Stationary Solutions and Random Periodic Solutions of Stochastic Equations

Qi Zhang

Fudan University

April 19, 2013

at

USC

Fixed Point of ODE

Consider ODE

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dv(t)}{dt} = -v(t) \\ v(0) = y \in \mathbb{R}^1. \end{bmatrix}$$

For fixed $t \ge 0$, regard v as a mapping

 $v^y(t): \mathbb{R}^1 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^1.$

Then a fixed point is an initial value of the ODE satisfying

$$v^y(t) = y$$
 for all $t \ge 0$.

It is easy to check that y = 0 satisfies the requirement.

A Nontrivial Example: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process

Consider Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process:

$$\begin{cases} dv(t) = -v(t)dt + dW_t \\ v(0) = Y(\omega) \in L^2(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

For fixed $t \ge 0$, regard v as a mapping

$$v^{Y(\omega)}(t): L^2(\Omega) \mapsto L^2(\Omega).$$

Almost impossible to find a fixed point like

$$v^{Y(\omega)}(t) = Y(\omega) \quad for \ t \ge 0 \ a.s.$$

3 / 36

A Nontrivial Example: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process (Continued)

Define the "stochastic fixed point" like

$$v^{Y(\omega)}(t) = Y(\theta_t \omega) \quad for \ t \ge 0 \ a.s.,$$

where

$$(\theta_t W)(s) = W(t+s) - W(t) \text{ for any } s \in (-\infty, +\infty).$$

You can verify that the "stochastic fixed point" is

$$Y(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{s} dW_{s}.$$

Definition for Stationary Solution (Stochastic Fixed Point)

A measurable space: $(V, \mathscr{B}(V))$.

A metric dynamical system (Ω , \mathscr{F} , P, $(\theta_t)_{t\geq 0}$), $(\theta_t)_{t\geq 0}: \Omega \to \Omega$ satisfies:

•
$$P \cdot \theta_t^{-1} = P;$$

• $\theta_0 = I$, where I is the identity transformation on Ω ;

•
$$\theta_s \circ \theta_t = \theta_{s+t}$$
 for all $s, t \ge 0$.

For a measurable random dynamical system $v: [0, \infty) \times V \times \Omega \rightarrow V$, the stationary solution is a \mathscr{F} measurable r.v. $Y: \Omega \rightarrow V$ such that (Arnold 1998)

$$v^{Y(\omega)}(t,\omega) = Y(\theta_t \omega) \quad for \ t \ge 0 \ a.s.$$

Some Existing Results

- Sinai 1991, 1996 Stochastic Burgers equations with C^3 noise under strong smooth conditions
- Mattingly 1999, CMP 2D Stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with additive noise
- E & Khanin & Mazel & Sinai 2000, AM Stochastic inviscid Burgers equations with additive C^3 noise
- Caraballo & Kloeden & Schmalfuss 2004, AMO Stochastic evolution equations with small Lipschitz constant and linear noise

Stationary Solutions Random Periodic Solutions

A Basic Assumption in Invariant Manifold Theory: There Exists Stationary Solution

- Arnold 1998
- Duan & Lu & Schmalfuss 2003, AP
- Mohammed & T. Zhang & Zhao 2008, Memoirs of AMS
- Lian & Lu 2010, Memoirs of AMS

Stationary Solutions of Parabolic SPDEs

We use the correspondence between SPDEs and BDSDEs to construct the stationary solutions of SPDEs:

$$v(t,x) = v(0,x) + \int_0^t [\mathscr{L}v(s,x) + f(x,v(s,x))]ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t g(x,v(s,x))dB_s.$$

$$\begin{split} f: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^1, \ g: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathcal{L}^2_{U_0}(\mathbb{R}^1); \\ B: \text{ Wiener process with values in a Hilbert space;} \\ \mathscr{L}: \text{ a second order differential operator given by} \end{split}$$

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

with $(a_{ij}(x)) = \sigma \sigma^*(x)$.

Results

- Zhang & Zhao 2007, JFA Lipschitz coefficients
- Zhang & Zhao 2010, JDE linear growth coefficients
- Zhang & Zhao 2013, SPA polynomial growth coefficients
- Zhang 2011, SD stationary stochastic viscosity solutions

Using BDSDEs, we construct the stationary solutions of non-linear SPDEs with non-additive noise.

