< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Stochastic representation for solutions to nonlocal Bellman equations

Chenchen Mou

Department of Mathematics, UCLA Math Finance Seminar, USC

Sep 11, 2017

Outline

Background

Stochastic control problem

- Known results
- Assumptions
- Representation formula for smooth value function
- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Outline

Background

Stochastic control problem

- Known results
- Assumptions
- Representation formula for smooth value function
- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Stochastic control problem

Outline

Background

Stochastic control problem

- Known results
- Assumptions
- Representation formula for smooth value function
- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Stochastic control problem

Stochastic control problem

- We fix a terminal time T > 0, a Polish space U (the control space) and a bounded domain O in ℝ^d.
- For any 0 ≤ t < T, let μ be a generalized reference probability space (Ω, F, F^t_s, ℙ, W, L) where W is an m₁-dimensional standard Brownian motion and L is an m₂ dimensional Lévy process.
- Let \mathcal{A}_{μ} be the set of all \mathcal{F}_{s}^{t} -predictable \mathcal{U} -valued processes on [t, T], and let $\mathcal{A}_{t} := \cup_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}$.

Stochastic control problem

Stochastic control problem

For any $x \in O$ and $U(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu}$, we consider

$$X(s) = x + \int_{t}^{s} b(r, X(r), U(r)) dr + \int_{t}^{s} \sigma(r, X(r), U(r)) d\mathcal{W}(r)$$

+
$$\int_{t}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_2}} \gamma(r, X(r-), U(r), z) \tilde{N}(dr, dz),$$

where \tilde{N} is a compensated Poisson random measure. Let $\tau = T \land \inf\{s \ge t; X(s) \notin O\}$ and

$$J_{\mu}(t,x;U) := \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{\tau} \Gamma(s,X(s),U(s))ds + \Psi(\tau,X_{\tau})\right).$$
(1)

Stochastic Representation Formula

Stochastic control problem

Stochastic control problem

We will consider the stochastic control problem by first taking the infinmum of the cost functional (1) over all $U \in A_{\mu}$, i.e.

$$V_{\mu}(t,x) := \inf_{U \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu}} J_{\mu}(t,x;U), \qquad (2)$$

and then by taking the infinmum of (2) over all generalized reference probability spaces, i.e.

$$V(t,x) := \inf_{\mu} V_{\mu}(t,x).$$

Stochastic control problem

Stochastic control problem

The corresponding nonlocal HJB equation is then given by

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left(\mathcal{A}^u W(t, x) + \Gamma(t, x, u) \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q := [0, T) \times O, \quad (3)$$

with terminal-boundary condition

$$W(t,x) = \Psi(t,x) \quad \text{on } \partial_{np}Q := ([0,T) \times O^c) \cup \left(\{T\} \times \mathbb{R}^d\right),$$
(4)

where

$$\mathcal{A}^{u}W(t,x)$$

:= $\partial_{t}W(t,x) + \operatorname{tr}(a(t,x,u)D_{x}^{2}W(t,x)) + b(t,x,u) \cdot D_{x}W(t,x)$
+ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_{2}}}W(t,x+\gamma(t,x,u,z)) - W(t,x) - D_{x}W(t,x) \cdot \gamma(t,x,u,z)\nu(dz)$

and where $a(t, x, u) := \frac{1}{2}\sigma(t, x, u)\sigma^{T}(t, x, u)$.

Outline

Background

• Stochastic control problem

- Known results
- Assumptions
- Representation formula for smooth value function
- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Known results

Outline

Background

• Stochastic control problem

2 Stochastic Representation Formula

Known results

- Assumptions
- Representation formula for smooth value function
- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Known results

Known results

- Stochastic representation formula for PDEs: Buckdahn, Fleming, Krylov, Ma, Li, Lions, Soner, Souganidis, Swiech, Touzi, Zhang...
- Stochastic representation formula for integro-PDEs: Barles, Biswas, Buckdahn, Caffarelli, Li, Kharroubi, Pham, Soner, Swiech...

