $D$ -subspaces  $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots$ . Set  $L_k = \{f \in \text{Hom}_D(M, M) : f(M_k) = 0\}$ . Then  $L_k$  is



a left ideal of  $\text{Hom}_D(M, M)$ , and  $L_k \not\supseteq L_{k+1}$   
 (since  $M$  is a free  $D$ -module, there is a  $D$ -module  
 map  $M \rightarrow M$  vanishing on  $M_k$  but not on  $M_{k+1}$ ).  
 So  $\text{Hom}_D(M, M)$  is not Artinian.  $\square$

Proof of Corollary: A simple  $\xRightarrow{\text{Thm (Rieffel)}}$   $A \cong \text{Hom}_D(M, M)$ .

$M$  minimal  $\xRightarrow{\text{Schar}}$   $D = \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$  division ring.

$\therefore A \text{ Art} \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_D(M, M) \text{ Art} \xRightarrow{\text{lemma}}$   $\dim_D M = n < \infty$

$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}_D(M, M) \cong M_n(D^{\text{op}})$

$\square$

Rmk/ •  $D$  division ring.  $M_n(D)$  is semisimple  
 as a left or right module over itself.

So a direct product of matrix rings over division  
 rings is semisimple.

Thm (Wedderburn) A ring

$A$  is left semisimple  $\iff$

$A$  is right semisimple  $\iff$

$A$  is a finite direct product of matrix rings  $M_n(D)$  over  
 division rings  $D$ .

~~Thm/ A a ring  
 IF A is left/right  
 Artinian, then  
 A is left/right Noetherian.~~

Proof: / Apply corollary to previous Wedderburn.  $\square$ .



Thm/ Let  $A$  be a ring. 8

If  $A$  is left/right Artinian,  
then  $A$  is left/right Noetherian.

Proof: ~~Assume  $A$  is (left) Artinian. We show  $A$  is (left) Noth.~~  
Let  $J = \text{rad}(A)$ . Since

$A$  is Artinian,  $J$  is nilpotent.

So there is  $k \geq 1$  s.t.

$$A \supset J \supset J^2 \supset \dots \supset J^k = \{0\}.$$

[If  $J^1 = \{0\}$ , then  $A$  is

Artinian w/ vanishing Jacobson radical,  
hence  $A$  is semisimple, hence  $A$  is Noetherian.]

To show  $A$  is Noth it suffices to  
show  $A/J$  and  $J^i/J^{i+1}$  are Noth. Indeed,  
then  $J^k$  is Noth and  $J/J^k$  is Noth; hence  $J^{k-1}$   
is Noth and  $J^{k-2}/J^{k-1}$  is Noth; ...; hence  $J$  is  
Noth and  $A/J$  is Noth; hence  $A$  is Noth.

Since  $A$  is Art,  $A/J$  is Art.

Since  $\text{rad}(A/J) = 0$ , and  $A/J$  Art, it follows  $A/J$   
is semisimple. Hence  $A/J$  is Noth.

→

Consider  $J^i/J^{i+1}$  as a left  $A$ -module.

Since  $J$  is the Jacobson radical of  $A$ ,

$J^i/J^{i+1}$  is naturally a left  $A/J$ -module.

we claim  $J^i/J^{i+1}$  is left Art as an  $A$ -module

Indeed,  $A \text{ l. Art}/A \Rightarrow J^i \text{ l. Art}/A \Rightarrow J^i/J^{i+1} \text{ l. Art}/A$ .

So the claim is proved.

Since  $A/J$  is semisimple,  $J^i/J^{i+1}$

is semisimple as a left  $A/J$ -module.

Hence  $J^i/J^{i+1} = \bigoplus_{k \in K_i} M_k^i$  is the direct sum

of simple  $A/J$ -modules. Since the

submodules of  $J^i/J^{i+1}$  are the same

whether we view it as an  $A$ -module or  $A/J$ -module,

$J^i/J^{i+1}$  is l. Artinian as an  $A/J$ -module

If the decomposition of  $J^i/J^{i+1}$  into

simple modules were infinite, then clearly

$J^i/J^{i+1}$  would have a nonterminating

descending chain. So the sum is finite,

and thus  $J^i/J^{i+1}$  is l. Noth as an  $A/J$ -module,

being a finite sum of simple  $A/J$ -modules.

(A finite direct sum of Art/<sub>Noth</sub> modules is Art/<sub>Noth</sub>.)  $\square$

(Since  $A/J$  is l. semisimple,  $A/J$  is r. semisimple, so  $J^i/J^{i+1}$  is semisimple as a right  $A/J$ -module. But we only know  $J^i/J^{i+1}$  is Art as a left  $A/J$ -module since  $A$  is (left) Artinian. So can't conclude r. semisimple breaks into finite pieces.)