

Hörmander's condition for delayed SDEs

Ibrahim EKREN

ETH Zurich

Joint work with Reda Chhaibi

November 2016, Mathematical Finance Colloquium, USC

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction
 - The forward SDE
 - Objectives
- 2 Hörmander's argument
 - Sufficient condition for the existence of the densities
 - Markovian Case
 - Rough Paths
- 3 Estimates for Delayed SDEs
 - The evolution of the derivative of the flow
 - Norris' Lemma
 - Hörmander's condition
- 4 Examples
 - Uniform Ellipticity
 - Hypoellipticity
 - Noise from delay

Notations

- We are interested in the following stochastic differential equation on $[0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= X_0 + \int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^m V_k(r, X) \circ dW_r^k \\ &= X_0 + \int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^m V_k(X_r, X_{r-h_1}, \dots, X_{r-h_{N-1}}) \circ dW_r^k \end{aligned}$$

- for some smooth functions $V_k : (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 = h_0 < h_1 < \dots < h_{N-1} < T$ fixed,
- $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\{W_t^k\}_{t \geq 0, k=1, \dots, m}$ is a m -dimensional Brownian motion $W_t^0 = t$.

Existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the SDE

Assumption (Standing regularity assumption on V_k)

For all $k = 0, \dots, m$, the mappings $V_k : \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are assumed to be smooth with bounded derivatives at all order.

Proposition

Under the standing assumptions X_t exists for $t \geq 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|X\|_{\infty, [0, t]}^p \right] < \infty, \text{ for all } t > 0, p \geq 2.$$

Proof by Picard's iteration with the norm

$$\|X\|_{\infty, [0, t]} := \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s|$$

and Grönwall's inequality.

Objectives

- Sufficient condition so that the distribution of X_T is **absolutely continuous** with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
- Sufficient condition so that the density of X_T with respect to the Lebesgue measure is **smooth**.
- Adapt the arguments of the Markovian case to take into account the noise coming from the **delay**.
- \implies Hörmander-like spanning condition of \mathbb{R}^d .

Objectives

- Sufficient condition so that the distribution of X_T is **absolutely continuous** with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
- Sufficient condition so that the density of X_T with respect to the Lebesgue measure is **smooth**.
- Adapt the arguments of the Markovian case to take into account the noise coming from the **delay**.
- \implies Hörmander-like spanning condition of \mathbb{R}^d .
- Uniformly elliptic and path-dependent case was treated by Kusuoka-Stroock(1982) and Bally-Caramellino (2016).
- Bell-Mohammed (1992), $V(X_{r-h})$ can be degenerate at X_0 , but **assumption on $X_{[-T,0]}$** to compensate it.

Applications

- Survey of Ivanov, Kazmerchuk, Swishchuk (2003), Kuchler-Platen (2000)
- Stability
- Ergodicity
- Numerical approximation
- Application to finance Oksendal-Sulem (2000)
- Also Arriojas, Hu, Mohammed, Pap (2007) on the delayed Black Scholes formula.

Malliavin's derivative

- X_T is Malliavin differentiable (Kusuoka-Stroock).
- Define

$$T_h := h_{N-1} \vee \sup_{i=1 \dots N-1} (T - (h_i - h_{i-1})) \in (0, T).$$

- The Malliavin derivative $\mathcal{D}_t X_T$ satisfies for all $T_h \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathcal{D}_t X_T = V(t, X) + \sum_{k=0}^m \int_t^T \partial_0 V_k(r, X) \mathcal{D}_t X_r \circ dW_r^k$$

where $\partial_i V_k(r, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is Jacobian of V_k in the i th component.

Sufficient condition on the Malliavin Matrix

- Let $\mathcal{M} := \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_s X_T (\mathcal{D}_s X_T)^* ds$ be the Malliavin matrix.
- Summary of the Malliavin calculus for regularity of laws: If $\forall p > 0, \mathbb{E} (\|\mathcal{M}^{-1}\|^p) < \infty$ then X_T has density with respect to the Lebesgue measures and its density is smooth.

