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# Isolation and characterization of 10 polymorphic microsatellite markers from striped marlin, Tetrapturus audax 
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#### Abstract

We present the isolation and characterization of 10 microsatellite loci for striped marlin, Tetrapturus audax. Thirty individuals from each of four locations revealed that all loci were polymorphic with two to 31 alleles per locus. Observed levels of heterozygosity ranged from 0.3000 to 0.9667 . Significant deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were detected in two loci, TA105 in Hawaii and New Zealand and TA155 in Hawaii, and null alleles may be present in loci TA105 and TA155 in those locations, and in locus TA193 in Mexico. No significant linkage disequilibrium was detected in any pairwise-locus comparison.
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Striped marlin, Tetrapturus audax, an important recreational and commercial species, occupies tropical and temperate waters in the Pacific and Indian Oceans

[^0] E-mail: purcellc@usc.edu
(Nakamura 1985). While five microsatellite markers were developed for a different marlin species (Buonaccorsi \& Graves 2000), none has been developed specifically for striped marlin. Because striped marlin is known to exhibit subtle genetic differentiation within the Pacific (Graves \& McDowell 1994; McDowell \& Graves 2008),
Table 1 Characteristics of 10 polymorphic microsatellite loci in striped marlin (Tetrapturus audax)

| Locus | Repeat motif | Size (bp) | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | $T_{\text {A }}$ | Primer type | Primer <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | $\underset{(\mathrm{mM})}{\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}}$ | $N_{\text {A }}$ | Size range (bp) | Accession number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TA024 | (TGAG) ${ }_{13}$ | 221 | F: CTCTGTCTCTGTCCCTCCTTTTTCA-D3 label | 65 | Specific | 0.3 | 1.5 | 16 | 200-272 | FJ644628 |
|  |  |  | R: ACCCTTTTCACCCCCATACGC |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA105 | $(\text { CTAT })_{19}$ | 174 | F: AAGCTAAAATATCCAGCAGTCACAC-D3 label | 60 | ZipPrim | 0.7 | 1.5 | 21 | 178-290* | FJ644620 |
|  |  |  | R: TCTGAGTCCCTAAAACAAGGTATCTC |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA149 | $(\mathrm{GT})_{18}$ | 173 | F: ATTСТСССССТСТСССТGTAGCC-D4 label | 55 | ZipPrim | 0.7 | 1.5 | 4 | 199-205* | FJ644619 |
|  |  |  | R: ATCCACAACCCTCCTCCCAATGT |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA155 | $(\mathrm{GA})_{26}$ | 201 | F: GGTTTCCTATCACATCACCAAATGA-D2 label | 60 | ZipPrim | 0.7 | 3.5 | 6 | 205-233* | FJ644622 |
|  |  |  | R: AGAAGCACACAGCCAGAACG |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA157 | (GT) ${ }_{17}$ | 130 | F: ACCTGCGGACTTGAGGAGGAA-D4 label | 60 | Specific | 0.3 | 1.5 | 5 | 128-136 | FJ644626 |
|  |  |  | R: CTTCACCCGTCTAACACATCCAAC |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA162 | (GT) ${ }_{15}$ | 216 | F: TGACAAGGAAAGTGTTGACTGATGG-D3 label | 65 | Specific | 0.3 | 1.5 | 17 | 180-228 | FJ644624 |
|  |  |  | R: GGACGAGTGCGATTTGAGTTTATC |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA164 | $(\mathrm{CA})_{4}(\mathrm{CGCA})_{7}$ | 178 | F: GTCAGAAGAGGTGATGTTGACCAG-D2 label | 60 | Specific | 0.3 | 1.5 | 10 | 163-213 | FJ644623 |
|  |  |  | R: GCAGCGTTGTTTTATACCTAGTTTTC |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA193 | $(\mathrm{CTAT})_{22}(\mathrm{AT})_{3}(\mathrm{AG})_{13}$ | 220 | F: TGATATGAACTGCTTTAGCCAGCT-D3/D4 label | 60 | ZipPrim | 0.7 | 2.5 | 31 | 228-304* | FJ644621 |
|  |  |  | R: GAAGGAAAATTACAAAAACTGCGTTA |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA218 | (GATA) ${ }_{9}$ | 109 | F: TGGGATCTACCTGACCAGAATC-D2 label | 60 | ZipPrim | 0.7 | 2.5 | 2 | 130-134* | FJ644627 |
|  |  |  | R: TGAAGAGGGTAAAAAGGTTAAAGTG |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TA235 | $(\text { CTAT })_{4}(\mathrm{CATC})_{5} \mathrm{GTT}(\mathrm{CTAT})_{11}$ | 180 | F: AAGCCCTTTATTTTTCCTAAATT-D3 label | 55 | Specific | 0.3 | 2.5 | 17 | 176-226 | FJ644625 |
|  |  |  | R: GATGGTATTAGCACTGTGGAAAG |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

