

Algebra Exam February 2016

Show your work. Be as clear as possible. Do all problems.

1. Let R be a Noetherian commutative ring with 1 and $I \neq 0$ an ideal of R . Show that there exist finitely many nonzero prime ideals P_i of R (not necessarily distinct) so that $\Pi_i P_i \subset I$ (Hint: consider the set of ideals which are not of that form).
2. Describe all groups of order 130: show that every such group is isomorphic to a direct sum of dihedral and cyclic groups of suitable orders.
3. Let $f(x) = x^{12} + 2x^9 - 2x^3 + 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Show $f(x)$ is irreducible. Let K be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} . Determine whether $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is solvable.
4. Determine up to isomorphism the algebra structure of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ where $G = S_3$ is the symmetric group of degree 3 (Recall that $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is the group algebra of G which has basis G and the multiplication comes from the multiplication on G).
5. If F is a field and $n > 1$ show that for any nonconstant $g \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the ideal $gF[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is not a maximal ideal of $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.
6. Let F be a field and let P be a submodule of $F[x]^n$. Suppose that the quotient module $M := F[x]^n/P$ is Artinian. Show that M is finite dimensional over F .

Spring 2016 Algebra

① R Noth comm ring w/ 1 and
 $I \neq 0$ ideal.

Show there are finitely many nonzero prime
ideals P_i s.t. $\prod_i P_i \subset I$.

solution

Let \mathcal{Q} be the collection of ^{nonzero} ideals
not containing a finite product of primes.

Suppose $\mathcal{Q} \neq \emptyset$. Since R is Noth
let I be maximal in \mathcal{Q} . we show
 I is prime, which is a contradiction.

Suppose there is $xy \in I$ but $x \notin I$ and $y \notin I$.
Then $I \subsetneq I + Rx$.

~~Then $I \subsetneq I + Ry$.~~

Similarly $I \subsetneq I + Ry$.

Since I is maximal \wedge there are
 and $I+Rx \neq 0, I+Ry \neq 0$
 primes $P_1, \dots, P_n, Q_1, \dots, Q_m$ s.t.

$$P_1 \dots P_n \subset I+Rx \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1 \dots Q_m \subset I+Ry.$$

But then $P_1 \dots P_n Q_1 \dots Q_m \subset (I+Rx)(I+Ry) \subset I$.

~~Therefore, $I = \phi$.~~ $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$.

Therefore, $I = \phi$. □.

(2) Describe all groups of order
 $130 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$. Show these are
 all direct sums of cyclic and dihedral groups.

solution

$$n_2 = 1, 5, 13, 5 \cdot 13 \quad P \stackrel{=\langle x \rangle}{\text{Sylow 2-s.g.}}$$

$$n_5 = 1, 2, 13, 2 \cdot 13 \quad Q \stackrel{=\langle \beta \rangle}{\text{Sylow 5-s.g.}}$$

$$n_{13} = 1, 2, 5, 10 \quad R \stackrel{=\langle \alpha \rangle}{\text{Sylow 13-s.g.}}$$

In $QR, n_5 = 1 \Rightarrow QR \cong Q \times R$.

$R \triangleleft G$.
 $[6:QR] = 2$
 $\Rightarrow QR \triangleleft G$.
 $\Rightarrow G \cong QR \rtimes P$.

$$\langle X \rangle \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Aut}(Q \times R) \cong C_4 \times C_{12}$$

||
 $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$.

$$|\varphi(X)| = 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

so possible $\varphi: X \mapsto (1, 1) \text{ or } (\alpha^2, 1) \text{ or } (1, \beta^6) \text{ or } (\alpha^2, \beta^6)$.

For the generators α and β we may take

$$\alpha: y \mapsto y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta: z \mapsto z^2. \quad \begin{array}{l} \alpha^2: y \mapsto y^4 \\ \beta^6: z \mapsto z^{12} \end{array}$$

Each different pair $X \mapsto (\alpha^i, \beta^j)$ $\begin{array}{l} i=0,2 \\ j=0,6 \end{array}$ gives a

different isomorphism class. Besides $C_2 \times C_5 \times C_{13}$ have:

$$\textcircled{1} \langle x, y, z \mid x^2 = y^5 = z^{13} = 1, yz = zy, \underline{xy = y^4x}, xz = zx \rangle \cong C_{13} \times D_{10}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{''} \quad \text{''} \quad \underline{xy = yx, xz = z^{12}x} \cong C_5 \times D_{26}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{''} \quad \text{''} \quad \underline{xy = y^4x, xz = z^{12}x} \cong D_{130}$$

The last isomorphism is because $X(yz) = y^{-1}z^{-1}X = (zy)^{-1}X = (yz)^{-1}X$
and $|yz| = 13 \cdot 5 = 65$ since $yz = zy$. \square

$$\textcircled{3} \quad f(x) = x^{12} + 2x^6 - 2x^3 + 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

Show $f(x)$ is irred. If L is the splitting field of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} , determine whether $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is solvable.

CHAPTER 14

Top-dimensional de Rham cohomology

A corollary of the Stokes Theorem is the following:

COROLLARY 14.1. *Let M be an oriented manifold of dimension m , with no boundary. There is a well-defined map*

$$I: H_c^m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which to the class of $\omega \in \Omega_c^m(M)$ associates its integral $\int_M \omega$.

