

Justify all arguments completely. Every ring R is assumed to have a unit $1 \in R$. Reference specific results whenever possible.

- (1) Let G be a simple group of order 168. Show that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of A_8 , the alternating group of degree 8. Show that G is not isomorphic to a subgroup of A_6 .

Proof. Note that $7 \mid 168$ and $7 \nmid |A_6| = \frac{6!}{2}$. Hence, $G \not\leq A_6$.

Since G is simple, any Sylow subgroups of G is not normal. Note that $|G| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$. We have $n_7 = 8$ because $n_7 \mid 24$ and $n_7 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$. Let G acts on the Sylow 7-subgroups by conjugation then the group action induces a group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow S_8$. Since G is simple, ϕ is injective. Thus, it remains to show that $\phi(G) \leq A_8$. Let $\epsilon : S_8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be the signature map. Then we have $\epsilon \circ \phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since G is simple, we have $\ker \epsilon \circ \phi = G$ or $\ker \epsilon \circ \phi = 1$. Since $|G| > 2$, we cannot have $\ker \epsilon \circ \phi = 1$. Hence, we have $\phi(G) \leq \ker \epsilon = A_8$. \square

- (2) Let K be a field and A be a finite-dimensional, semisimple K -algebra. Let $Z(A)$ denote the center of A . Prove that two finitely-generated A -modules M and M' are isomorphic as A -modules if and only if they are isomorphic as $Z(A)$ -modules.

Proof. First of all, A is a finite-dimensional K -algebra implies that A is Artinian. Since A is semisimple, $A \cong \prod_{i=1}^n M_{n_i}(D_i)$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and D_i are division algebra over K . Thus, $Z(A) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n Z(D_i)$, which is a product of fields.

\implies : Assume $M \cong M'$. Since $Z(A)$ is a subalgebra of A , any A -module homomorphism is naturally a $Z(A)$ -module homomorphism. Thus, we have $M \cong_{Z(A)} M'$.

\impliedby : Assume $M \cong_{Z(A)} M'$ are finitely generated A -module. Therefore we have

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i^{\oplus k_i}, \quad M' \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i^{\oplus q_i}$$

where $S_i \cong D_i^{n_i}$ are simple A -modules. Because $Z(A)$ is a product of fields and each S_i is a $Z(D_i)$ -vector space, we have $S_i^{k_i} \cong_{Z(A)} S_i^{q_i}$ if and only if $k_i = q_i$. Thus, $M \cong_A M'$. \square

- (3) Let f and g be polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{24}]$. Suppose that for each value $z \in \mathbb{C}^{24}$ at which $f(z) = 0$, we also have $g(z) = 0$. Prove that f divides some power of g .

Proof. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, the defining ideal of $V(g)$ is $\sqrt{(g)}$. Since f vanishes on $V(g)$, we have $f \in \sqrt{(g)}$. Thus, $f \mid g^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. \square

- (4) Define the Jacobson radical of a ring to be the intersection of all maximal left ideals of this ring. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a surjective morphism of rings. Prove that the image by ϕ of the Jacobson radical of R is contained in the Jacobson radical of S .

Proof. Suppose \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal in R . Since ϕ is surjective, $\phi(\mathfrak{m})$ is an ideal in S . If $\phi(\mathfrak{m}) \neq S$ and it is not maximal, then there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{a} such that $\phi(\mathfrak{m}) \subsetneq \mathfrak{a} \subsetneq S$. Then $\mathfrak{m} \subsetneq \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) \subsetneq R = \phi^{-1}(S)$ is a contradiction since \mathfrak{m} is maximal. Hence, $\phi(\mathfrak{m})$ is a maximal ideal in S . Therefore, we have $\phi(\mathfrak{m})$ is either a maximal ideal or S . Thus, $\phi(J(R)) = \phi(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \subset R} \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \subset R} \phi(\mathfrak{m}) = J(S)$. \square

- (5) Construct an example (or merely prove the existence) of a 10×10 matrix over \mathbb{R} with minimal polynomial $(x + 1)^2(x^4 + 1)$ which is not similar to a matrix over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. The main reason is that irreducible polynomials over R has degree at most 2 since \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed and $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$.

