

Show your work. Be as clear as possible. Do all problems.

(1) Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(z^2 - xy)$ .

- Show that  $R$  is an integral domain.
- Show that  $R$  is integrally closed (hint: identify  $R$  as an integral extension of a polynomial ring).

*Proof.* (a) Note that  $z^2 - xy$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  since it is a prime element in the UFD  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . Therefore,  $R$  is an integral domain.  
 (b) Note that  $R$  is an integral extension of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  since  $z^2 - xy \in \mathbb{C}[x, y][z]$  and  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y][z]/(z^2 - xy)$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is integrally closed, so is  $R$ . □

(2) Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $p$  be the smallest prime divisor of  $G$ . Assume that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is cyclic.

- Show that  $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$  (hint: what is  $\text{Aut}(P)$ ?).
- Show that if  $G$  is solvable, then  $G$  contains a subgroup  $N$  of index  $p$ .
- Show that if  $N$  is a subgroup of index  $p$  (whether or not  $G$  is solvable), then  $N$  is normal in  $G$ .

*Proof.* See SP 2017 Q3 or Fall 2022 Q3. □

(3) Let  $F$  be a field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 60$  and  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  Galois. Prove that if  $F$  contains a 9th root of 1, then  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  is solvable.

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive 9-th root of unity. Since  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}$  is the splitting field of the separable polynomial  $x^9 - 1$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois and  $|\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})| = 9 - 1 = 8$ . Hence,  $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$  has normal subgroup of index 6 by the Galois correspondence. We have the following subnormal series

$$1 \triangleleft \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)) \triangleleft \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}).$$

Since a group of order 6 is either  $S_3$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/6$  and a group of order 10 is either  $\mathbb{Z}/10$  or  $D_{10}$ , all of them are solvable. Hence,  $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$  is solvable. □

(4) Let  $R$  be a finite ring with 1. Show that some element of  $R$  is not the sum of nilpotent elements. Give an example to show that 1 can be a sum of nilpotent elements.

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is finite, it is Artinian. By the Artin–Wedderburn theorem, we have

$$R/J(R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Mat}_{n_i}(F_i)$$

where  $F'_i$ s are finite fields and  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $J(R)$  is nilpotent, an element in  $R/J(R)$  is nilpotent if and only if it is nilpotent in  $R$ . Note that any nilpotent matrix has minimal polynomial  $x^n$  for some  $n$ , which implies that the trace of a nilpotent matrix is 0. Take  $E_{11} \in \text{Mat}_{n_1}(F_1)$ . Since  $\text{Tr}(E_{11}) = 1$ , it cannot be written as a sum of nilpotent elements; hence, a preimage of  $E_{11}$  in  $R$  is not the sum of nilpotent elements.

For the example, we let  $R = \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ , then we have

$$I_2 = E_{12} + E_{21} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

(5) Let  $F$  be an algebraically closed field with  $A \in M_n(F)$ .

- Show that there exist polynomials  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$  so that  $A = f(A) + g(A)$  with  $f(A)$  diagonalizable and  $g(A)$  nilpotent.

(b) Assuming (a), show that if  $A = S + N$  with  $S$  diagonalizable,  $N$  nilpotent and  $SN = NS$ , then  $S = f(A)$  and  $N = g(A)$  (in other words,  $S$  and  $N$  are unique).

*Proof.* (a) Since  $F$  is algebraically closed, all eigenvalues of  $A$  exists. Suppose  $f(t) = (t - a_1)^{n_1} \cdots (t - a_k)^{n_k}$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  and  $a_i \neq a_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ . By Chinese Remainder theorem, there exists a polynomial  $p(t) \in F[t]$  such that  $p(t) \equiv a_i \pmod{(t - a_i)^{n_i}}$  for all  $i$  and  $p(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$ . If  $v_i$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $a_i$ , then we have

$$p(X)v_i = (a_i I_n + c_i(X - a_i I_n)^{n_i})v_i = a_i v_i.$$

Thus,  $p(X)$  is diagonalizable by the eigenvectors of  $A$ . Note that  $X - p(X) = X - a_i I_n$  on the eigenspace of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $a_i$ , which is nilpotent since  $\prod_{i=1}^k (X - a_i I_n)^n = 0$  by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. Therefore,  $X - p(X)$  is nilpotent.

(b) Suppose  $A = S + N$  with  $S$  diagonalizable,  $N$  nilpotent and  $SN = NS$ . Then we have

$$SA = S(S + N) = S^2 + NS = AS, \quad NA = NS + N^2 = SN + N^2 = AN.$$

Thus,  $Sf(A) = f(A)S$  and  $Ng(A) = g(A)N$ . Since  $S - f(A) = N - g(A)$  is both nilpotent and diagonalizable, it must be zero.  $\square$

(6) Let  $R$  be a ring with 1. Let  $M$  be a noetherian (left)  $R$ -module.

(a) Show that if  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is a surjective  $R$ -module homomorphism, then  $f$  is an isomorphism.  
 (b) Show that if  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is an injective  $R$ -module homomorphism, it need not be an isomorphism.

*Proof.* (a) One can consider the increasing chain of submodules

$$\ker f \subseteq \ker f^2 \subseteq \ker f^3 \subseteq \cdots.$$

(b) Let  $M = k[x]$  where  $k$  is a field. Consider the map  $f : M \rightarrow M$  that fixes  $k$  and  $x \mapsto x^2$ . This is an injective module homomorphism, but not surjective.  $\square$