Sufficient condition on the Malliavin Matrix

- Let $\mathcal{M} := \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_s X_T (\mathcal{D}_s X_T)^* ds$ be the Malliavin matrix.
- Summary of the Malliavin calculus for regularity of laws: If $\forall p > 0, \mathbb{E}(\|\mathcal{M}^{-1}\|^p) < \infty$ then X_T has density with respect to the Lebesgue measures and its density is smooth.
- Sufficient condition : There exist $\delta, q > 0, \mathcal{R} > 0$ with $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}^p] < \infty$ for all $p > 1$ such that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|\eta| = 1$ we have

$$\delta \leq \mathcal{R} \langle \eta, \mathcal{M} \eta \rangle^q.$$

- Estimate from below $\int_0^T |\eta^* \mathcal{D}_s X_T|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 ds$ with $|\eta| = 1$ fixed.

Markovian Case

- The equation becomes

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^m V_k(r, X_r) \circ dW_r^k.$$

- If $|\eta|_{\mathbb{R}^d} = 1$, the Malliavin derivative satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}_t X_T = J_{t,T} V(t, X_t)$$

where $J_{t,T}$ is the derivative of the flow.

- Using the evolution of $t \rightarrow J_{t,T}$ we obtain the spanning condition for smoothness of the laws of marginals.
- We define the brackets of vector fields

$$[F, G] = \partial_x G F - \partial_x F G$$

Markovian Case

- We also define the vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_0 &:= \{V_k, k > 0\} \text{ and for all } j \geq 1, \\ \mathcal{V}_j &:= \mathcal{V}_{j-1} \cup \{[V_k, F] : k = 0, \dots, m, F \in \mathcal{V}_{j-1}\} \\ \mathcal{V}_\infty &:= \cup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{V}_j.\end{aligned}$$

- The correct spanning conditions is

$$\text{span} \mathcal{V}_\infty(x) = \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

- Equivalent condition

$$\inf_{|\eta|=1} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{V}_\infty} |\eta^* F(x)| > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

- Only $x = X_0$ would also be sufficient.

Rough Paths

- Denote $\mathcal{W}_t = (W_t, W_{t-h_1}, \dots, W_{t-h_{N-1}}) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ and we fix $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} < \theta < 2\alpha$.
- We need to define $\mathbb{W}_{s,t}^{i,j} := \int_s^t W_{r-h_i, s-h_i} \otimes \circ dW_{r-h_j}$. If $h_i \geq h_j$. This is the classical Stratonovich integral. For $h_i < h_j$, the **anticipative integral** can be deduced from the first order condition

$$\mathbb{W}_{s,t}^{i,j} + \mathbb{W}_{s,t}^{j,i} = \mathcal{W}_{s-h_i, t-h_i} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{s-h_j, t-h_j}.$$

Rough Paths

- Denote $\mathcal{W}_t = (W_t, W_{t-h_1}, \dots, W_{t-h_{N-1}}) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ and we fix $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} < \theta < 2\alpha$.
- We need to define $\mathbb{W}_{s,t}^{i,j} := \int_s^t W_{r-h_i, s-h_i} \otimes \circ dW_{r-h_j}$. If $h_i \geq h_j$. This is the classical Stratonovich integral. For $h_i < h_j$, the **anticipative integral** can be deduced from the first order condition

$$\mathbb{W}_{s,t}^{i,j} + \mathbb{W}_{s,t}^{j,i} = \mathcal{W}_{s-h_i, t-h_i} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{s-h_j, t-h_j}.$$

- One can also equivalently appeal to Nualart-Pardoux (88) and Nualart-Ocone (89) where an anticipative Stratonovich integral is defined.
- $(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{W}) \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, the set of α -Holder continuous rough paths (with iterated integrals in $\mathcal{C}^{2\alpha}$).