9 / 36

Time Reverse Version of SPDE

For arbitrary T > 0, define $\hat{B}_s = B_{T-s} - B_T$.

By the integral transformation, $u(t,x) \triangleq v(T-t,x)$ satisfies terminal-value SPDE

$$u(t,x) = u(T,x) + \int_t^T [\mathscr{L}u(s,x) + f(x,u(s,x))]ds$$
$$-\int_t^T g(x,u(s,x))d^{\dagger}\hat{B}_s.$$

Infinite Horizon BDSDEs

Infinite horizon BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}^{-Ks} Y_s^{t,x} &= \int_s^\infty \mathbf{e}^{-Kr} f(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_s^\infty K \mathbf{e}^{-Kr} Y_r^{t,x} dr \\ &- \int_s^\infty \mathbf{e}^{-Kr} g(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) d^{\dagger} \hat{B}_r \\ &- \int_s^\infty \mathbf{e}^{-Kr} \langle Z_r^{t,x}, dW_r \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_{s}^{t,x} = x + \int_{t}^{s} b(X_{r}^{t,x}) dr + \int_{t}^{s} \sigma(X_{r}^{t,x}) dW_{r}.$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Infinite horizon BDSDE has a unique solution } (Y^{t,\cdot},Z^{t,\cdot}) \in \\ S^{2p,-K}_{\mathscr{F}^{\hat{B}},W} \bigcap L^{2p,-K}_{\mathscr{F}^{\hat{B}},W}([t,\infty];L^{2p}_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^1)) \times L^{2,-K}_{\mathscr{F}^{\hat{B}},W}([t,\infty];L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)) \end{array}$

The Correspondence between SPDEs and BDSDEs on Finite Time Interval

BDSDE:
$$Y_s^{t,x} = h(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}) dr$$

$$- \int_s^T \langle g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}), d^{\dagger} \hat{B}_r \rangle - \int_s^T \langle Z_r^{t,x}, dW_r \rangle.$$

SPDE:
$$u(t,x) = h(x) + \int_t^T \{\mathscr{L}u(s,x) + f_n(s,x,u(s,x))\} ds$$

$$-\int_t^T \langle g(s,x,u(s,x)), d^{\dagger}\hat{B}_s \rangle, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Correspondence: $u(t,x) \triangleq Y_t^{t,x}$ is a solution of SPDE, and

$$(Y^{t,x}_s,Z^{t,x}_s) = \left(u(s,X^{t,x}_s),(\sigma\nabla u)(s,X^{t,x}_s)\right).$$

Existing Results for Correspondence Between SPDEs and BDSDEs

Based on different smooth requirements for coefficients, this correspondence was established for differential types of solutions of SPDEs.

- Pardoux & Peng 1994, PTRF smooth solution
- Buckdahn & Ma 2001, SPA stochastic viscosity solution
- Bally & Matoussi 2001, JTP weak solution

Metric Dynamical System

Define
$$\hat{\theta}_t : \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$$
, $t \ge 0$, by
 $\hat{\theta}_t \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_s \\ W_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_{s+t} - \hat{B}_t \\ W_{s+t} - W_t \end{pmatrix}$

Then for any s, $t \ge 0$,

- $P \cdot \hat{\theta}_t^{-1} = P$;
- $\hat{\theta}_0 = I$, where I is the identity transformation on Ω ;
- $\hat{\theta}_s \circ \hat{\theta}_t = \hat{\theta}_{s+t}.$

Also for an arbitrary ${\mathscr F}$ measurable $\phi,$ set

$$\hat{\theta} \circ \phi(\omega) = \phi(\hat{\theta}(\omega)).$$

Stationary Property of Infinite Horizon BDSDEs

By uniqueness of solution, the solution of infinite horizon BDSDE $(Y^{t,\cdot}_{\cdot}, Z^{t,\cdot}_{\cdot})$ satisfies the stationary property: for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\hat{\theta}_r \circ Y^{t,\cdot}_s = Y^{t+r,\cdot}_{s+r} \quad \hat{\theta}_r \circ Z^{t,\cdot}_s = Z^{t+r,\cdot}_{s+r} \quad for \ r \ge 0, \ s \ge t \ a.s.$$

In particular, for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\hat{\theta}_r \circ Y_t^{t,\cdot} = Y_{t+r}^{t+r,\cdot} \quad for \ r \ge 0 \ a.s.$$