THEOREM 14.2. *Let M be an oriented manifold of dimension m , with no boundary. Supposed in addition that M is connected. Then the above map $I: H_c^m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an isomorphism.*

EXAMPLE 14.3. $H^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}) \cong H^{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

1. A topological application

Let $B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|x\| \leq 1\}$ be the unit closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n . This is a manifold-with-boundary, with boundary the $(n-1)$ -sphere S^{n-1} .

PROPOSITION 14.4. *There is no differentiable map $r: B^n \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ such that $r(x) = x$ for every $x \in S^{n-1}$.*

COROLLARY 14.5 (Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem). *For every differentiable map $f: B^n \rightarrow B^n$, there exists at least one point $x \in B^n$ with $f(x) = x$.*

solution $f(x)$ is irred over \mathbb{Q} by Eisenstein.

$$y = x^3 \quad y^4 + 2y^2 - 2y + 2 = f(y).$$

Since f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , f is separable. Since f is irreducible and separable, the Galois group of f is contained in S_4 and hence is solvable.

Let $g \equiv f(y) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$. Then we gather

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset L' \subset \mathbb{Q}(d_1, \dots, d_n) \quad \text{where}$$

L' is the ν splitting field of g and $\mathbb{Q}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is a radical ext over \mathbb{Q} . Let β_1, \dots, β_4 be the roots of g and let ζ be a ^{primitive} ν third root of unity. Then $\mathbb{Q} \subset L \subset \mathbb{Q}(d_1, \dots, d_n, \beta_1 \zeta^{i_1}, \dots, \beta_4 \zeta^{i_4})_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_4 = \\ 0, 1, 2}}$ where L is the splitting field of f . Since each $\beta_j \zeta^{i_j}$ is

a cube root of an elt of $L/\mathbb{Q}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$
 and since $\mathbb{Q}(d_1, \dots, d_n)/\mathbb{Q}$ is radical,
 we conclude L is contained in the radical
 ext $\mathbb{Q}(d_1, \dots, d_n, \beta_j^{1/j})/\mathbb{Q}$ hence
_{the Galois ext}
 L/\mathbb{Q} is solvable. \square

(4) Determine up to isomorphism the
 algebra structure of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ where
 $G = S_3$, viewed as a \mathbb{C} -alg.

solution

By Maschke's thm,

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ where } r \text{ is } \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irreducible} \\ \text{representations} \\ \text{of } G \end{array} \right\}$$

where n_i is the degree of the i^{th} irred representation

S_3 has 3 conjugacy classes $\{1\}$, $\{(12), (13), (23)\}$, $\{(123), (132)\}$
 hence 3 irred representations. There are two deg 1 irred
 reps: the trivial rep and that given by the signature of the permutation.

Since $n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 = 6$ we gather
||
 $1 + 1 + n_3^2$

the third irred rep has degree 2.

we conclude

$$\mathbb{C}[S_3] \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times M_2(\mathbb{C}). \quad \square.$$

⑤ Let K be a field and $n > 1$.

Show for any nonconstant $g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$

the ideal $gK[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is not a maximal ideal of $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

solution

If K is algebraically closed, then the result follows by the Nullstellensatz which gives every maximal ideal to be of the form $(X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$ for $a_i \in K$.

Assume k is any field. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal. Let $M := \bar{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \mathfrak{m}$ be the push-forward ideal of \mathfrak{m} in $\bar{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ where \bar{k} is the algebraic closure of k .

Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$ for some $a_i \in \bar{k}$.

The ideal $A := (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n) \cap k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ contains \mathfrak{m} , hence $A = \mathfrak{m}$ by maximality.

~~Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$ for some $a_i \in \bar{k}$.~~

Let $m_i(X) \in k[X]$ be the minimal poly of a_i , so $X - a_i$ divides $m_i(X)$ in $\bar{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. So $m_i(X_i) \in A = \mathfrak{m}$.

If \mathfrak{m} is principal, $\mathfrak{m} = (f)$ for some irreducible $f \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. So f divides $m_i(X_i)$ in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Since $m_i(X_i)$ is irreducible in $k[X_i]$, it is irreducible in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$

(since $\deg_i(fg) = \deg_i(f) + \deg_i(g)$ for all $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $i=1, \dots, n$). So f and $m_i(x_i)$ are associates, since f is not a unit. Since $n \geq 2$ this is a contradiction, because it says f is of $\deg \geq 1$ in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n]$.

We conclude m is not principal. \square

(6) Let F be a field, and let P be an $F[x]$ -submodule of $F[x]^n$. Suppose the quotient $F[x]$ -module $M := F[x]^n/P$ is Artinian. Show M is f.d. over F .

M is a f.g. module over a PID, so $M \cong F[x]^r \oplus F[x]/(f_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F[x]/(f_n)$. $F[x]^r$ is not Artinian since there is a non-terminating descending chain $(x, 0, \dots, 0) \supset (x^2, 0, \dots, 0) \supset \dots$. So there is no free part. Since each $F[x]/(f_i)$ is f.d. over F we are done. \square