SDE as Rough integral

- We say that (Y, Y') is a controlled path if

$$Y_t^{k,i} - Y_s^{k,i} = \sum_{k'=1}^m \sum_{i'=0}^{N-1} Y_s^{k,i,k',i'} \mathcal{W}_{s,t}^{k',i'} + O(|t-s|^{2\alpha}).$$

- We define the rough integral of a controlled rough path Y

$$\sum_{k,i} \int Y_r^{k,i} d\mathcal{W}_r^{k,i} := \lim_{|\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{[u,v] \in \mathcal{P}} Y_u \mathcal{W}_{u,v} + Y'_u \mathbb{W}_{u,v}.$$

- For all $t \in [T_h, T]$,

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^m V_k(r, X_r, X_{r-h_1}, \dots, X_{r-h_{N-1}}) d\mathcal{W}_r^{k,0}$$

$$J_{t,T} = \frac{d'' X_T''}{d'' X_t''} = Id + \sum_{k=0}^m \int_t^T \partial_0 V_k(r, X) J_{t,r} d\mathcal{W}_r^{k,0}$$

Integration with controlled rough paths

- In particular

$$\mathcal{D}_t X_T = J_{t,T} V(t, X), \quad J_{t,T} = J_{T_h,T} J_{T_h,t}^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$J_{T_h,t}^{-1} = Id - \sum_{k=0}^m \int_{T_h}^t J_{T_h,r}^{-1} \partial_0 V_k(r, X) d\mathcal{W}_r^{k,0}.$$

- Denote $Z_{V_j}(t) = \eta^* J_{t,T} V_j(t, X)$, we want lower bounds for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &:= \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^T |\eta^* J_{s,T} V_j(s, X)|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 ds = \sum_{j=1}^m \|Z_{V_j}\|_{L^2[0,T]}^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^m \|Z_{V_j}\|_{L^2[T_h,T]}^2 \end{aligned}$$

First estimate

- Interpolation inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [T_h, T]} |Z_{V_j}(s)| &\leq C_{h,T} \|Z_{V_j}\|_{L^2([T_h, T])}^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} \|Z_{V_j}\|_{\alpha, [T_h, T]}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \\ &\leq C_{h,T} \|Z_{V_j}\|_{L^2([0, T])}^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} \|Z_{V_j}\|_{\alpha, [T_h, T]}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

- A first estimate

$$\sup_{j=1 \dots m} \|Z_{V_j}\|_{\infty, [T_h, T]} \leq C_{h,T} \mathcal{M}^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1}^m \|Z_{V_j}\|_{\alpha, [T_h, T]}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}.$$

- $L^\infty([T_h, T])$ estimates for Z_{V_j} .

Brackets

- By Ito's formula, for all $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $s, t \in [T_h, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} V_j(t, X) - V_j(s, X) &= \sum_{k=0}^m \int_s^t \partial_0 V_j(r, X) V_k(r, X) d\mathcal{W}_r^{k,0} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq N-1}} \int_s^t \partial_i V_j(r, X) V_k(r - h_i, X) d\mathcal{W}_r^{k,i}. \end{aligned}$$

- To define the last term one needs to extend the \mathcal{W} to take into account $t - (h_i + h_j)$ terms in the control of the integrands.
- We define the Bracket

$$[V_j, V_k] := \partial_0 V_j V_k - \partial_0 V_k V_j.$$

Evolution of Z_{V_j}

- For all $s, t \in [T_h, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{V_j}(t) - Z_{V_j}(s) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_s^t Z_{[V_j, V_k]}(r) dW_r^{k,0} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \int_s^t Z_{\partial_i V_j(\cdot, X) V_k(\cdot - h_i, X)}(r) dW_r^{k,i} \\ &+ \int_s^t Z_{\{[V_j, V_0] + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i V_j(\cdot, X) V_0(\cdot - h_i, X)\}}(r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

- $\{dW_r^{k,i} : r \in (T_h, T), k = 1 \dots m, i = 0, \dots, N-1\}$ are the increments of a $m \times N$ -dimensional Brownian Motion.

