Mathematical Finance Seminar @ U of Southern California Los Angeles, CA – September 12, 2016

# Longevity Risk: Methods, Models, and Management

### **Daniel Bauer**

Georgia State University

This presentation is primarily based on a collaborations with Enrico Biffis, Fausto Gozzi, and Nan Zhu. Financial support from the Society of Actuaries under a CAE Grant is gratefully acknowledged.



Dana and David Dornsife College of Letters, Arts and Sciences



#### Introduction

- What is "mortality/longevity risk"?
- Is it a big deal? For whom?

#### Mortality Surface Models

- Motivation
- Factor Analysis of Mortality Forecasts
- Mortality Term Structure Models
- Self-consistency Condition
- Applications

Conclusion

#### Introduction

- What is "mortality/longevity risk"?
- Is it a big deal? For whom?

#### Mortality Surface Models

- Motivation
- Factor Analysis of Mortality Forecasts
- Mortality Term Structure Models
- Self-consistency Condition
- Applications

Conclusion

# Some folklore



#### Jeanne Louise Calment

- Iongest confirmed human lifespan on record
- living to the age of 122 years, 164 days
- died in 1997 (she was born before the telephone was invented!)
- Signed a contingency contract (similar to a reverse mortgage) at age 90 that paid 2,500 francs (\$500) a month for her apartment at death. Altogether, more than 900,000 francs (\$180,000)
- Smoked till 119, loved chocolate —

# Some folklore



#### Jeanne Louise Calment

- Iongest confirmed human lifespan on record
- living to the age of 122 years, 164 days
- died in 1997 (she was born before the telephone was invented!)
- Signed a contingency contract (similar to a reverse mortgage) at age 90 that paid 2,500 francs (\$500) a month for her apartment at death. Altogether, more than 900,000 francs (\$180,000)
- Smoked till 119, loved chocolate —

Are we all getting older? If so, is there a limit? Is there risk/uncertainty?

What does this mean for individuals, corporations, and economies?

Page 5

# Vaupel et al. – We are getting older, and the trend has been fairly stable...





#### Olshansky et al. – ...but there may be a limit (?)



More information: Olshansky, Carnes, & Désquelles (2001) "Demography: Prospects for Human Longevity." Science, 291: 1491-1492. Graph from presentation by J. Olshansky: http://www.aaas.org/spp/rd/Olshansky.pdf

## So there is risk/uncertainty... But how grave is it?

- E.g., how will the life expectancy change over time?
  - $\rightarrow$  Individual: level of retirement savings
  - → Insurance company: (risk of) liability of deferred annuity
  - → *Economy*: Social security, retirement age

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Turner (2006) "Pensions, risks, and capital markets." *JRI* 73: 559-574.

Page 7

## So there is risk/uncertainty... But how grave is it?

- E.g., how will the life expectancy change over time?
  - → Individual: level of retirement savings
  - → Insurance company: (risk of) liability of deferred annuity
  - → *Economy*: Social security, retirement age
- Mitigation/Management (?)
  - Transaction design / transfer risk to individuals
    - Shift from DB to DC pensions, increase in retirement age, self-annuitization schemes, tontines, etc.
    - ? But individuals not very well equipped to take on risk post retirement...1
  - Securitization / mortality derivatives
    - Various transactions to transfer mortality risk to investors, at a premium (Buy-Outs, Buy-Ins, Longevity/Survivor Swaps, Longevity Bonds, etc.)
    - ? Longevity market has not lived up to expectations (reminiscent of mortgage-backed securities?) although picked up a little in recent past...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Turner (2006) "Pensions, risks, and capital markets." JRI 73: 559-574.

Page 7

# So there is risk/uncertainty... But how grave is it?

- ► E.g., how will the life expectancy change over time?
  - → Individual: level of retirement savings
  - → Insurance company: (risk of) liability of deferred annuity
  - → *Economy*: Social security, retirement age
- Mitigation/Management (?)
  - Transaction design / transfer risk to individuals
    - Shift from DB to DC pensions, increase in retirement age, self-annuitization schemes, tontines, etc.
    - ? But individuals not very well equipped to take on risk post retirement...1
  - Securitization / mortality derivatives
    - Various transactions to transfer mortality risk to investors, at a premium (Buy-Outs, Buy-Ins, Longevity/Survivor Swaps, Longevity Bonds, etc.)
    - ? Longevity market has not lived up to expectations (reminiscent of mortgage-backed securities?) although picked up a little in recent past...