Stationary Solutions Random Periodic Solutions

Transferring the Stationary Property from BDSDEs to Terminal-Value SPDEs

So, for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\hat{\theta}_r \circ u(t, \cdot) = u(t+r, \cdot) \quad for \ r \ge 0 \ a.s.$$

By Kolmogorov's continuity lemma, $u(t, \cdot)$ is continuous w.r.t. t. Thus

$$\hat{\theta}_r \circ u(t, \cdot) = u(t+r, \cdot) \quad for \ t, \ r \ge 0 \ a.s.$$

Time Reverse Transformation

For arbitrary T > 0, choose \hat{B} in terminal-value SPDEs as $\hat{B}_s = B_{T-s} - B_T$. We see that $v(t, x) \triangleq u(T - t, x)$ satisfies initial-value SPDE

$$v(t,x) = v(0,x) + \int_0^t [\mathscr{L}v(s,x) + f(x,v(s,x))]ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t g(x,v(s,x))dB_s, \ t \ge 0.$$

In fact, we can prove that $v(t, x, \omega) \triangleq Y_{T-t}^{T-t,x}(\hat{\omega}) = Y_0^{0,x}(\hat{\theta}_{T-t}\hat{\omega})$ is independent of the choice of T as follows:

$$\hat{\theta}_{T-t}\hat{\omega} = \hat{\omega}(T-t+s) - \hat{\omega}(T-t) = (B_{T-(T-t+s)} - B_T) - (B_{T-(T-t)} - B_T) = B_{t-s} - B_t.$$

Transferring the Stationary Property from Terminal-Value to Initial-Value SPDEs

Define $\theta_t = (\hat{\theta}_t)^{-1}$, $t \ge 0$, then θ_t is a shift w.r.t. B satisfying

$$\theta_t \circ B_s = B_{s+t} - B_t.$$

So

$$\theta_r v(t,\cdot,\omega) = v(t+r,\cdot,\omega) \quad for \ r \ge 0 \ a.s.$$

In particular, let $Y(\cdot, \omega) = v(0, \cdot, \omega) = Y_T^{T, \cdot}(\hat{\omega}).$

Then the above implies that $Y(\cdot, \omega)$ satisfies the definition of stationary solution:

$$v^{Y(\cdot,\omega)}(t,\cdot,\omega) = Y(\cdot,\theta_t\omega) \quad for \ t \ge 0 \ a.s.$$

Main Results for Stationary Solution

Assume that we had known that

- the correspondence between SPDE and BDSDE in some reasonable sense
- the existence and uniqueness of solution of infinite horizon BDSDE

Theorem

For arbitrary T and $t \in [0,T]$, let $v(t,x) \triangleq Y_{T-t}^{T-t,x}$, where $(Y_{\cdot}^{t,\cdot}, Z_{\cdot}^{t,\cdot})$ is the solution of the infinite horizon BDSDE with $\hat{B}_s = B_{T-s} - B_T$ for all $s \ge 0$. Then $v(t, \cdot)$ is a "perfect" stationary solution of SPDE.

19 / 36

Assumptions

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathsf{H.1}). & \exists \ p \geq 2 \ \text{and} \ f_0 \ \text{with} \ \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0(s,x)|^{8p} \rho^{-1}(x) dx ds < \infty \ \text{s.t.} \\ & |f(s,x,y)| \leq L(|f_0(s,x)| + |y|^p); \\ & |\partial_y f(s,x,y)| \leq L(1+|y|^{p-1}). \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathsf{H.2}). & |f(s,x_1,y) - f(s,x_2,y)| \leq L(1+|y|^p)|x_1 - x_2|, \\ & |\partial_y f(s,x_1,y) - \partial_y f(s,x_2,y)| \leq L(1+|y|^{p-1})|x_1 - x_2|, \\ & |\partial_y f(s,x,y_1) - \partial_y f(s,x,y_2)| \leq L(1+|y_1|^{p-2} + |y_2|^{p-2})|y_1 - y_2|, \\ & g(s,x,y): \ \text{Lipschitz condition on} \ (s,x,y), \\ & \partial_y g(s,x,y): \ \text{bounded and Lipschitz condition on} \ (x,y). \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathsf{H.3}). \ \exists \ \mu > 0 \ \text{with} \ 2\mu - K - p(2p-1) \sum_{j=1}^\infty L_j > 0 \ \text{s.t.} \end{array}$$

$$(y_1 - y_2)(f(s, x, y_1) - f(s, x, y_2)) \le -\mu |y_1 - y_2|^2.$$

< 1 → <

프 🛌 프

Assumptions (Continued)

(H.4). Diffusion coefficients $b \in C^2_{l,b}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma \in C^3_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. (H.5). Matrix $\sigma(x)$ is uniformly elliptic, i.e. $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t.

