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#### Abstract

We investigate combinatorial properties of a family of probability distributions on finite abelian $p$-groups. This family includes several well-known distributions as specializations. These specializations have been studied in the context of Cohen-Lenstra heuristics and cokernels of families of random $p$-adic matrices.


## 1. Introduction

Friedman and Washington study a distribution on finite abelian p-groups $G$ of rank at most $d$ in [12]. In particular, a finite abelian $p$-group $G$ of rank $r \leq d$, is chosen with probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}(G)=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{i=d-r+1}^{d}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right)\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ with $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r} \geq 1$ be a partition. A finite abelian $p$-group $G$ has type $\lambda$ if

$$
G \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{\lambda_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z} / p^{\lambda_{r}} \mathbb{Z}
$$

Note that $r$ is equal to the rank of $G$.
There is a correspondence between measures on the set of integer partitions and on isomorphism classes of finite abelian $p$-groups. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of finite abelian $p$-groups. Given a measure $\nu$ on partitions, we get a corresponding measure $\nu^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ by setting $\nu^{\prime}(G)=\nu(\lambda)$ where $G \in \mathcal{L}$ is the isomorphism class of finite abelian $p$-groups of type $\lambda$. We analogously define a measure on partitions given a measure on $\mathcal{L}$. When $G$ is a finite abelian group of type $\lambda$, we write $|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|$ for $|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|$, and

[^0]from page 181 of [19],
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|=p^{\sum\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \prod_{i}(1 / p)_{m_{i}(\lambda)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The notation used in (2) is standard, and we review it in Section 1.2.
We introduce and study a more general distribution on integer partitions and on finite abelian $p$-groups $G$ of rank at most $d$. We choose a partition $\lambda$ with $r \leq d$ parts with probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d, u}(\lambda)=\frac{u^{|\lambda|}}{p^{\sum\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \prod_{i}(1 / p)_{m_{i}(\lambda)}} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) \prod_{i=d-r+1}^{d}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives a distribution on partitions for all real $p>1$ and $0<u<p$. We can include $p$ as an additional parameter and write $P_{d, u}^{p}(\lambda)$. For clarity, we will suppress this additional notation except in Section 3. When $p$ is prime, we can interpret (3) as a distribution on $\mathcal{L}$. When $p$ is not prime it does not make sense to talk about automorphisms of a finite abelian $p$-group, but in this case we can take (2) as the definition of $|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|$.

The main goal of this paper is to investigate combinatorial properties of the family of distributions of (3). We begin by noting six interesting specializations of this measure.

- Setting $u=1$ in $P_{d, u}$ recovers $P_{d}$.
- We define a distribution $P_{\infty, u}$ by

$$
\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} P_{d, u}(\lambda)=P_{\infty, u}(\lambda)=\frac{u^{|\lambda|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|} \prod_{i \geq 1}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right)
$$

It is not immediately clear that this limit defines a distribution on partitions, but this follows from the sentence after Proposition 2.1, from Theorem [2.2, or from Theorem 5.3, taking $\mu$ to be the trivial partition.

For $0<u<1$, this probability measure arises by choosing a random non-negative integer $N$ with probability $P(N=n)=(1-$ $u) u^{n}$, and then looking at the $z-1$ piece of a random element of the finite $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{GL}(N, p)$. See [13] for details.

- Note that

$$
P_{\infty, 1}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|} \prod_{i \geq 1}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right) .
$$

This is the measure on partitions corresponding to the usual CohenLenstra measure on finite abelian $p$-groups [5. It also arises from studying the $z-1$ piece of a random element of the finite group $\mathrm{GL}(d, p)$ in the $d \rightarrow \infty$ limit [13], or from studying the cokernel of a random $d \times d p$-adic matrix in the $d \rightarrow \infty$ limit [12].

- Let $w$ be a positive integer and $\lambda$ a partition. The $w$-probability of $\lambda$, denoted by $P_{w}(\lambda)$, is the probability that a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\lambda$ is obtained by the following three step random process:
- Choose randomly a $p$-group $H$ of type $\mu$ with respect to the measure $P_{\infty, 1}(\mu)$.
- Then choose $w$ elements $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{w}$ of $H$ uniformly at random.
- Finally, output $H /\left\langle g_{1}, \cdots, g_{w}\right\rangle$, where $\left\langle g_{1}, \cdots, g_{w}\right\rangle$ denotes the group generated by $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{w}$.
From Example 5.9 of Cohen and Lenstra [5], it follows that $P_{w}(\lambda)$ is a special case of (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{w}(\lambda)=P_{\infty, 1 / p^{w}}(\lambda) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We now mention two analogues of Proposition 1 of [12] for rectangular matrices. Let $w$ be a non-negative integer. Friedman and Washington do not discuss this explicitly, but using the same methods as in [12] one can show that taking the limit as $d \rightarrow \infty$ of the probability that a randomly chosen $d \times(d+w)$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ has cokernel isomorphic to a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\lambda$ is given by $P_{\infty, 1 / p^{w}}(\lambda)$. See the discussion above Proposition 2.3 of [26].

Similarly, Tse considers rectangular matrices with more rows than columns and shows that $P_{\infty, 1 / p^{w}}(\lambda)$ is equal to the $d \rightarrow \infty$ probability that a randomly chosen $(d+w) \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ has cokernel isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{w} \oplus G$, where $G$ is a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\lambda$ [23].

- In Section 3 we see that the measure on partitions studied by Bhargava, Kane, Lenstra, Poonen and Rains [1], arising from taking the cokernel of a random alternating $p$-adic matrix is also a special case of $P_{d, u}$. Taking a limit as the size of the matrix goes to infinity gives a distribution consistent with heuristics of Delaunay for TateShafarevich groups of elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}[8]$.