#### Are these risks appropriately understood? Do existing models capture all the risks in an appropriate way?

<sup>1</sup>Turner (2006) "Pensions, risks, and capital markets." JRI 73: 559-574.

## (Insurance / Financial) Mathematics

▶ (We need a) **Model**: Lee-Carter model<sup>2</sup>

(by far the most popular model, applied by policy makers, governmental agencies, and the broader scholarly literatures)

 $\log\{m_{x,t}\} = \alpha_x + \beta_x \kappa_t + \varepsilon_{x,t}; \kappa_t = \theta + \kappa_{t-1} + e_t$ 

 $(m_{x,t}$  is the central death rate for age group x in year t)

Estimation typically in two step procedure using a singular value decomposition on {m<sub>x,t</sub>}<sub>x,t</sub> to identify κ<sub>t</sub>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lee & Carter (1992) "Modeling and forecasting US mortality." JASA, 87: 659-671.

## (Insurance / Financial) Mathematics

▶ (We need a) **Model**: Lee-Carter model<sup>2</sup>

(by far the most popular model, applied by policy makers, governmental agencies, and the broader scholarly literatures)

 $\log\{m_{x,t}\} = \alpha_x + \beta_x \kappa_t + \varepsilon_{x,t}; \kappa_t = \theta + \kappa_{t-1} + e_t$ 

 $(m_{x,t}$  is the central death rate for age group x in year t)

- Estimation typically in two step procedure using a singular value decomposition on {m<sub>x,t</sub>}<sub>x,t</sub> to identify κ<sub>t</sub>.
- (We need) Data:
  - Popular: Human Mortality Database. University of California, Berkeley (USA), and Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research (Germany). Available at www.mortality.org or www.humanmortality.de.
  - Other sources: CDC NVSS or Wonder Berkeley Mortality database

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lee & Carter (1992) "Modeling and forecasting US mortality." JASA, 87: 659-671.

κt

#### Lee-Carter In Matlab:





 $\alpha_x \rightarrow \text{Gompertz}$  (1825):  $m_x = \alpha e^{\beta x}$ 

# Mortality probability and cohort life expectancy for 50-year old US female



- ► One year mortality probability (q<sub>50</sub>) seems relatively straightforward
- Cohort life expectancy (Forward looking! Not period life expectancy as for Vaupel line!) increasing, although good bit of variation. The 95% confidence region (red dashed) seems too narrow...

#### Introduction

- What is "mortality/longevity risk"?
- Is it a big deal? For whom?

#### Mortality Surface Models

- Motivation
- Factor Analysis of Mortality Forecasts
- Mortality Term Structure Models
- Self-consistency Condition
- Applications

Conclusion

- Consider a 60 year old individual who faces *aggregate* mortality risk:
  - 1. Currently expected to die with probability of 0.7% next year (mortality rate). How uncertain is this appraisal? What are the chances that the rate is 0.65% or 0.75%?
  - ⇒ Risk in mortality rates

- Consider a 60 year old individual who faces *aggregate* mortality risk:
  - 1. Currently expected to die with probability of 0.7% next year (mortality rate). How uncertain is this appraisal? What are the chances that the rate is 0.65% or 0.75%?
  - ⇒ Risk in mortality rates
  - 2. Currently expected to live 25.1 more years. How uncertain is this number? What are the chances that it changes to 23.7 or 24.5 next year?
  - ⇒ Risk in mortality projections

- Consider a 60 year old individual who faces *aggregate* mortality risk:
  - 1. Currently expected to die with probability of 0.7% next year (mortality rate). How uncertain is this appraisal? What are the chances that the rate is 0.65% or 0.75%?
  - ⇒ Risk in mortality rates
  - 2. Currently expected to live 25.1 more years. How uncertain is this number? What are the chances that it changes to 23.7 or 24.5 next year?
  - ⇒ Risk in mortality projections

