

Fall 2010 Math 541a Exam

1. Let $\mu > 0$ be an unknown parameter, and suppose that X_1 and X_2 are independent random variables, each having the exponential distribution with density function

$$p(x; \mu) = \frac{1}{\mu} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\mu}\right), \quad x > 0.$$

It is clear that since the distribution $p(x; \mu)$ has mean μ that an unbiased estimator of μ is given by $\bar{X} = (X_1 + X_2)/2$.

- (a) Calculate the variance of the estimator \bar{X} of μ .
(b) Now consider the estimator of μ given by $T(X_1, X_2) = \sqrt{X_1 X_2}$. Calculate the bias of $T(X_1, X_2)$.
(c) Show that the mean square error of $T(X_1, X_2)$ as an estimator of μ , that is

$$\text{MSE}(T) = E(T(X_1, X_2) - \mu)^2,$$

is strictly smaller than the mean squared error of the estimator \bar{X} of μ .

2. A random variable X has the Weibull(α, β) distribution if

$$P_{\alpha, \beta}(X > x) = \exp\{-(x/\alpha)^\beta\} \quad \text{for } x \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. Weibull(α_0, β_0), where (α_0, β_0) is in the interior of a compact parameter space $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

- (a) Show that the MLE of α is given by

$$\hat{\alpha} = \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{\hat{\beta}} \right)^{1/\hat{\beta}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{\beta}$ is the MLE of β .

- (b) As an alternative to maximum likelihood, let $\tilde{\beta}$ be any estimator of β and consider the estimator of α given by

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{\tilde{\beta}} \right)^{1/\tilde{\beta}}. \quad (3)$$

Show that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a “pseudo-MLE” in the sense that it maximizes $\ell(\alpha, \tilde{\beta})$, where $\ell(\alpha, \beta)$ is the log-likelihood function.

- (c) Prove that $EX_1^{\beta_0} = \alpha_0^{\beta_0}$.
- (d) Prove that if $\tilde{\beta}$ is any consistent estimator, then $\tilde{\alpha}$ given by (3) is consistent for α . *Hint:* Letting $Y_n(\beta) = n^{-1} \sum_i X_i^\beta$, argue that it suffices to prove that $Y_n(\tilde{\beta}) \rightarrow \alpha^{\beta_0}$ in probability. Then, using the convexity of $Y_n(\beta)$, show that

$$\left| Y_n(\tilde{\beta}) - Y_n(\beta_0) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in probability,}$$

and complete the argument by applying part (2c) and the law of large numbers.