A few of these specializations have received extensive attention in prior work:

- When $p$ is an odd prime, Cohen and Lenstra conjecture that $P_{\infty, 1}$ models the distribution of $p$-parts of class groups of imaginary quadratic fields and $P_{\infty, 1 / p}$ models the distribution of $p$-parts of class groups of real quadratic fields [5]. Theorem 6.3 in [5] gives the probability that a group chosen from $P_{\infty, 1 / p^{w}}$ has given $p$-rank. For any $n$ odd, they show that the average number of elements of order exactly $n$ of a group drawn from $P_{\infty, 1}$ is 1 , and that this average for a group drawn from $P_{\infty, 1 / p}$ is $1 / n$ [5, Section 9]. Delaunay generalizes these results in Corollary 11 of 9 , where he computes the probability that a group drawn from $P_{\infty, u}$ simultaneously has specified $p^{j}$-rank for several values of $j$. Delaunay and Jouhet compute averages of
even more complicated functions involving moments of the number of $p^{j}$-torsion points for varying $j$ in [6].

The distribution of 2-parts of class groups of quadratic fields is not modeled by $P_{\infty, u}$ and several authors have worked to understand these issues. Motivated by work of Gerth [15, 16], Fouvry and Klüners study the conjectural distribution of $p^{j}$-ranks and moments for the number of torsion points of $C_{D}^{2}$, the square of the ideal class group of a quadratic field [11.

- Delaunay [9], and Delaunay and Jouhet [6, prove analogues of the results described in the previous paragraphs for groups drawn from the $n \rightarrow \infty$ specialization of the distribution we study in Section 3 , In [7, they prove analogues of the results of Fouvry and Klüners [11] for this distribution.
1.1. Outline of the Paper. In Section 2 we interpret $P_{d, u}$ in terms of HallLittlewood polynomials and use this interpretation to compute the probability that a partition chosen from $P_{d, u}$ has given size, given number of parts, or given size and number of parts. In Theorem 2.2 we give an algorithm for producing a partition according to the distribution $P_{d, u}$.

In Section 3 we show how a measure studied in [1] that arises from distributions of cokernels of random alternating $p$-adic matrices is given by a specialization of $P_{d, u}$. In Section 4 we briefly study a measure on partitions that arises from distributions of cokernels of random symmetric $p$-adic matrices that is studied in [4, 24]. We give an algorithm for producing a partition according to this distribution.

In Section 5 we combinatorially compute the moments of the distribution $P_{d, u}$ for all $d$ and $u$. These moments were already known for the case $d=$ $\infty, u=1$, and our method is new even in that special case. We also show that in many cases these moments determine a unique distribution. This is a generalization of a result of Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [10], that the moments of the Cohen-Lenstra distribution determine the distribution, and of Wood [26], that the moments of the distribution $P_{w}$ determine the distribution.
1.2. Notation. Throughout this paper, when $p$ is a prime number we write $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for the ring of $p$-adic integers.

For a ring $R$, let $\mathrm{M}_{d}(R)$ denote the set of all $d \times d$ matrices with entries in $R$ and let $\operatorname{Sym}_{d}(R)$ denote the set of all $d \times d$ symmetric matrices with entries in $R$. For an even integer $d$, let $\operatorname{Alt}_{d}(R)$ denote the set of all $d \times d$ alternating matrices with entries in $R$ (that is, matrices $A$ with zeros on the diagonal satisfying that the transpose of $A$ is equal to $-A$ ).

For groups $G$ and $H$ we write $\operatorname{Hom}(G, H)$ for the set of homomorphisms from $G$ to $H, \operatorname{Sur}(G, H)$ for the set of surjective homomorphisms from $G$ to $H$, and $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ for the set of automorphisms of $G$. If $G$ is a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\lambda$ and $H$ is a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\mu$, we sometimes write $|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|$ for $|\operatorname{Sur}(G, H)|$.

For a partition $\lambda$, we let $\lambda_{i}$ denote the size of the $i^{\text {th }}$ part of $\lambda$ and $m_{i}(\lambda)$ denote the number of parts of $\lambda$ of size $i$. We let $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the size of the $i^{\text {th }}$ column in the diagram of $\lambda$ (so $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=m_{i}(\lambda)+m_{i+1}(\lambda)+\cdots$ ). We also let $n(\lambda)=\sum_{i}\binom{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}}{2}$. We generally use $r$ or $r(\lambda)$ to denote the number of parts of $\lambda$. We use $|\lambda|=n$ to say that $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$, or equivalently $\sum \lambda_{i}=n$.

We let $n_{\lambda}(\mu)$ denote the number of subgroups of type $\mu$ of a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\lambda$. For a finite abelian group $G$, the number of subgroups $H \subseteq G$ of type $\mu$ equals the number of subgroups for which $G / H$ has type $\mu$ [19, Equation (1.5), page 181].

We also let $(x)_{i}=(1-x)(1-x / p) \cdots\left(1-x / p^{i-1}\right)$. So $(1 / p)_{i}=(1-$ $1 / p) \cdots\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right)$. With this notation, (3) is equivalent to

$$
P_{d, u}(\lambda)=\frac{u^{|\lambda|}(u / p)_{d}}{p^{\sum\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \prod_{i}(1 / p)_{m_{i}(\lambda)}} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\lambda)}}
$$

We use some notation related to $q$-binomial coefficients, namely:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[n]_{q} } & =\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}=1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1} \\
{[n]_{q}!} & =[n]_{q}[n-1]_{q} \cdots[2]_{q} \\
\binom{n}{j}_{q} & =\frac{[n]_{q}!}{[j]_{q}![n-j]_{q}!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally if $f(u)$ is a power series in $u$, we let Coef. $u^{n}$ in $f(u)$ denote the coefficient of $u^{n}$ in $f(u)$.