- While the two questions are related, and the distinction does not matter in theory, it is relevant for the statistical approach
- For personal financial planning/household finance, insurers' liability risk evaluation, and government/public economics, question 2 may be more suitable



- Current stochastic mortality models focus on stochastically forecasting mortality rates (question 1)
- $\rightarrow$  red in graphic
  - This paper considers the risk in mortality projections (question 2)
- $\rightarrow$  blue in graphic



- Current stochastic mortality models focus on stochastically forecasting mortality rates (question 1)
- $\rightarrow$  red in graphic
  - This paper considers the risk in mortality projections (question 2)
- $\rightarrow$  blue in graphic
- ⇒ We want to find & estimate tractable, coherent mortality models that adequately reflect risk in mortality projections



- Current stochastic mortality models focus on stochastically forecasting mortality rates (question 1)
- $\rightarrow$  red in graphic
  - This paper considers the risk in mortality projections (question 2)
- → blue in graphic
- ⇒ We want to find & estimate tractable, coherent mortality models that adequately reflect risk in mortality projections
- > Analogy in the motivation: Term structure models
  - Forecast yield curve  $p(T + 1, \tau)$  based on  $p(t, \tau)$  (q. 2!)
  - $p(t,\tau) = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\exp(-\int_t^{t+\tau} r_s \, ds)]$ , so (in theory) modeling  $r_t$  suffices (q. 1!)
  - Necessity to consider cross-sectional data (persistence vs. transience, etc.)
  - ► However, there are key differences (age, P vs. Q, etc.)

### Preview of Results: Forecasts of Life Expectancy





- <u>Given</u>: (Forward) survival probabilities  $\{\tau p_x(t) | (\tau, x) \in C\}$
- Easier to model/work with: (Forward) force of mortality / hazard rate

$$\mu_t(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log\{_{\tau} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}}(t)\}$$

- <u>Given</u>: (Forward) survival probabilities  $\{ {}_{\tau} p_{x}(t) | (\tau, x) \in C \}$
- Easier to model/work with: (Forward) force of mortality / hazard rate

$$\mu_t(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log\{_{\tau} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}}(t)\}$$

<u>Assume</u>: Time-homogeneous, Gaussian model

$$d\mu_t = (\boldsymbol{A}\,\mu_t + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \,\, dt + \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \,\, d\boldsymbol{W}_t$$

- SDE on some Hilbert space H, A = (∂<sub>τ</sub> − ∂<sub>x</sub>)
- Markov, time-homogeneous (?)
   [different / much milder than Markov assumption on hazard rate!]
- ► Gaussian [*W* fin-dim. Brownian motion] → Not necessarily positive (???)
- $\rightarrow$  Use for now [tractability!] but also discuss non-negative models



- <u>Given</u>: (Forward) survival probabilities  $\{ {}_{\tau} p_{X}(t) | (\tau, x) \in C \}$
- Easier to model/work with: (Forward) force of mortality / hazard rate

$$\mu_t(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log\{_{\tau} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}}(t)\}$$

Assume: Time-homogeneous, Gaussian model

$$d\mu_t = (A\mu_t + \Lambda) dt + \Sigma dW_t$$

- SDE on some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}, A = (\partial_{\tau} \partial_{x})$
- Markov, time-homogeneous (?)
   [different / much milder than Markov assumption on hazard rate!]
- ► Gaussian [*W* fin-dim. Brownian motion] → Not necessarily positive (???)
- → Use for now [tractability!] but also discuss non-negative models
- Define:

$$F_{l}(t_{j},(\tau,x)) = -\log\left\{\frac{\tau+lp_{x}(t_{j+1})}{\tau p_{x}(t_{j+1})} \middle/ \frac{\tau+l+\Delta p_{x-\Delta}(t_{j})}{\tau+\Delta p_{x-\Delta}(t_{j})}\right\}, t_{j+1}-t_{j} = \Delta$$

• <u>Proposition</u>: Vectors  $\bar{F}_{l}(t_{j}) = \left(\omega(\tau, x) \times \frac{F_{l}(t_{j}, (\tau, x))}{\sqrt{t_{j+1} - t_{j}}}\right)_{(\tau, x) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$  iid Gaussian.