θ -Holder Roughness

Definition

$W : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is θ -Holder rough : there exists $L > 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $s \in [0, T]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $t \in [0, T]$ such that

$$|t - s| \leq \varepsilon, \text{ and } |\varphi W_{s,t}| \geq L\varepsilon^\theta |\varphi|.$$

Proposition (Norris' Lemma)

There exists a deterministic constant $C_T > 0$ and $p, q > 0$ s.t. if

$$Z_t = \int_0^t A_s dW_s + \int_0^t B_s ds, \text{ with } A, B \text{ controlled rough paths}$$

then $\|A\|_{\infty, [0, T]} + \|B\|_{\infty, [0, T]} \leq C_T \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{L_\theta}\right)^p \|Z\|_{\infty, [0, T]}^q$.

A variant of Norris Lemma

Proposition

The path $\mathcal{W} : [T_h, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ is θ -Holder rough.

Proposition (Variant of Norris' Lemma)

There exists a deterministic constant $C_T > 0$ and $p, q > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Z_{[V_j, V_k]}\|_{\infty, [T'_h, T]} + \|Z_{\partial_i V_j(\cdot, X) V_k(\cdot - h_i, X)}\|_{\infty, [T'_h, T]} \\ & + \|Z_{\{[V_j, V_0] + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i V_j(\cdot, X) V_0(\cdot - h_i, X)\}}\|_{\infty, [T'_h, T]} \\ & \leq C_{h, T} \mathcal{M}^{q_1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{L_\theta} \right)^{p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

A variant of Norris Lemma

Proposition

The path $\mathcal{W} : [T_h, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ is θ -Holder rough.

Proposition (Variant of Norris' Lemma)

There exists a deterministic constant $C_T > 0$ and $p, q > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Z_{[V_j, V_k]}\|_{\infty, [T'_h, T]} + \|Z_{\partial_i V_j(\cdot, X) V_k(\cdot - h_i, X)}\|_{\infty, [T'_h, T]} \\ & + \|Z_{\{[V_j, V_0] + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i V_j(\cdot, X) V_0(\cdot - h_i, X)\}}\|_{\infty, [T'_h, T]} \\ & \leq C_{h, T} \mathcal{M}^{q_1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{L_\theta} \right)^{p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

- Only $Z_{[V_j, V_k]}$ is controlled by \mathcal{W} .

Link between Hörmander condition and the Malliavin derivatives

Definition

We define the family of hyperplanes generated by the vector fields,

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \{(s, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow V_k(s, \mathbf{x}) : k = 1, \dots, m\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{j+1} := \mathcal{V}_j \cup \{[F, V_k] : F \in \mathcal{V}_j, k = 1, \dots, m\} \text{ and}$$

We also define the extension of the hyperplanes

$$\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{j+1} := \mathcal{V}_{j+1} \cup \{(s, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow [F, V_0](s, \mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i F(s, \mathbf{x}) V_0(s - h_i, \mathbf{x})\}$$

Link between Hörmander condition and the Malliavin derivatives

Definition

We define the family of hyperplanes generated by the vector fields,

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \{(s, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow V_k(s, \mathbf{x}) : k = 1, \dots, m\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{j+1} := \mathcal{V}_j \cup \{[F, V_k] : F \in \mathcal{V}_j, k = 1, \dots, m\} \text{ and}$$

We also define the extension of the hyperplanes

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{j+1} := & \mathcal{V}_{j+1} \cup \left\{ (s, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow [F, V_0](s, \mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i F(s, \mathbf{x}) V_0(s - h_i, \mathbf{x}) \right. \\ & \left. \cup \left\{ (s, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \partial_i F(s, \mathbf{x}) V_k(s - h_i, \mathbf{x}) : k = 1 \dots m, i = 1, \dots, N - 1 \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hörmander

- V_{j+1} is smaller than its Markovian counter part.
- \bar{V}_{j+1} includes the Brackets with V_0 .
- Additional noise coming from the delay.

