

① $f_n \in L^1(\mu)$; $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e.

($\Rightarrow$) assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mu)$. Then $\int |f_n - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0$.

$$\text{so then } \left| \int |f_n| - |f| d\mu \right| \leq \int ||f_n| - |f|| d\mu \leq \int |f_n - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{so } \int |f_n| \rightarrow \int |f|$$

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose $\int |f_n| \rightarrow \int |f|$.

Then by Fatou $\int |f| \leq \liminf \int |f_n|$. so:

$$2 \int |f| - \limsup_n \int |f_n - f| d\mu \geq 0 \quad (\text{since } \liminf (a+b) \leq \limsup a + \limsup b)$$

$$\geq \limsup_n \left[\int |f| + |f_n| - |f_n - f| \right]$$

$$\geq \liminf_n \left[\int |f| + |f_n| - |f_n - f| \right] \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{since } \int |f_n| \rightarrow \int |f| \text{ \& by Fatou.} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\geq 2 \int |f| - \int \liminf_n |f_n - f|$$

$$= 2 \int |f|$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_n \int |f_n - f| d\mu \leq 0$$

$$\text{now } \int |f_n - f| d\mu \geq 0 \Rightarrow \liminf_n \int |f_n - f| d\mu \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_n \int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$$

(2) $f_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1]$ ^{Lebesgue m'able} $\forall n \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |f_n(x)| dx = 0$

Then $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \Rightarrow \forall n \geq N \int_0^1 |f_n(x)| dx < \epsilon$

Now $\int_0^1 |f_n(x)| dx \leq \max_{x \in [0,1]} |f_n(x)| < \epsilon$

$\Rightarrow |f_n(x)| < \epsilon$ a.e. $x \in [0,1]$ for $\forall n \geq N$.

Thus let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then for each $m \exists N_m \Rightarrow |f_{N_m}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ a.e. x

Thus $|f_{N_m}(x)| \rightarrow 0$ for a.e. x .

Since f_n is m'able then $\{x; f_n(x) = \infty\}$ has measure zero, hence we can consider such a maximum existing for a.e. $x \in [0,1]$.

(3) Prove the Lebesgue measure is translation invariant.

First suppose A is an open interval. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

$x+A = x + (a,b) = (a+x, b+x) \in \mathcal{M}$ for any A open.

Since again is open set.

Now $\lambda(x+A) = \lambda(a+x, b+x) = b+x - a-x = b-a = \lambda(A)$

Since any open set is the union of open sets this holds for all open sets & hence for complements. Thus it holds for $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ since it is the σ -algebra generated by open sets in $\mathbb{R}$. Now given $A \in \mathcal{L}$, then $A = B \cup N$ where B is Borel & N is Lebesgue-null.

Since $A+x = (B+x) \cup (N+x)$ where $B+x \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ & $\lambda(N+x) = 0$

then $A+x \in \mathcal{L}$. Clearly $\lambda(A+x) = \lambda((B+x) \cup (N+x) \setminus (B+x))$

$= \lambda(B+x) + \lambda(N+x \setminus B+x) = \lambda(B) + 0 = \lambda(B) + \lambda(N \setminus B) = \lambda(A)$
↑ ↑
by above by completeness of λ .

④ $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ w/ $f(x_0) = \liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \quad \forall x_n \rightarrow x_0$

so $f(x_0) = \inf_{x \rightarrow x_0} \{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n), x_n \rightarrow x_0 \}$.

Hence $f(x_0) = \liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$.

So then $\liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left(\inf_{0 < |x - x_0| < \delta} f(x) \right) = f(x_0)$

$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta \Rightarrow \left| \liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) - \inf_{|x - x_0| < \delta} f(x) \right| < \epsilon$

so then $\liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) - \epsilon \leq \inf_{|x - x_0| < \delta} f(x)$

hence for any $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$ we have:

$$f(x_0) - \epsilon \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) - \epsilon \leq f(x)$$

So then to show f is Borel m'able we must show $f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$
 so then fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider $\{x: f(x) > a\} = E$.

Then if $x_0 \in E$ we have that $f(x_0) > a$.

So then $f(x) \geq f(x_0) - \epsilon > a - \epsilon \quad \forall x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$

since ϵ is arbitrary $\Rightarrow f(x) > a \quad \forall x \in B_\delta(x_0)$.

so $f(B_\delta(x_0)) \subseteq (a, \infty)$. Hence $B_\delta(x_0) \subseteq E$ so E is open.

But open sets are Borel m'able by definition so $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus

f is Borel m'able.

$$(3) \quad \varphi(p) = \int_0^{\infty} x^p e^{-x} dx \quad p \geq 0$$

Well defined: $|\varphi(p)| < \infty \quad \forall p \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \left| \int_0^{\infty} x^p e^{-x} dx \right| < \infty \quad \forall p \geq 0$.

it suffices to show $x^p e^{-x} \in L^1 \quad \forall p \in (0, \infty)$

$$\text{Now } \forall p \in (0, \infty) \exists a \Rightarrow \forall x > a, \quad x^p \leq e^{x/2}$$

$$\text{Thus } \int_0^{\infty} x^p e^{-x} dx = \int_0^a x^p e^{-x} dx + \int_a^{\infty} x^p e^{-x} dx$$

$$\leq a^p \int_0^a e^{-x} dx + \int_a^{\infty} e^{-x/2} dx < \infty$$

so $x^p e^{-x} \in L^1$ & hence $|\varphi(p)| < \infty$ & so well defined

Differentiable:

Now we know that for $\varphi(p) = \int_a^b f(p, x) dx$ w/ $f(p, x)$ integrable $\forall p$

and where $\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}(p, x) \exists \quad \forall x \quad \& \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial p} f(p, x) \right| \leq g(x) \in L^1$

$\Rightarrow \varphi(p)$ diff. l.

so by well definedness $\Rightarrow f(p, x) = x^p e^{-x}$ integrable $\forall p$.

Charly $\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = x^p e^{-x} \ln x$ exists $\forall x \in [0, \infty)$

$$\& \quad |x^p e^{-x} \ln x| \leq$$