 $\sigma\sigma^*(x) \ge \varepsilon I_d.$

Approximating Sequences

Step 1. To approximate infinite horizon BDSDEs:

$$Y_{s}^{t,x,m} = \int_{s}^{m} f(r, X_{r}^{t,x}, Y_{r}^{t,x,m}) dr - \int_{s}^{m} g(r, X_{r}^{t,x}, Y_{r}^{t,x,m}) d^{\dagger} \hat{B}_{r} - \int_{s}^{m} \langle Z_{r}^{t,x,m}, dW_{r} \rangle.$$

Step 2. To approximate the polynomial growth generator:

$$f_n(s, x, y) = f(s, x, y)I_{\{|y| \le n\}} + \partial_y f(s, x, \frac{n}{|y|}y)(y - \frac{n}{|y|}y)I_{\{|y| > n\}}.$$
$$f_n(s, x, y) \longrightarrow f(s, x, y), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

We need

(i) strongly convergent subsequence in $L^2(\Omega \times [0,T]; L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^1))$ (ii) $L^p(\Omega \times [0,T]; L^p_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^1))$, $p \ge 1$, estimate.

Weak Convergence

Define
$$(U^{t,\cdot,n}, V^{t,\cdot,n}) \triangleq (f_n(r, X^{t,x}_r, Y^{t,x,n}_r), g_n(r, X^{t,x}_r, Y^{t,x,n}_r)).$$

By Alaoglu lemma, a subsequence $(Y^{t,\cdot,n}_{\cdot}, Z^{t,\cdot,n}_{\cdot}, U^{t,\cdot,n}_{\cdot}, V^{t,\cdot,n}_{\cdot})$ converges weakly to a limit $(Y^{t,\cdot}_{\cdot}, Z^{t,\cdot}_{\cdot}, U^{t,\cdot}_{\cdot}, V^{t,\cdot}_{\cdot})$ in $L^2(\Omega \times [t,T]; L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^1) \times L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^1) \times L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^l)).$

$$Y_s^{t,x} = h(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T U_r^{t,x} dr - \int_s^T \langle V_r^{t,x}, d^{\dagger} \hat{B}_r \rangle - \int_s^T \langle Z_r^{t,x}, dW_r \rangle.$$

Key: finding a strongly convergent subsequence of $(Y_{\cdot}^{t,\cdot,n}, Z_{\cdot}^{t,\cdot,n})$ to get $(U_{r}^{t,x}, V_{r}^{t,x}) = (f(r, X_{r}^{t,x}, Y_{r}^{t,x}), g(r, X_{r}^{t,x}, Y_{r}^{t,x})).$

The Correspondence between SPDEs and BDSDEs with Coefficients f_n

BDSDEs:

$$\begin{split} Y^{t,x,n}_s &= h(X^{t,x}_T) + \int_s^T f_n(r,X^{t,x}_r,Y^{t,x,n}_r)dr \\ &- \int_s^T \langle g(r,X^{t,x}_r,Y^{t,x,n}_r), d^{\dagger}\hat{B}_r \rangle - \int_s^T \langle Z^{t,x,n}_r,dW_r \rangle. \end{split}$$

SPDEs:

$$u_n(t,x) = h(x) + \int_t^T \{\mathscr{L}u_n(s,x) + f_n(s,x,u_n(s,x))\} ds$$
$$-\int_t^T \langle g(s,x,u_n(s,x)), d^{\dagger}\hat{B}_s \rangle, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Correspondence:

$$u_n(t,x) \triangleq Y_t^{t,x,n}, u_n(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_s^{t,x,n}, \ (\sigma \nabla u_n)(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Z_s^{t,x,n}$$

PDEs with Polynomial Growth Coefficients

We apply Rellich-Kondrachov Compactness Theorem to approximating PDEs to derive a strongly convergent subsequence of u_n in Zhang & Zhao 2012, JTP.