Assumptions

Outline

Background

• Stochastic control problem

2 Stochastic Representation Formula

Known results

Assumptions

- Representation formula for smooth value function
- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Assumptions

Assumptions on the coefficients

- γ is measurable, Ψ is bounded and continuous, and b, σ, Γ are bounded and uniformly continuous.
- There exist a universal constant C > 0, a modulus of continuity ∞ and a measurable function ρ satisfying ∫<sub>ℝ^{m₂} ρ²(z)ν(dz) < +∞ such that for any u, u₁, u₂ ∈ U, s, s₁, s₂ ∈ [0, T), y₁, y₂ ∈ ℝ^d and z ∈ ℝ^{m₂}

 </sub>

$$\||\gamma(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,z)|\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^{d}\times\mathcal{U})}\leq C\rho(z)$$

 $|b(s, y_1, u) - b(s, y_2, u)| + ||\sigma(s, y_1, u) - \sigma(s, y_2, u)|| \le C|y_1 - y_2|,$

$$\begin{aligned} &|\gamma(s_1, y_1, u_1, z) - \gamma(s_2, y_2, u_2, z)| \\ &\leq \quad C\rho(z) \left(\varpi(|u_1 - u_2| + |s_1 - s_2|) + |y_1 - y_2| \right) \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Assumptions

Assumptions on the domain

 O is η-prox-regular for some fixed η > 0, i.e. for any x ∈ ∂O and any unit vector n ∈ N(O, x) we have

$$B_{\eta}(x+\eta n)\cap O=\emptyset$$

where the proximal normal cone to O at $x \in \partial O$ by

 $N(O, x) := \{n \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{there exists } I > 0 \text{ such that } x \in P(O, x + In)\}$

and where

$$P(O, y) = \left\{ z \in \partial O; \inf_{p \in O} |p - y| = |z - y| \right\}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Assumptions

Parabolicity Assumptions

• There exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that for any $x \in \partial O$ and $n_x \in N(O, x)$ we have

 $n_x \sigma(t, x, u) \sigma^T(t, x, u) n_x^T \ge \lambda$, for any $t \in [0, T)$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}$.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Representation formula for smooth value function

Outline

Background

• Stochastic control problem

2 Stochastic Representation Formula

- Known results
- Assumptions

• Representation formula for smooth value function

- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Stochastic Representation Formula

Representation formula for smooth value function

Representation formula for smooth value function

Theorem (Gong, Mou and Swiech, 2017)

Let $W \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a solution to nonlocal HJB with terminal-boundary condition $u = \Psi$ on $\partial_{np}Q$. Then we have

$$W(t,x) = V(t,x) = V_{\mu}(t,x)$$

for any generalized reference probability space μ and any stopping time θ , with $\theta \in [t, T] \mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

$$W(t,x) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t}^{ heta \wedge au} \Gamma(s,X(s),U(s)) ds + W(heta \wedge au,X(heta \wedge au))
ight).$$

・ロト・雪・・雪・・雪・・ 白・ シック

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Representation formula in finite control set

Outline

Background

• Stochastic control problem

- Known results
- Assumptions
- Representation formula for smooth value function
- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Representation formula in finite control set

Approximation

Let \mathcal{U} be a finite control set, $O_{\delta} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d ; \operatorname{dist}(y, O) < \delta\}$ and $Q_{\delta} := [0, T + \delta] \times O_{\delta}$.

We construct sequences of functions b_n , σ_n , γ_n and Γ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

- b_n , σ_n and Γ_n are uniformly bounded.
- There exist C > 0 and $C_n > 0$, depending on n such that for any $u \in \mathcal{U}$, $s_1, s_2 \in [0, T + 1)$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$

$$\begin{split} &|b_n(s_1, y_1, u) - b_n(s_2, y_2, u)| + \|\sigma_n(s_1, y_1, u) - \sigma_n(s_2, y_2, u)\| \\ &+ \|\Gamma_n(s_1, y_1, u) - \Gamma_n(s_2, y_2, u)| \le C_n(|s_1 - s_2| + |y_1 - y_2|) \\ &|\gamma_n(s_1, y_1, u, z) - \gamma_n(s_2, y_2, u, z)| \le C_n\rho(z)(|s_1 - s_2| + |y_1 - y_2|) \\ &\|\gamma_n(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, z)\|_{L^{\infty}([0, T+1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times U)} \le C\rho(z). \end{split}$$