## 2. Properties of the measure $P_{d, u}$

To begin we give a formula for $P_{d, u}(\lambda)$ in terms of Hall-Littlewood polynomials. We let $P_{\lambda}$ denote a Hall-Littlewood polynomial, defined for a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ of length at most $n$ by

$$
P_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} ; t\right)=\frac{1}{v_{\lambda}(t)} \sum_{w \in S_{n}} w\left(x_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\lambda_{n}} \prod_{i<j} \frac{x_{i}-t x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}}\right)
$$

where

$$
v_{\lambda}(t)=\prod_{i \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}(\lambda)} \frac{1-t^{j}}{1-t}
$$

the permutation $w \in S_{n}$ permutes the $x$ variables, and we note that some parts of $\lambda$ may have size 0 . For background on Hall-Littlewood polynomials, see Chapter 3 of [19].

Proposition 2.1. For a partition $\lambda$ with $r \leq d$ parts,

$$
P_{d, u}(\lambda)=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) \cdot \frac{P_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)}}
$$

Proof. From page 213 of [19,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) \cdot \frac{P_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)}}
$$

is equal to

$$
\frac{u^{|\lambda|} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right)}{\prod_{i}(1 / p)_{m_{i}(\lambda)}} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{p^{|\lambda|+2 n(\lambda)}(1 / p)_{d-r}} .
$$

Since $|\lambda|+2 n(\lambda)=\sum\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$, this is equal to (3), and the proposition follows.

The fact that $\sum_{\lambda} P_{d, u}(\lambda)=1$ follows from Proposition 2.1 and the identity of Example 1 on page 225 of [19]. It is also immediate from Theorem [2.2.

There are two ways to generate random partitions $\lambda$ according to the distribution $P_{d, u}$. The first is to run the "Young tableau algorithm" of [13], stopped when coin $d$ comes up tails. The second method is given by the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2. Starting with $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}=d$, define in succession $d \geq \lambda_{1}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{2}^{\prime} \geq \cdots$ according to the rule that if $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=a$, then $\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}=b$ with probability

$$
K(a, b)=\frac{u^{b}(1 / p)_{a}(u / p)_{a}}{p^{b^{2}}(1 / p)_{a-b}(1 / p)_{b}(u / p)_{b}} .
$$

Then the resulting partition is distributed according to $P_{d, u}$.
Proof. One must compute

$$
K\left(d, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right) K\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right) K\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right) \cdots .
$$

There is a lot of cancellation, and (recalling that $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=r$ ), what is left is:

$$
\frac{(u / p)_{d}(1 / p)_{d} u^{|\lambda|}}{(1 / p)_{d-r} p^{\sum\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \prod_{i}(1 / p)_{m_{i}(\lambda)}} .
$$

This is equal to $P_{d, u}(\lambda)$, completing the proof.
The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 2.2.
Corollary 2.3. Choose $\lambda$ from $P_{d, u}$. Then the chance that $\lambda$ has $r \leq d$ parts is equal to

$$
\frac{u^{r}(1 / p)_{d}(u / p)_{d}}{p^{r^{2}}(1 / p)_{d-r}(1 / p)_{r}(u / p)_{r}} .
$$

Proof. From Theorem [2.2, the sought probability is $K(d, r)$.
The $u=1$ case of this result appears in another form in work of Stanley and Wang [22]. In Theorem 4.14 of [22], the authors compute the probability $Z_{d}(p, r)$ that the Smith normal form of a certain model of random integer matrix has at most $r$ diagonal entries divisible by $p$. Setting $u=1$ in Corollary 2.3 gives $Z_{d}(p, r)-Z_{d}(p, r-1)$. This expression also appears in [3] where the authors study finite abelian groups arising as $\mathbb{Z}^{d} / \Lambda$ for random
sublattices $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$; isolating the prime $p$ and the $i=r$ term in Corollary 1.2 of 3] gives the $u=1$ case of Corollary [2.3.

The next result computes the chance that $\lambda$ chosen from $P_{d, u}$ has size $n$.

Theorem 2.4. The chance that $\lambda$ chosen from $P_{d, u}$ has size $n$ is equal to

$$
\frac{u^{n}}{p^{n}} \frac{(u / p)_{d}(1 / p)_{d+n-1}}{(1 / p)_{d-1}(1 / p)_{n}} .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, the sought probability is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\lambda|=n} P_{d, u}(\lambda) & =(u / p)_{d} \sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{P_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)}} \\
& =(u / p)_{d} \sum_{|\lambda|=n} u^{n} \frac{P_{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{1}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)}} \\
& =u^{n}(u / p)_{d} \text { Coef. } u^{n} \text { in } \sum_{\lambda} \frac{P_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)}} \\
& =u^{n}(u / p)_{d} \operatorname{Coef} . u^{n} \text { in } \frac{1}{(u / p)_{d}} \\
& =\frac{u^{n}}{p^{n}} \frac{(u / p)_{d}(1 / p)_{d+n-1}}{(1 / p)_{d-1}(1 / p)_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fourth equality used Proposition 2.1 and the fact that $P_{d, u}$ defines a probability distribution, and the final equality used Theorem 349 of [17].

Theorem 2.5. The probability that $\lambda$ chosen from $P_{d, u}$ has size $n$ and $r \leq$ $\min \{d, n\}$ parts is equal to

$$
\frac{u^{n}(u / p)_{d}(1 / p)_{d}}{p^{r^{2}}(1 / p)_{d-r}(1 / p)_{r}} \frac{(1 / p)_{n-1}}{p^{n-r}(1 / p)_{r-1}(1 / p)_{n-r}} .
$$