Assumption (Hörmander Condition for Delayed equations)

There exists j_0 such that \bar{V}_{j_0} spans \mathbb{R}^d uniformly

$$\inf_{\mathbf{x} \in C([0, T])} \inf_{|\eta|=1} \sup_{F \in \bar{V}_{j_0}} |\eta^* F(T, \mathbf{x})| > 0$$

- Spanning uniform in \mathbf{x} and in the rank j_0 .

Main theorem

Theorem (Main theorem)

Under the standing regularity assumption of $\{V_k\}$ and the Hörmander condition X_T has a smooth density with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

- By induction one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \delta &:= \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in C([0, T])} \inf_{|\eta|=1} \sup_{F \in \bar{V}_{j_0}} |\eta^* F(T, \mathbf{x})| \\ &\leq \inf_{|\eta|=1} \sup_{F \in \bar{V}_{j_0}} |\eta^* F(T, X)| \\ &\leq C_{j_0, h, T} \frac{\mathcal{R}^{p_{j_0}}}{L^{p_{j_0}}} \mathcal{M}^{q_{j_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Uniformly non-degenerate

- Assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $|\eta| = 1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^m |\eta^* V_k|^2 \geq \delta$$

Then Hörmander's condition for delayed SDEs is satisfied.

- This case was treated by Kusuoka-Stroock(1982) and Bally-Caramellino (2016) without the assumption on the delay.

Langevin Equation with Delay

Consider the diffusion in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} dp_t &= V_0(p_t, q_t)dt + V_1(p_t, q_t, p_{t-h}, q_{t-h}) \circ dW_t \\ dq_t &= p_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

with V_1 uniformly elliptic. We check the spanning condition

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Langevin Equation with Delay

Consider the diffusion in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} dp_t &= V_0(p_t, q_t)dt + V_1(p_t, q_t, p_{t-h}, q_{t-h}) \circ dW_t \\ dq_t &= p_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

with V_1 uniformly elliptic. We check the spanning condition

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \mathcal{V}_j$$

We compute $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} V_0 \\ p_s \end{pmatrix} \right] + \partial_1 \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_0(p_{s-h}, q_{s-h}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} * \\ -V_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \implies & \text{uniform spanning} \end{aligned}$$

Noise from the delay

We now consider the following diffusion

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_t \\ q_t \\ r_t \end{pmatrix} = \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -r_{s-h} \end{pmatrix} dW_s^1 + \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} -p_{s-h} \\ q_{s-h} \\ \sqrt{1+r_{s-h}^2} \end{pmatrix} dW_s^2$$

We check the spanning condition

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -r_{s-h} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -p_{s-h} \\ q_{s-h} \\ \sqrt{1+r_{s-h}^2} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Noise from the delay

We now consider the following diffusion

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_t \\ q_t \\ r_t \end{pmatrix} = \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -r_{s-h} \end{pmatrix} dW_s^1 + \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} -p_{s-h} \\ \frac{q_{s-h}}{\sqrt{1+r_{s-h}^2}} \end{pmatrix} dW_s^2$$

We check the spanning condition

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -r_{s-h} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -p_{s-h} \\ \frac{q_{s-h}}{\sqrt{1+r_{s-h}^2}} \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \mathcal{V}_j$$

We compute the semi-brackets $\partial_1 V_2(t)V_1(t-h)$, $\partial_1 V_1(t)V_2(t-h)$
hence the subset of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_0$:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -r_{s-h} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \frac{r_{s-h}r_{s-2h}}{\sqrt{1+r_{s-h}^2}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{0}{-\sqrt{1+r_{s-2h}^2}} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \bar{\mathcal{V}}_0$$

\implies **uniform spanning**

THANK YOU!