Representation formula in finite control set

Approximation

• As
$$n \to +\infty$$
,

$$\begin{split} \|b - b_n\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times U)} &\to 0, \\ \|\sigma - \sigma_n\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times U)} &\to 0, \\ \|\Gamma - \Gamma_n\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times U)} &\to 0, \end{split}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_2}} \|\gamma(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,z)-\gamma_n(\cdot,\cdot,z)\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\times U)}^2\nu(dz)\to 0.$$

• For $\delta > 0$ and $x \in \partial O_{\delta}$, there is a unit vector $n_{x,\delta} \in N(O_{\delta}, x)$ such that $\overline{B}_{\eta/2}(x + \frac{\eta}{2}n_{x,\delta}) \cap \overline{O}_{\delta} = \{x\}$. Moreover, for any $t \in [0, T + \delta)$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough

$$n_{x,\delta}\sigma_n(t,x,u)\sigma_n^T(t,x,u)n_{x,\delta}^T \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Representation formula in finite control set

Approximation

 Let {ε_n}_n be a sequence of positive real numbers such that lim_n ε_n = 0. For any μ₁ := (Ω, F, F^t_s, ℙ, W, W̃, L), U ∈ A_{μ1} and x ∈ ℝ^d, we consider the following SDE:

$$X_n(s) = x + \int_t^s b_n(r, X_n(r), U(r)) dr + \int_t^s \sigma_n(r, X_n(r), U(r)) d\mathcal{W}(r) + \int_t^s \sqrt{\epsilon_n} d\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(r) + \int_t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_2}} \gamma_n(r, X_n(r-), U(r), z) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(dr, dz).$$

• Let $\tau_{\delta,n} := T \wedge \inf\{s \ge t; X_n(s) \notin O_{\frac{\delta}{2}}\}.$

Stochastic Representation Formula

Representation formula in finite control set

Existence of a unique smooth solution

Theorem (Mou and Swiech, 2017)

Let \mathcal{U} be a finite set and $\Psi \in C_b^{1+\alpha/2, 2+\alpha}([0, T+1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then, there exists a unique viscosity solution

$$W_{\delta,n} \in C^{1+lpha/2,2+lpha}_{ ext{loc}}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}) \cap \operatorname{Lip}_{b}\left([0,T+\delta] imes \mathbb{R}^{d}
ight)$$

to

$$\begin{cases} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left(\mathcal{A}_{\delta,n}^{u} W_{\delta,n}(t,x) + \Gamma_{n}(t,x,u) \right) = 0 & \text{in } Q_{\delta}, \\ W_{\delta,n}(t,x) = \Psi(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \partial_{\mathrm{np}} Q_{\delta}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}_{\delta,n}^{u}W_{\delta,n} \\ &:= \frac{\partial W_{\delta,n}}{\partial t} + b_{n} \cdot D_{x}W_{\delta,n}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\left(a_{n} + \epsilon_{n}I_{n}\right)D_{x}^{2}W_{\delta,n}\right) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}^{m_{2}}}\left(W_{\delta,n}\left(t,x + \gamma_{n}\right) - W_{\delta,n}(t,x) - D_{x}W_{\delta,n}(t,x) \cdot \gamma_{n}\right)\nu(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Outline

Backgrour

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Representation formula in finite control set

Approximation

It follows from the representation formula for the smooth value function with $\theta_U = \tau \wedge \tau_{\delta,n}$, that

$$W_{\delta,n}(t,x) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu_1}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_t^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\delta,n}} \Gamma_n(s, X_n(s), U(s)) \, ds
ight.
onumber \ + W_{\delta,n}(\tau \wedge au_{\delta,n}, X_n(\tau \wedge au_{\delta,n}))
ight).$$

Outline

Background 00000 Stochastic Representation Formula

Representation formula in finite control set

Existence a viscosity solution

Theorem (Mou, Anal. PDE 2017)

There exists a unique viscosity solution

 $W_{\delta} \in C_b([0, T + \delta] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$

to

$$\begin{cases} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left(\mathcal{A}^u W_{\delta}(t, x) + \Gamma(t, x, u) \right) = 0 \quad in \ Q_{\delta}, \\ W_{\delta,n}(t, x) = \Psi(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \partial_{\mathrm{np}} Q_{\delta}. \end{cases}$$