"True" mortality forecasts from market place or insurance prices  $\rightarrow$  not abundant/noisy... [key results same for "official" UK life tables]

"True" mortality forecasts from market place or insurance prices

 $\rightarrow$  not abundant/noisy... [key results same for "official" UK life tables] <u>Raw data:</u> (deterministic) mortality forecasts generated from rolling windows of past mortality experience [from Human Mortality Databases, www.mortality.org]

- Regions: England/Wales (ENW), France (FRA), Japan (JPN), United States (USA), and West Germany (FRG)
- Genders: male and female
- Years: 1956-2006 / as much as we can
- Methods:
  - 1. Lee-Carter
    - Weighted-least-squares algorithm
    - Ages: 0-95
  - 2. CBD-Perks
    - Basic model w/o cohort effect
    - Ages: 25-95
  - 3. P-splines
    - Fixing the degree of freedom (*df*) at 20
    - Ages: 25-95

Mortality Surface Models

## Surfaces of Eigenvectors: PC1



female, US, splines

male, France, splines

female, Ger, splines

 $\rightarrow$  Explains 90 – 97% of the variation!



female, US, Lee-Carter

- First two factors explain vast majority of variation in most cases (mostly > 90% for first factor, first two factors >> 95%)
- Very similar shapes exhibited across different countries/genders/forecasting methods (at least for the first factor)
- ⇒ <u>PC1</u>
  - Systematic, increasing in age/term
  - Forward forces of mortality for high ages in the *far future* more volatile than in the *near future*
  - $\rightarrow$  slope factor
- $\Rightarrow$  <u>PC2</u>
  - Additional effect for higher ages
  - Inverse relationship between high ages in the near and in the far future
  - $\rightarrow$  twist factor
  - Simple factor models by regressing  $\overline{F}_{l}(t_{j})$  on  $Y_{i} = b'_{i} \overline{F}_{l}(t_{j})$ [akin to Diebold & Li (2006, JEconometrics), Duffee (2011), etc.]



- Interpretation as forecasts:
  - Expected values for future survival probs. comprised in the current surface
  - $\Rightarrow$  Expectation from (stochastic) forecast should coincide with "burnt in" values
    - Cross-sectional restriction akin to no-arbitrage condition for interest rate term structure models



- Interpretation as forecasts:
  - Expected values for future survival probs. comprised in the current surface
  - ⇒ Expectation from (stochastic) forecast should coincide with "burnt in" values
    - Cross-sectional restriction akin to no-arbitrage condition for interest rate term structure models
- Maths:
  - Implies martingale property that yields drift condition, i.e.  $\Lambda = f(\Sigma)$
  - Prop.: µt allows for Gaussian finite-dimensional realization iff

$$\Sigma(\tau, x) = C(x + \tau) \times \exp\{M\tau\} \times N$$

$$[M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, N \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}, C \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^{m}) - \text{semi-parametric form}]$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{t}(\tau, x) = \mu_{0}(\tau + t, x - t) + \int_{0}^{t} \Lambda(\tau + t - s, x - t + s) \, ds$$
  

$$+ C(x + \tau) \exp\{M\tau\} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} \exp\{M(t - s)\} \, N \, dW_{s}}_{=Z_{t}(\text{state process})}$$

Identification:

1

$$F_{l}(\tau, x) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbb{E}[F_{l}(\tau, x)] + \underbrace{\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} C(x+v) e^{Mv} dv}_{=O(\tau, x)} \times \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\Delta} e^{M(\Delta-s)} N dW_{s}}_{=Z_{\Delta}}$$