Proof. From the definition of $P_{d, u}$, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=r \\
|\lambda|=n}} P_{d, u}(\lambda) & =\sum_{\substack{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=r \\
|\lambda|=n}} \frac{u^{n}(u / p)_{d}(1 / p)_{d}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|(1 / p)_{d-r}} \\
& =u^{n}(u / p)_{d} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=r \\
|\lambda|=n}} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|(1 / p)_{d-r}} \\
& =u^{n}(u / p)_{d} \text { Coef. } u^{n} \text { in } \sum_{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=r} \frac{u^{|\lambda|}(1 / p)_{d}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|(1 / p)_{d-r}} \\
& =u^{n}(u / p)_{d} \text { Coef. } u^{n} \text { in } \frac{1}{(u / p)_{d}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=r} P_{d, u}(\lambda) \\
& =u^{n}(u / p)_{d} \text { Coef. } u^{n} \text { in } \frac{1}{(u / p)_{d}} \frac{u^{r}(1 / p)_{d}(u / p)_{d}}{p^{r^{2}}(1 / p)_{d-r}(1 / p)_{r}(u / p)_{r}} \\
& =\frac{u^{n}(u / p)_{d}(1 / p)_{d}}{p^{r^{2}(1 / p)_{d-r}(1 / p)_{r}}} \operatorname{Coef.} u^{n-r} \text { in } \frac{1}{(u / p)_{r}} \\
& =\frac{u^{n}(u / p)_{d}(1 / p)_{d}}{p^{r^{2}(1 / p)_{d-r}(1 / p)_{r}} \frac{(1 / p)_{n-1}}{p^{n-r}(1 / p)_{r-1}(1 / p)_{n-r}} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fifth equality used Corollary [2.3, and the final equality used Theorem 349 of 17 .

In the rest of this section we give another view of the distributions given by (11) and (3). When $p$ is prime, equation (19) in [20] implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{p^{|\lambda| d}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_{1}} p^{\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(d-\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\binom{d-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}_{p}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this to the expression for $P_{d}(\lambda)$ given in (11) shows that
(6) $\frac{1}{p^{|\lambda| d}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_{1}} p^{\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(d-\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\binom{d-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}_{p}\right)=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|}\left(\prod_{i=d-r+1}^{d}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right)\right)$.

A direct proof is given in Proposition 4.7 of [3]. Therefore, we get a second expression for $P_{d, u}(\lambda)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d, u}(\lambda)=\frac{u^{|\lambda|}}{p^{|\lambda| d}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_{1}} p^{\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(d-\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\binom{d-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}_{p}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give a combinatorial proof of (6) that applies for any real $p>1$, so (7) applies for any $p>1$ and $0<u<p$.

Proof of Equation (6). It is sufficient to show that for a partition $\lambda$ with $r \leq d$ parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_{1}} p^{\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(d-\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\binom{d-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}_{p}\right)=p^{|\lambda| d} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1}\left(1-p^{-d+j}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_{1}} p^{\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(d-\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\binom{d-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}_{p} \\
= & p^{d\left(|\lambda|-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{\prime} \lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}} \prod_{i}\binom{d-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}_{p} \\
= & p^{d\left(|\lambda|-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{\prime} \lambda_{i+1}^{\prime} \frac{[d]_{p}!}{\left[d-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right]_{p}!\left[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}-\lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right]_{p}!\left[\lambda_{2}^{\prime}-\lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right]_{p}!\cdots}} \\
= & p^{d\left(|\lambda|-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{\prime} \lambda_{i+1}^{\prime} \frac{(p-1)^{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}}[d]_{p}!}{\left.\left[d-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right]_{p}!p^{\sum_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}+1\right.}\right) \prod_{i}(1 / p)_{m_{i}(\lambda)}}} \\
= & \frac{p^{d\left(|\lambda|-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)}(p-1)^{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}}[d]_{p}!}{\left[d-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right]_{p}!p^{\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}\right]} \prod_{i}(1 / p)_{m_{i}(\lambda)}} \\
= & \frac{p^{d\left(|\lambda|-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)} p^{\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 2}(p-1)^{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}[d]_{p}!}}{\left[d-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right]_{p}!p^{\lambda_{1}^{\prime} / 2}} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{\sum_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \prod_{i}(1 / p)_{m_{i}(\lambda)}} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=r$, equation (22) implies that the left-hand side of (8) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p^{d|\lambda|-d r+r^{2} / 2-r / 2}(p-1)^{r}[d]_{p}!}{[d-r]_{p}!} \\
= & p^{d|\lambda|-d r+r^{2} / 2-r / 2}\left(p^{d}-1\right) \cdots\left(p^{d-r+1}-1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which simplifies to the right-hand side of (8).
We now use the alternate expression of (7) to give an additional proof of Theorem [2.4 in the case when $p$ is prime. The zeta function of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ is defined by

$$
\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}(s)=\sum_{H \leq \mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left[\mathbb{Z}^{d}: H\right]^{-s},
$$

where the sum is taken over all finite index subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. It is known that

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}(s) & =\zeta(s) \zeta(s-1) \cdots \zeta(s-(d-1)) \\
& =\prod_{p}\left(\left(1-p^{-s}\right)^{-1}\left(1-p^{-(s-1)}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(1-p^{-(s-(d-1))}\right)^{-1}\right), \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function, and the product is taken over all primes. See the book of Lubotzky and Segal for five proofs of this fact [18].

Second Proof of Theorem 2.4 for $p$ prime. From (17), we need only prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{u^{n}}{p^{n d}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_{1}} p^{\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(d-\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\binom{d-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}}_{p}\right)=\frac{u^{n}}{p^{n}} \frac{(1 / p)_{d+n-1}}{(1 / p)_{d-1}(1 / p)_{n}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda^{*}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}\right)$, where there are $d$ entries in the tuple. The discussion around equation (19) in [20] says that the term in parentheses of the left-hand side of (10) is equal to the number of subgroups of a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\lambda^{*}$ that have type $\lambda, n_{\lambda^{*}}(\lambda)$, which is also equal to the number of subgroups $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}^{d} / \Lambda$ is a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\lambda$.

