

1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent with distribution  $\mathcal{U}[\theta-1, \theta]$ , the uniform distribution on the interval  $[\theta-1, \theta]$ , for some unknown  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$  denote the order statistics.
  - (a) Find, with explanation, an unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$ , which is a function only of  $X_{(1)}$ .
  - (b) By finding, and proving the superiority (in mean square error) of another unbiased estimator  $\tilde{\theta}$  that dominates  $\hat{\theta}$  in (a), show that  $\hat{\theta}$  is not UMVU. (Hint: To avoid some cumbersome computation, you may use the fact that  $X_{(1)}$  and  $X_{(n)}$  are not linearly dependent, without proving it.)
  - (c) Consider the following statement: Since  $\hat{\theta}$  is not UMVU,  $X_{(1)}$  must either be not complete or not sufficient.
    - i. From what theorem does the statement follow?
    - ii. Give a direct proof that  $X_{(1)}$  fails to be (your choice) either complete or sufficient.
2. (a) Let  $\{\mathcal{P}_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a family of probability distributions, and  $X \sim \mathcal{P}_\theta$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Prove that if  $T(X), T'(X)$  are both uniformly minimum-variance unbiased estimators (UMVUEs) of  $\theta$ , then  $T = T'$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -almost surely for every  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

*Hint:* Letting  $v = \text{Var}(T) = \text{Var}(T')$  denote the minimal variance and  $\bar{T} = (T + T')/2$ , compare  $\text{Var}(\bar{T})$  to  $v$  and use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $\text{Cov}(T, T') \leq [\text{Var}(T)\text{Var}(T')]^{1/2}$  to show that  $\text{Cov}(T, T') = v$ . Use this to show that  $\text{Var}(T - T') = 0$ .
- (b) Let two independent sequences  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \gamma\sigma^2)$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  be observed. Here, the  $X_i$  are independent of the  $Y_j$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  is known, and  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$  are unknown. Find the UMVUE of  $\mu$ , and prove that it is UMVUE.
- (c) Let two independent sequences  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  be i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma_x^2)$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma_y^2)$  be observed. Here, the  $X_i$  are independent of the  $Y_j$ ,  $\mu$  is unknown, and  $\sigma_x^2 > 0$  and  $\sigma_y^2 > 0$  are unknown and not assumed to be equal. Show that the UMVUE of  $\mu$  does not exist.

*Hint:* Let  $\gamma = \sigma_x^2/\sigma_y^2$  and apply part (b) to say what the UMVUE is. Then reverse the roles of the  $X$ 's and  $Y$ 's, repeat, and finally apply part (a) for a contradiction.