Theorem

Let $X \subset \subset H \subset Y$ be Banach spaces, with X reflexive. Here $X \subset \subset H$ means X is compactly embedded in H. Suppose that u_n is a sequence which is uniformly bounded in $L^2([0,T];X)$, and du_n/dt is uniformly bounded in $L^p([0,T];Y)$, for some p > 1. Then there is a subsequence which converges strongly in $L^2([0,T];H)$.

But this method does not work for the SPDE/BDSDE as Rellich-Kondrachov Compactness Theorem stands for PDEs and for fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ the subsequence choice may depend on ω .

SPDEs with Polynomial Growth Coefficients

Instead, we use Sobolev-Wiener Compactness Theorem, which is an extension of Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem to stochastic case with the help of Malliavin derivatives, proved in Bally & Saussereau 2004, JFA.

The time and space independent case was considered by Da Prato & Malliavin & Nualart 1992 and Peszat 1993

Sobolev-Wiener Compactness Theorem

Let
$$(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$
 be a sequence in $L^2([0,T] \times \Omega; H^1(\mathcal{O}))$. Define
 $u_n^{\varphi}(s,\omega) \triangleq \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_n(s,x,\omega)\varphi(x)dx$. Suppose that
(1) $\sup_n E[\int_0^T ||u_n(s,\cdot)||^2_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}ds] < \infty$.
(2) For all $\varphi \in C_c^k(\mathcal{O})$ and $t \in [0,T]$, $u_n^{\varphi}(s) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and
 $\sup_n \int_0^T ||u_n^{\varphi}(s)||^2_{\mathbb{D}^{1,2}}ds < \infty$.
(3) For all $\varphi \in C_c^k(\mathcal{O})$, $(E[u_n^{\varphi}])_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $L^2([0,T])$ satisfies
(3i) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < \alpha < \beta < T$ s.t.

$$\sup_{n} \int_{[0,T]\setminus(\alpha,\beta)} |E[u_{n}^{\varphi}(s)]|^{2} ds < \varepsilon.$$

(3ii) For any $0 < \alpha < \beta < T$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^1$ s.t. $|h| < min(\alpha, T - \beta)$,

$$\sup_{n} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |E[u_{n}^{\varphi}(s+h)] - E[u_{n}^{\varphi}(s)]|^{2} ds < C_{p}|h|.$$

Sobolev-Wiener Compactness Theorem

(4) For all $\varphi \in C_c^k(\mathcal{O})$, the following conditions are satisfied: (4i) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \ 0 < \alpha < \beta < T$ and $0 < \alpha' < \beta' < T$ s.t.

$$\sup_{n} E[\int_{[0,T]^2 \setminus (\alpha,\beta) \times (\alpha',\beta')} |D_{\theta} u_n^{\varphi}(s)|^2 d\theta ds] < \varepsilon.$$

(4ii) For any $0 < \alpha < \beta < T$, $0 < \alpha' < \beta' < T$ and $h, h' \in \mathbb{R}^1$ s.t. $max(|h|, |h'|) < min(\alpha, \alpha', T - \beta, T - \beta')$,

 $\sup_{n} E[\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} |D_{\theta+h}u_{n}^{\varphi}(s+h') - D_{\theta}u_{n}^{\varphi}(s)|^{2}d\theta ds] < C_{p}(|h|+|h'|).$

Then $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact in $L^2(\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^1)$.

Generalized Equivalence of Norm Principle

The generalized equivalence of norm principle (based on Barles & Lesigne 1997, Bally & Matoussi 2001, JTP) is used to establish the equivalence of norm between the solutions of terminal-value SPDEs and the solutions of BDSDEs. Consider stochastic flows:

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s b(X_r^{t,x})dr + \int_t^s \sigma(X_r^{t,x})dW_r \quad s \ge t,$$

where $b \in C^2_{l,b}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma \in C^3_{l,b}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma

If $s \in [t,T]$, $\varphi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^1$ is independent of $\mathscr{F}^W_{t,s}$ and $\varphi \rho^{-1} \in L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^1)$, then $\exists c, C > 0$ s.t.

$$cE[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(x)|\rho^{-1}(x)dx] \leq E[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(X_s^{t,x})|\rho^{-1}(x)dx]$$
$$\leq CE[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\varphi(x)|\rho^{-1}(x)dx].$$

Qi Zhang Fudan University

Stationary Solutions and Random Periodic Solutions

29 / 36

Definition for Random Periodic Solutions

A measurable space: $(V, \mathscr{B}(V))$.