[^1]we developed 10 microsatellite markers from a T. audax library to further resolve population genetic structure in this species.

A microsatellite-enriched library was generated following the modified protocol of Hamilton et al. (1999). Total genomic DNA was isolated from frozen striped marlin heart tissue (DeWoody 2002). Twenty-five micrograms of genomic DNA was digested with HaeIII, RsaI and NheI, followed by incubation with Klenow polymerase to fill in overhangs left by NheI. The digest was dephosphorylated with shrimp alkaline phosphatase, and then double-stranded SNX linkers (Hamilton et al. 1999) were blunt-end ligated to the digest. The product was amplified with SNX primers using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and cleaned with a PCR purification column (Qiagen).

Hybridization with biotin-labelled oligonucleotides, (CATA) ${ }_{8},(\text { GATA })_{8},(\text { TCAG })_{8}$, was conducted using both the original linker ligation and PCR product. A total of 300 micrograms of streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Promega) were added to the hybridization and mixed for 30 min prior to stringency washing and cleaning with a PCR purification column, followed by a second round of PCR with the SNX oligonucleotide primers.

Enriched DNA was digested with NheI and ligated into pBS II SK(+) Bluescript plasmids cut with XbaI. These plasmids were used to transform XL2-Blue MRF' competent Escherichia coli cells, and the transformed cells were grown on LB ampicillin plates. Colonies containing inserts were amplified with universal M13 primers and sequenced on a Beckman-Coulter CEQ 8000. Primers (Operon Technologies) were developed for clones containing repetitive regions using the program Primer 3 (http:/ / frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/ primer3/primer3_www. cgi), and the repetitive regions were then screened for polymorphism.

Amplification conditions varied between microsatellite primer sets. Five microsatellites were amplified using specific fluorescent-labelled forward primers, while the remaining microsatellites were amplified with a modified unlabelled forward primer containing a $25-\mathrm{bp}$ zip-code tag (Chen et al. 2000). A second stage of amplification using fluorescent primers complimentary to the zip-code tags resulted in labelled fragments 25 bp larger than the expected amplicon size. Both sets of fluorescent primers were Beckman WellRED D2, D3 or D4 dyes. PCR was conducted on both a MJ Research PTC-200 DNA Engine and an Applied Biosystems GeneAmp PCR System 9700 with the following conditions: 15 ng template DNA, $0.3-$ $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ primers, $1.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$ (Table 1), 0.25 mm dNTPs, 10 mm Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 50 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{KCl}$ and 0.3 U of Taq DNA polymerase in $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total volume. Two cycling conditions were used; specifically labelled forward primers used the following conditions: initial denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $4 \mathrm{~min}, 35$ cycles of denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , primer annealing between 55 and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1) for 35 s , followed by extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s . After 35 cycles, a $5-\mathrm{min}$ final extension at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was used, followed by a hold at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Primers with zip-code tags followed these cycling conditions: initial denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $4 \mathrm{~min}, 22$ cycles at $92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 55-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1) for 35 s and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s . A second stage of cycling with 15 cycles at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s and $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s was included to amplify the zip-code tags, followed by a $5-\mathrm{min}$ extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a hold at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR product was run on a Beckman Coulter CEQ 8000 sequencer following the manufacturer's protocol for fragment analysis, and fragments were visually scored.