After some obvious cancelation, we need only show that

$$
\sum_{|\lambda|=n} n_{\lambda^{*}}(\lambda)=\frac{p^{n(d-1)}(1 / p)_{d+n-1}}{(1 / p)_{d-1}(1 / p)_{n}}
$$

The left-hand side is the number of subgroups $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}^{d} / \Lambda$ has order $p^{n}$. This is the $p^{-s n}$ coefficient of $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}(s)$. Using (9), this is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Coef. } p^{-s n} \text { in }\left(1-p^{-s}\right)^{-1}\left(1-p^{-(s-1)}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(1-p^{-(s-(d-1))}\right)^{-1} \\
= & \text { Coef. } \left.x^{n} \text { in }(1-x)^{-1}(1-p x)^{-1}\left(1-p^{2} x\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(1-p^{d-1} x\right)\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 349 of [17], this is equal to

$$
\frac{p^{n(d-1)}(1 / p)_{d+n-1}}{(1 / p)_{d-1}(1 / p)_{n}}
$$

and the proof is complete.

## 3. Cokernels of random alternating $p$-ADIC matrices

In this section we consider a distribution on finite abelian $p$-groups that arises in the study of cokernels of random $p$-adic alternating matrices. We show that this is a special case of the distributions $P_{d, u}^{p}$.

Let $n$ be an even positive integer and let $A \in \operatorname{Alt}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ be a random matrix chosen with respect to additive Haar measure on $\operatorname{Alt}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. The cokernel of $A$ is a finite abelian $p$-group of the form $G \cong H \times H$ for some $H$ of type $\lambda$ with at most $n / 2$ parts, and is equipped with a nondegenerate alternating pairing [, ]: $H \times H \mapsto \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\operatorname{Sp}(G)$ be the group of automorphisms of $H$ respecting [, ]. Let $r$ be the number of parts of $\lambda$, and $|\lambda|, n(\lambda), m_{i}(\lambda)$ be as in Section 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. Let $n$ be an even positive integer and $A \in \operatorname{Alt}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ be a random matrix chosen with respect to additive Haar measure on $\operatorname{Alt}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. The probability that the cokernel of $A$ is isomorphic to $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n, p}^{A l t}(\lambda)=\frac{\prod_{i=n-2 r+1}^{n}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n / 2-r}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i-1}\right)}{p^{|\lambda|+4 n(\lambda)} \prod_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}(\lambda)}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Formula (6) and Lemma 3.6 of [1] imply that the probability that the cokernel of $A$ is isomorphic to $G$ is given by

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Sur}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}, G\right)\right|}{|\operatorname{Sp}(G)|} \prod_{i=1}^{n / 2-r}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i-1}\right)|G|^{1-n} .
$$

We can rewrite this expression in terms of the partition $\lambda$. Clearly $|G|=$ $p^{2|\lambda|}$. Proposition 3.1 of [5] implies that since $G$ has rank $2 r$,

$$
\left|\operatorname{Sur}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}, G\right)\right|=p^{2 n|\lambda|} \prod_{i=n-2 r+1}^{n}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right)
$$

An identity on the bottom of page 538 of [9] says that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{Sp}(G)| & =p^{|\lambda|} p^{2 \sum_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \prod_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}(\lambda)}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right) \\
& =p^{4 n(\lambda)+3|\lambda|} \prod_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}(\lambda)}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting these results together completes the proof.
The next theorem shows that (11) is a special case of (3).
Theorem 3.2. Let $n$ be an even positive integer. For any partition $\lambda$,

$$
P_{n / 2, p}^{p^{2}}(\lambda)=P_{n, p}^{A l t}(\lambda)
$$

Proof. Rewrite (3) as

$$
\frac{u^{|\lambda|} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) \prod_{i=d-r+1}^{d}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right)}{p^{2 n(\lambda)+|\lambda|} \prod_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}(\lambda)}\left(1-1 / p^{j}\right)}
$$

Replacing $d$ by $n / 2, u$ by $p$, and $p$ by $p^{2}$ gives

$$
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n / 2}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i-1}\right) \prod_{i=n / 2-r+1}^{n / 2}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i}\right)}{p^{4 n(\lambda)+|\lambda|} \prod_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}(\lambda)}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)} .
$$

Comparing with (11), we see that it suffices to prove

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n / 2}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i-1}\right) \prod_{i=n / 2-r+1}^{n / 2}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i}\right)=\prod_{i=n-2 r+1}^{n}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n / 2-r}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i-1}\right)
$$

To prove this equality, note that when each side is multiplied by

$$
\left(1-1 / p^{2}\right)\left(1-1 / p^{4}\right) \cdots\left(1-1 / p^{n-2 r}\right),
$$

each side becomes $(1 / p)_{n}$.

## 4. Cokernels of random Symmetric $p$-Adic matrices

Let $A \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ be a random matrix chosen with respect to additive Haar measure on $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Let $r$ be the number of parts of $\lambda$. Theorem 2 of [4] shows that the probability that the cokernel of $A$ has type $\lambda$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{\mathrm{Sym}}(\lambda)=\frac{\prod_{j=n-r+1}^{n}\left(1-1 / p^{j}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{\lceil(n-r) / 2\rceil}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i-1}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)+|\lambda|} \prod_{i \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor m_{i}(\lambda) / 2\right\rfloor}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $P_{n}^{\text {Sym }}(\lambda)=0$ if $\lambda$ has more than $n$ parts. As in earlier sections, when $p$ is prime (12) has an interpretation in terms of finite abelian $p$-groups, but defines a distribution on partitions for any $p>1$. This follows directly from Theorem 4.1 below.

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives a distribution on partitions where $\lambda$ is chosen with probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\infty}^{\mathrm{Sym}}(\lambda)=\frac{\prod_{i \text { odd }}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)+|\lambda|} \prod_{i \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor m_{i}(\lambda) / 2\right\rfloor}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distribution of (13) is studied in [24], where Wood shows that it arises as the distribution of $p$-parts of sandpile groups of large Erdős-Rényi random graphs. Combinatorial properties of this distribution are considered in [14], where it is shown that this distribution is a specialization of a two parameter family of distributions. It is unclear whether the distribution of (12) also sits within a larger family.