A metric dynamical system (Ω , \mathscr{F} , P, $(\theta_t)_{t\geq 0}$).

For a measurable random dynamical system $v: \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1 \times V \times \Omega \to V$, the random periodic solution with period $\tau > 0$ is an \mathscr{F} measurable r.v. $Y: \mathbb{R}^1 \times \Omega \to V$ such that

 $v^{t,Y(t,\omega)}(t+\tau,\omega) = Y(t+\tau,\omega) = Y(t,\theta_{\tau}\omega), \quad t \ge 0 \ a.s.$

Some Existing Results

- Zhao & Zheng 2009, JDE Random periodic solutions for C^1 -cocycles
- Feng & Zhao & Zhou 2011, JDE Random periodic solutions of SDEs with additive noise
- Feng & Zhao 2012, JFA Random periodic solutions of SPDEs with additive noise

Random Periodic Solutions of SDEs with Non-Additive Noise

We study the following SDE valued in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$u^{t,\xi}(s) = \xi + \int_t^s \left[-Au^{t,\xi}(r) + b(r, u^{t,\xi}(r)) \right] dr$$
$$+ \int_t^s \sigma(r, u^{t,\xi}(r)) dB_r.$$

 \boldsymbol{A} is an invertible matrix satisfying

$$\delta \triangleq \inf \left\{ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) : (\lambda_i)_{i=1,\cdots,d} \text{ are the eigenvalues of } A \right\} > 0;$$

$$b : \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \sigma : \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d};$$

$$b(t,x) = b(t+\tau,x), \quad \sigma(t,x) = \sigma(t+\tau,x).$$

Infinite Horizon Integral Equation

By Duhamel's formula,

$$u^{t,\xi}(s) = e^{-A(s-t)}\xi + \int_{t}^{s} e^{-A(s-r)}b(r, u^{t,\xi}(r))dr + \int_{t}^{s} e^{-A(s-r)}\sigma(r, u^{t,\xi}(r))dB_{r}.$$

Introduce the infinite horizon integral equation:

$$X_s = \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-A(s-r)} b(r, X_r) dr + \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-A(s-r)} \sigma(r, X_r) dB_r.$$

Then

$$X_{s} = e^{-A(s-t)}X_{t} + \int_{t}^{s} e^{-A(s-r)}b(r, X_{r})dr + \int_{t}^{s} e^{-A(s-r)}\sigma(r, X_{r})dB_{r}.$$

33 / 36

Random Periodic Property of X_s

If the original SDE admits a unique solution $u^{t,\xi}(s)$, then

$$u^{t,X_t}(s) = X_s.$$

 X_s is a random periodic solution of the original SDE if

$$X_{s+\tau}(\omega) = X_s(\theta_\tau \omega).$$

Recursive sequence (Qiao & Zhang & X. Zhang, Preprint):

$$X_{s}^{n+1} = \int_{-\infty}^{s} e^{-A(s-r)} b(r, X_{r}^{n}) dr + \int_{-\infty}^{s} e^{-A(s-r)} \sigma(r, X_{r}^{n}) dB_{r}.$$

By recursion, for all n,

$$X_{s+\tau}^n(\omega) = X_s^n(\theta_\tau \omega).$$

Main Results for Random Periodic Solution

Theorem

Assume $b, \sigma, \nabla b, \nabla \sigma$ are bounded and $2\|\nabla b\|_{\infty}^2 \delta^{-2} + 2\|\nabla \sigma\|_{\infty}^2 (2\delta)^{-1} < 1$. Then the infinite horizon integral equation has a unique solution X_s which is a random periodic solution of SDE.

Sketch of Proof: 1. X^n is a Cauchy sequence in $C(\mathbb{R}^1; L^2(\Omega))$.

2. Take X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}^1} E|X_s^n - X_s|^2 = 0.$$

3. As $n \to \infty,$ it appears that X satisfies the infinite horizon integral equation and

$$X_{s+\tau}(\omega) = X_s(\theta_\tau \omega). \quad \text{for a product set of the set of the$$

Qi Zhang Fudan University

Stationary Solutions and Random Periodic Solutions

Thank You

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

æ