- Prop.: We can treat factors separately
- Find model by regressing on principal components
  - Obtain M and N from regression on factor w/o functional assumptions on C(x) [identification issues in higher dimensions – rely on examples from interest rate modeling to find convenient shapes, in particular Björk and Gombani (1999, FinStoch)]
  - Potentially use functional assumptions for capturing C(x) [parametric]

▶ Identification:

Þ

$$F_{l}(\tau, x) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbb{E}[F_{l}(\tau, x)] + \underbrace{\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} C(x + v) e^{Mv} dv}_{=O(\tau, x)} \times \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\Delta} e^{M(\Delta - s)} N dW_{s}}_{=Z_{\Delta}}$$

- Prop.: We can treat factors separately
- Find model by regressing on principal components
  - Obtain M and N from regression on factor w/o functional assumptions on C(x) [identification issues in higher dimensions – rely on examples from interest rate modeling to find convenient shapes, in particular Björk and Gombani (1999, FinStoch)]
  - Potentially use functional assumptions for capturing C(x) [parametric]

#### Estimation:

- Use Maximum Likelihood Estimation that may or may not enforce self-consistency condition
- In addition, allow for non-systematic deviations

$$\blacktriangleright \ \overline{F}^{obs}(t_j, t_{j+1}) = \overline{F}^{mod}(t_j, t_{j+1}) + \underline{\epsilon}_j, \underline{\epsilon}_j \sim N(0, \alpha \cdot \text{diag}\{\Sigma\}), \alpha \text{ small}$$

# **Associated Hazard Rate**

Based on our specific one-factor assumption, the **aged-dependent hazard** rate / (spot) force of mortality is

$$\mu_{t}(x) = \underbrace{\mu_{0}(t, x - t) + \int_{0}^{t} \Lambda(t - s, x - t + s) ds}_{\text{may use "baseline" if no } \mu_{0}} + C(x) \times Z_{t}^{(2)}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{e.g.}}{=} (A + B \exp\{c x\}) \times (\xi_{2} + Z_{t}^{(2)})$$
ro

where

$$dZ_t = d \begin{pmatrix} Z_t^{(1)} \\ Z_t^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2b & -b^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Z_t dt + \begin{pmatrix} 1-ab \\ a \end{pmatrix} dW_t$$

Example path:



(Non-negative model:  $OU \rightarrow Feller$  square root)

### Confidence Intervals for Future LE: USA Female (After 1 yr)



# **Cohort Effects**

 Key difference to yield curve models: new generations / cohort effects (Renshaw & Haberman, 2006, IME; Cairns et al., 2009, NAAJ)

$$\log\{m_{x,t}\} = \alpha_x + \beta_x \kappa_t + \gamma_{t-x} + \varepsilon_{x,t}$$

Deterministic models:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_t(\tau, \mathbf{x}) &= \mu_0(\tau + t, \mathbf{x} - t), \ \mathbf{x} \ge t > \mathbf{0}, \ \tau \ge \mathbf{0}, \\ \mu_t(\tau, \mathbf{x}) &= \psi_{t-\mathbf{x}}(\tau + \mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{0} \le \mathbf{x} < t \end{cases}$$

• Differential notation on  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \mu_t' = \mathbf{A}\mu_t - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{(0)}\psi_t \\ \mu_0 \in \mathcal{H}, \ \psi \in L^2_{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+) \end{cases}$$

- Stochastic extension yield SPDEs with boundary noise
- Questions:
  - Well-posedness (spaces?)
  - Finite-dimensional realizations

#### Introduction

- What is "mortality/longevity risk"?
- Is it a big deal? For whom?

#### Mortality Surface Models

- Motivation
- Factor Analysis of Mortality Forecasts
- Mortality Term Structure Models
- Self-consistency Condition
- Applications

#### Conclusion

# Conclusion

- Having appropriate estimates for the risk in mortality projections is important
- Common approach may not be suitable to appraise risk of changes in life expectancies
- Research Directions:
  - Continuous models with cohort effects
  - Multiple populations, trade off risk?
  - Application in household finance: Annuitization decision in portfolio context/influence of systematic mortality risk
  - Asset pricing model with aggregate demographic uncertainty