The following theorem allows one to generate partitions from the measure (12), and is a minor variation on Theorem 3.1 of [14].

Theorem 4.1. Starting with $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}=n$, define in succession $n \geq \lambda_{1}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{2}^{\prime} \geq \cdots$ according to the rule that if $\lambda_{l}^{\prime}=a$, then $\lambda_{l+1}^{\prime}=b$ with probability

$$
K(a, b)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{a}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right)}{p^{\binom{b+1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{b}\left(1-1 / p^{i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor(a-b) / 2\rfloor}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)} .
$$

Then the resulting partition with at most $n$ parts is distributed according to (12).

Proof. It is necessary to compute

$$
K\left(n, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right) K\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right) K\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right) \cdots
$$

There is a lot of cancelation, and (recalling that $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=r$ ), what is left is:

$$
\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-1 / p^{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor(n-r) / 2\rfloor}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)} \frac{1}{p^{n(\lambda)+|\lambda|} \prod_{i \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor m_{i}(\lambda) / 2\right\rfloor}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)}
$$

So to complete the proof, it is necessary to check that

$$
\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-1 / p^{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor(n-r) / 2\rfloor}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)}=\prod_{j=n-r+1}^{n}\left(1-1 / p^{j}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{\lceil(n-r) / 2\rceil}\left(1-1 / p^{2 i-1}\right) .
$$

This equation is easily verified by breaking it into cases based on whether $n-r$ is even or odd.

The following corollary is immediate.
Corollary 4.2. Let $\lambda$ be chosen from (12). Then the chance that $\lambda$ has $r \leq n$ parts is equal to

$$
\frac{\prod_{j=r+1}^{n}\left(1-1 / p^{j}\right)}{p^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor(n-r) / 2\rfloor}\left(1-1 / p^{2 j}\right)} .
$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, the sought probability is equal to $K(n, r)$.
Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this result recovers Theorem 2.2 of [14], which is also Corollary 9.4 of [24].

## 5. Computation of $H$-moments

We recall that $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of finite abelian $p$-groups and that a probability distribution $\nu$ on $\mathcal{L}$ gives a probability distribution on the set of partitions in an obvious way. Similarly, a measure on partitions gives a measure on $\mathcal{L}$, setting $\nu(G)=\nu(\lambda)$ when $G$ is a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\lambda$. When $G, H \in \mathcal{L}$ we write $|\operatorname{Sur}(G, H)|$ for the number of surjections from any representative of the isomorphism class $G$ to any representative of the isomorphism class $H$.

Let $\nu$ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{L}$. For $H \in \mathcal{L}$, the $H$-moment of $\nu$ is defined as

$$
\sum_{G \in \mathcal{L}} \nu(G)|\operatorname{Sur}(G, H)| .
$$

When $H$ is a finite abelian $p$-group of type $\mu$ this is

$$
\sum_{\lambda} \nu(\lambda)|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)| .
$$

The distribution $\nu$ gives a measure on partitions and we refer to this quantity as the $\mu$-moment of the measure. For an explanation of why these are called the moments of the distribution, see Section 3.3 of [4].

The Cohen-Lenstra distribution is the probability distribution on $\mathcal{L}$ for which a finite abelian group $G$ of type $\lambda$ is chosen with probability $P_{\infty, 1}(\lambda)$. One of the most striking properties of the Cohen-Lenstra distribution is that the $H$-moment of $P_{\infty, 1}$ is 1 for every $H$, or equivalently, for any finite abelian p-group $H$ of type $\mu$,

$$
\sum_{\lambda} P_{\infty, 1}(\lambda)|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|=1 .
$$

There is a nice algebraic explanation of this fact using the interpretation of $P_{\infty, 1}$ as a limit of the $P_{d, 1}$ distributions given by (11) (see for example [21]).

Lemma 8.2 of [10] shows that the Cohen-Lenstra distribution is determined by its moments.

Lemma 5.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime. If $\nu$ is any probability measure on $\mathcal{L}$ for which

$$
\sum_{G \in \mathcal{L}} \nu(G)|\operatorname{Sur}(G, H)|=1
$$

for any $H \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\nu=P_{\infty, 1}$.
Our next goal is to compute the moments for the measure $P_{d, u}$; see Theorem 5.3 below. Our method is new even in the case $P_{\infty, 1}$.

There has been much recent interest in studying moments of distributions related to the Cohen-Lenstra distribution, and showing that these moments determine a unique distribution [2, 24, 26]. At the end of this section, we add to this discussion by proving a version of Lemma 5.1 for the distribution $P_{d, u}$.

The following lemma counts the number of surjections from $G$ to $H$. Recall that $n_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is the number of subgroups of type $\mu$ of a finite abelian group of type $\lambda$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $G, H$ be finite abelian p-groups of types $\lambda$ and $\mu$ respectively. Then

$$
|\operatorname{Sur}(G, H)|=|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|=n_{\lambda}(\mu)|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| .
$$

For a proof, see page 28 of [27]. The main idea is that $|\operatorname{Sur}(G, H)|$ is the number of injective homomorphisms from $\widehat{H}$ to $\widehat{G}$, where these are the dual groups of $H$ and $G$, respectively. The image of such a homomorphism is a subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ of type $\mu$.

The distributions $P_{d, u}$ are defined for all $p>1$. It is not immediately clear what the $\mu$-moment of this distribution should mean when $p$ is not prime, since $|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|$ is defined in terms of surjective homomorphisms between finite abelian $p$-groups. In (2) we saw how to define $|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|$ in terms of the parts of the partition $\lambda$ and the parameter $p$, even in the case where $p$ is not prime. Similarly, Lemma 5.2 gives a way to define $|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|$ in terms of the parameter $p$ and the partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$ even when $p$ is not prime. We first define $|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)|$ using (2), and then note that $n_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is a polynomial in $p$ that we can evaluate for any $p>1$.