Stochastic Representation Formula

Representation formula in finite control set

Representation formula in finite control set

Theorem (Gong, Mou and Swiech, 2017)

Let \mathcal{U} be a finite set and $\Psi \in C_b^{1+\alpha/2, 2+\alpha}([0, T+1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $\alpha > 0$. For each $t \in [0, T]$, let $\mu_1 = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_s^t, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{W}, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{L})$ and set $\mu = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_s^t, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$. Then, for any $x \in \overline{O}$,

$$W_{\delta}(t,x) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{\tau} \Gamma\left(s, X(s), U(s)\right) ds + W_{\delta}(\tau, X(\tau))\right).$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Representation formula in general control set

Outline

Background

• Stochastic control problem

- Known results
- Assumptions
- Representation formula for smooth value function
- Representation formula in finite control set
- Representation formula in general control set

Representation formula in general control set

Approximation

- Let \mathcal{U} be a Polish space, and $\mathcal{U}_n := \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ where $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable dense subset of \mathcal{U} .
- For any μ₁ = (Ω, F, F^t_s, ℙ, W, W̃, L), we let μ = (Ω, F, F^t_s, ℙ, W, L) and Aⁿ_μ be the collection of all predictable U_n-valued process on [t, T].
- For any $U_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we consider the following SDE:

$$\begin{split} \bar{X}_n(s) &= x + \int_t^s b(r, \bar{X}_n(r), U_n(r)) dr + \int_t^s \sigma(r, \bar{X}_n(r), U_n(r)) d\mathcal{W}(r) \\ &+ \int_t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_2}} \gamma(r, \bar{X}_n(r-), U_n(r), z) \tilde{N}(dr, dz). \end{split}$$

• Let $\overline{\tau}_{\delta,n} := T \wedge \inf\{s \geq t; X(s) \notin O_{\frac{\delta}{2}}\}.$

Representation formula in general control set

A crucial estimate

Lemma (Gong, Mou and Swiech)

For any $U \in A_{\mu}$, there exists $\{U_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $U_{n_k} \in A_{\mu}^{n_k}$, such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and as $k \to +\infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T}|\Gamma(s,X(s),U_{n_{k}}(s))-\Gamma(s,X(s),U(s))|^{2}\,ds\right)\rightarrow0,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\ell\in[t,T]}\left|X(\ell)-\overline{X}_{n_k}(\ell)\right|^2\right)\to 0.$$

Stochastic Representation Formula

Representation formula in general control set

Representation formula in general control set

Theorem (Gong, Mou and Swiech, 2017)

Let W be the viscosity solution to nonlocal HJB (3) with terminal-boundary condition $W = \Psi$ on $\partial_{np}Q$. Let $t \in [0, T]$, let $\mu_1 = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_s^t, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{W}, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{L})$ and set $\mu = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_s^t, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$. Then for any $x \in \overline{O}$

$$W(t,x) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{\tau} \Gamma(s, X(s), U(s)) \, ds + W(\tau, X(\tau))\right)$$

Outline

Background 00000 Stochastic Representation Formula

Representation formula in general control set

Dynamic programming principle

Theorem (Gong, Mou and Swiech, 2017)

With the assumptions above, we have for any $x \in \overline{O}$ and stopping time θ , with $\theta \in [t, T] \mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

$$W(t,x) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{\theta \wedge \tau} \Gamma(s, X(s), U(s)) \, ds + W(\theta \wedge \tau, X(\theta \wedge \tau))\right).$$

Outline

Backgroun 00000 Stochastic Representation Formula

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Representation formula in general control set

Dynamic programming principle over all reference spaces

Corollary (Gong, Mou and Swiech, 2017)

With the assumptions above, we have for any $x \in \overline{O}$ and stopping time θ , with $\theta \in [t, T]$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$W(t,x) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{A}_t} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_t^{ heta \wedge au} \Gamma(s, X(s), U(s)) \, ds + W(heta \wedge au, X(heta \wedge au))
ight).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Representation formula in general control set

Thank you for your attention!