Theorem 5.3. The $\mu$-moment of the distribution $P_{d, u}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} & \text { if } r(\mu) \leq d \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Here, as above, $r(\mu)$ denotes the number of parts of $\mu$.

Proof. Clearly we can suppose that $r(\mu) \leq d$. By Lemma 5.2, the $\mu$-moment of the distribution $P_{d, u}$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{\lambda} P_{d, u}(\lambda)|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|=|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \sum_{\lambda} P_{d, u}(\lambda) n_{\lambda}(\mu) .
$$

Let $n_{\lambda}(\mu, \nu)$ be the number of subgroups $M$ of $G$ so that $M$ has type $\mu$ and $G / M$ has type $\nu$. This is a polynomial in $p$ (see Chapter II Section 4 of [19]). Then by Proposition [2.1, the $\mu$-moment becomes

$$
|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) \cdot \sum_{\lambda} \frac{P_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)}} \sum_{\nu} n_{\lambda}(\mu, \nu) .
$$

Reversing the order of summation, this becomes

$$
|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) \cdot \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{P_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)}} n_{\lambda}(\mu, \nu) .
$$

From Section 3.3 of [19], it follows that for any values of the $x$ variables,

$$
\sum_{\lambda} n_{\lambda}(\mu, \nu) \frac{P_{\lambda}\left(x ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\lambda)}}=\frac{P_{\mu}\left(x ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\mu)}} \frac{P_{\nu}\left(x ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\nu)}} .
$$

Specializing $x_{i}=u / p^{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, d$ and 0 otherwise, it follows that the $\mu$-moment of $P_{d, u}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) \cdot \sum_{\nu} \frac{P_{\mu}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\mu)}} \\
& \cdot \frac{P_{\nu}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\nu)}} \\
= & |\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \frac{P_{\mu}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\mu)}} \\
& \cdot \sum_{\nu} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right) \cdot \frac{P_{\nu}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\nu)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 2.1, this is equal to

$$
|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \frac{P_{\mu}\left(\frac{u}{p}, \frac{u}{p^{2}}, \cdots, \frac{u}{p^{d}}, 0, \cdots ; \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{n(\mu)}}
$$

By pages 181 and 213 of [19], this simplifies to

$$
\frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} .
$$

Remarks:

- The exact same argument proves the analogous result for the distribution $P_{\infty, u}$.
- Setting $d=\infty$ and $u=1 / p^{w}$ (with $w$ a positive integer) gives the distribution (4), and in this case Theorem 5.3 recovers Lemma 3.2 of [25].
- The argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.3 does not require that $p$ is prime.
We use Theorem 5.3 to determine the expected number of $p^{\ell}$-torsion elements of a finite abelian group $H$ drawn from $P_{d, u}$. Let $T_{\ell}$ be defined by

$$
T_{\ell}(H)=\left|H\left[p^{\ell}\right]\right|=\left|\left\{x \in H: p^{\ell} \cdot x=0\right\}\right| .
$$

The number of elements of $H$ of order exactly $p^{\ell}$ is $T_{\ell}(H)-T_{\ell-1}(H)$.
For a finite abelian $p$-group $H$, let $r_{p^{k}}(H)$ denote the $p^{k}$-rank of $H$, that is,

$$
r_{p^{k}}(H)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}}\left(p^{k-1} H / p^{k} H\right) .
$$

If $H$ is of type $\lambda$, then $r_{p^{k}}(H)=\lambda_{k}^{\prime}$, the number of parts of $\lambda$ of size at least $k$. The number of parts of $\lambda$ of size exactly $k$ is $\lambda_{k}^{\prime}-\lambda_{k+1}^{\prime}$. It is clear that

$$
T_{\ell}(H)=p^{r_{p}(H)+r_{p^{2}}(H)+\cdots+r_{p^{\ell}}(H)}=p^{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+\lambda_{\ell}^{\prime}} .
$$

Theorem 5.4. Let $p$ be a prime, $\ell$ be a positive integer, and $0<u<p$. The expected value of $T_{\ell}(H)$ for a finite abelian p-group $H$ drawn from $P_{d, u}$ is

$$
\left(u^{\ell}+u^{\ell-1}+\cdots+u\right)\left(1-p^{-d}\right)+1 .
$$

The expected value of $T_{\ell}(H)-T_{\ell-1}(H)$ is $u^{\ell}\left(1-p^{-d}\right)$.
Remarks:

- The exact same argument proves the analogous result for the distribution $P_{\infty, u}$.
- Taking $d=\infty, u=p^{-w}$ recovers a result of Delaunay, the first part of Corollary 3 of [9. Delaunay's result generalizes work of Cohen and Lenstra for $P_{\infty, 1}$ and $P_{\infty, 1 / p}$ [5].
- Theorem 5.3 can likely be used to compute moments of more complicated functions involving $T_{\ell}(H)$ giving results similar to those of Delaunay and Jouhet in [6]. We do not pursue this further here.

Lemma 5.5. Let $H$ be a finite abelian p-group of type $\lambda$ and let $\ell \geq 1$. Then

$$
\# \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}\right)=p^{r_{p^{\ell}}(H)+r_{p^{\ell-1}}(H)+\cdots+r_{p}(H)}=p^{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+\lambda_{\ell}^{\prime}}=T_{\ell}(H) .
$$

Proof. Suppose

$$
H \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{\lambda_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z} / p^{\lambda_{r_{p}(H)}} \mathbb{Z}
$$

and consider the particular generating set for $H$

$$
e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0), e_{2}=(0,1,0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_{r_{p}(H)}=(0, \ldots, 0,1) .
$$

Note that $e_{i}$ has order $p^{\lambda_{i}}$.

A homomorphism from $H$ to $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}$ is uniquely determined by the images of $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r_{p}(H)}$. When $\lambda_{i} \geq \ell$ there are $p^{\ell}$ choices for the image of $e_{i}$. If $1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq \ell$, there are $p^{\lambda_{i}}$ choices for the image of $e_{i}$. Therefore, the total number of homomorphisms is

$$
p^{\ell \lambda_{\ell}^{\prime}+(\ell-1)\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}^{\prime}-\lambda_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)+\cdots+1 \cdot\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}-\lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 5.4. We compute the expected value of

$$
\# \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}\right)-\# \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell-1} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

and apply Lemma 5.5 to complete the proof.
Let $H$ be a finite abelian $p$-group drawn from $P_{d, u}$. Every element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is either a surjection, or surjects onto a unique proper subgroup of $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}$. Every proper subgroup of $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}$ is contained in the unique proper subgroup of $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}$ that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell-1} \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore,

$$
\# \operatorname{Sur}\left(H, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}\right)=\# \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}\right)-\# \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell-1} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

Lemma 5.5implies $T_{\ell}(H)-T_{\ell-1}(H)=\# \operatorname{Sur}\left(H, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Applying Theorem 5.3, noting that $T_{0}(H)=1$ for any $H$, completes the proof.

We close this section by proving a version of Lemma 5.1 for the distribution $P_{d, u}$. The proof of Lemma 8.2 from [10] carries over almost exactly to this more general setting.

Theorem 5.6. Suppose that $p>1$ and $0<u<p$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(u / p)_{d}}=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-u / p^{i}\right)^{-1}<2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\nu$ is any probability measure on the set of partitions for which

$$
\sum_{\lambda} \nu(\lambda)|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|= \begin{cases}\frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} & \text { if } r(\mu) \leq d  \tag{15}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

then $\nu=P_{d, u}$.
Remarks:

- When $p$ is prime this result has an interpretation in terms of probability measures on $\mathcal{L}$.
- The exact same argument proves the analogous result for the distribution $P_{\infty, u}$.
- The expression on the left-hand side of (14) is decreasing in $p$ and in $u$. Setting $d=\infty, u=1$ and noting that this inequality holds for all $p \geq 3$ gives Lemma 5.1.
- Similarly, setting $d=\infty, u=1 / p^{w}$ (with $p$ prime and $w$ a positive integer) gives Proposition 2.3 of [26].
- Theorem 5.6 only applies when $1 /(u / p)_{d}<2$. Results of Wood imply that the moments determine the distribution in additional cases where $p$ is prime, for example when $p=2, d=\infty$, and $u=1$. See Theorem 3.1 in [25] and Theorem 8.3 in [24].

Proof. The assumption gives, for every $\mu$

$$
|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \nu(\mu)+\sum_{\lambda \neq \mu}|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)| \nu(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} & \text { if } r(\mu) \leq d  \tag{16}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since the second term in the left-hand side of (16) is non-negative, for $r(\mu)>$ $d$ we have $|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \nu(\mu)=0$, so $\nu(\mu)=0$.

Now suppose that $r(\mu) \leq d$. Our goal is to show that

$$
\nu(\mu)=\frac{u^{|\mu|}(u / p)_{d}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} .
$$

By Theorem 5.3, in the particular case $\nu=P_{d, u}$, (16) is equal to

$$
\frac{u^{|\mu|}(u / p)_{d}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}+\sum_{\substack{\lambda \neq \mu \\ r(\lambda) \leq d}} u^{|\lambda|}(u / p)_{d} \frac{|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\lambda)}}=\frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}
$$

This gives

$$
\sum_{\substack{\lambda \neq \mu \\ r(\lambda) \leq d}} u^{|\lambda|} \frac{|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|(1 / p)_{d-r(\lambda)}}=\frac{u^{|\mu|}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}\left(\frac{1}{(u / p)_{d}}-1\right)
$$

Let

$$
\beta=\frac{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}{u^{|\mu|}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \neq \mu \\ r(\lambda) \leq d}} u^{|\lambda|} \frac{|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|(1 / p)_{d-r(\lambda)}}=\frac{1}{(u / p)_{d}}-1
$$

It is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \nu(\mu)=u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} \frac{1}{\beta+1} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption, $|\beta|<1$, so we verify (17) by showing that $|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \nu(\mu)$ is bounded by the alternating partial sums of the series

$$
u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} \frac{1}{\beta+1}=u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}\left(1-\beta+\beta^{2}-\cdots\right)
$$

Equation (16) implies that

$$
|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \nu(\mu) \leq \frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}
$$

For any $\lambda$ with $r(\lambda) \leq d$ this gives

$$
\nu(\lambda) \leq \frac{u^{|\lambda|}(1 / p)_{d}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|(1 / p)_{d-r(\lambda)}} .
$$

Using this bound in (16) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \nu(\mu)= u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}-\sum_{\substack{\lambda \neq \mu \\
r(\lambda) \leq d}}|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)| \nu(\lambda) \\
& \geq u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}-\sum_{\substack{\lambda \neq \mu \\
r(\lambda) \leq d}} u^{|\lambda|} \frac{|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\lambda)}} \\
&=\frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}-\frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} \beta=\frac{u^{|\mu|}(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}(1-\beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for any $\lambda$ with $r(\lambda) \leq d$, this gives

$$
\nu(\lambda) \geq \frac{u^{|\lambda|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\lambda)}}(1-\beta) .
$$

Using this bound in (16) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \nu(\mu) & =u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}-\sum_{\substack{\lambda \neq \mu \\
r(\lambda) \leq d}}|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)| \nu(\lambda) \\
& \leq u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}-\sum_{\substack{\lambda \neq \mu \\
r(\lambda) \leq d}} u^{|\lambda|} \frac{|\operatorname{Sur}(\lambda, \mu)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\lambda)|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\lambda)}}(1-\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \nu(\mu) & \leq u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}-u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}} \beta(1-\beta) \\
& =u^{|\mu|} \frac{(1 / p)_{d}}{(1 / p)_{d-r(\mu)}}\left(1-\beta+\beta^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing in this way completes the proof.
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