The 10 microsatellite loci were amplified in a total of 120 samples from four populations (Table 2). Microsatellite polymorphism ranged widely, containing from two

Table 2 For each locus, the number of individuals $(n)$ genotyped for each of the four locations, expected level of heterozygosity $\left(H_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$, observed level of heterozygosity $\left(H_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ and $F_{\mathrm{IS}}$-value

|  | Hawaii ( $n=30$ ) |  |  |  | Mexico ( $n=30$ ) |  |  |  | New Zealand ( $n=30$ ) |  |  |  | Southern California ( $n=30$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Locus | $n$ | $H_{\text {E }}$ | $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | $n$ | $H_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | $n$ | $H_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | $n$ | $H_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $F_{\text {IS }}$ |
| TA024 | 30 | 0.8622 | 0.8667 | 0.012 | 30 | 0.8628 | 0.9667 | -0.104 | 29 | 0.8888 | 0.8276 | 0.086 | 30 | 0.8878 | 0.8000 | 0.116 |
| TA105 | 30 | 0.8967 | 0.7000 | 0.235* | 30 | 0.9172 | 0.8667 | 0.072 | 26 | 0.9112 | 0.7308 | 0.217* | 25 | 0.8960 | 0.9200 | -0.006 |
| TA149 | 30 | 0.4750 | 0.4333 | 0.105 | 30 | 0.3750 | 0.5000 | -0.318 | 26 | 0.5399 | 0.5769 | -0.049 | 28 | 0.4011 | 0.3214 | 0.216 |
| TA155 | 30 | 0.5272 | 0.3000 | 0.445* | 30 | 0.5867 | 0.6667 | -0.120 | 27 | 0.4767 | 0.4815 | 0.009 | 26 | 0.3765 | 0.3077 | 0.202 |
| TA157 | 30 | 0.5706 | 0.7667 | -0.329 | 30 | 0.5194 | 0.4000 | 0.246 | 27 | 0.5384 | 0.6667 | -0.220 | 27 | 0.5343 | 0.4074 | 0.255 |
| TA162 | 30 | 0.8189 | 0.7000 | 0.162 | 30 | 0.7883 | 0.8000 | 0.002 | 29 | 0.8317 | 0.7931 | 0.064 | 29 | 0.7806 | 0.7586 | 0.046 |
| TA164 | 30 | 0.5183 | 0.4667 | 0.116 | 30 | 0.4506 | 0.4667 | -0.019 | 28 | 0.7213 | 0.7143 | 0.028 | 30 | 0.4367 | 0.4333 | 0.025 |
| TA193 | 30 | 0.9472 | 0.9333 | 0.032 | 30 | 0.9078 | 0.8000 | 0.135 | 28 | 0.9082 | 0.8214 | 0.113 | 25 | 0.9128 | 0.8800 | 0.056 |
| TA218 | 30 | 0.4994 | 0.5000 | 0.016 | 30 | 0.4994 | 0.4333 | 0.149 | 30 | 0.4861 | 0.7000 | -0.426 | 30 | 0.5000 | 0.6000 | -0.184 |
| TA235 | 30 | 0.8261 | 0.8333 | 0.008 | 30 | 0.7967 | 0.8333 | -0.029 | 29 | 0.8740 | 0.8621 | 0.031 | 30 | 0.8506 | 0.9333 | -0.081 |

[^2]to 31 alleles (Table 1). Expected and observed levels of heterozygosity were calculated in Genetix (Belkhir et al. 1996-2004). Observed levels of heterozygosity ranged from 0.3000 to 0.9667 (Table 2). Exact tests for deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, $F_{\text {IS }}$ and linkage disequilibrium were calculated in GENEPOP (1.2) (Raymond \& Rousset 1995). Significant deviations from HardyWeinberg equilibrium were detected in two loci, locus TA105 in individuals from Hawaii ( $F_{\text {IS }}=0.235$ ) and New Zealand ( $F_{\text {IS }}=0.217$ ), and locus TA155 in Hawaiian samples ( $F_{\text {IS }}=0.445$ ), which could indicate the presence of null alleles in these loci. micro-checker (van Oosterhout et al. 2004) revealed possible null alleles in locus TA105 in Hawaii and New Zealand, locus TA155 in Hawaii and locus TA193 in Mexico. None of the 45 pairwise-locus comparisons showed significant linkage disequilibrium after sequential Bonferroni correction.

The 10 microsatellite loci contain a sufficient amount of variation which is useful in evaluating population structure and gene flow in striped marlin, Tetrapturus audax.
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[^1]:    Size is the number of base pairs between the primers in the cloned allele. $T_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the PCR annealing temperature. The Beckman WellRED fluorescent label used for each locus is listed after the primer sequence (Note: only forward primers or forward-zip primers were labelled). Primer type describes if the locus was scored with specifically labelled fluorescent primers (Specific) or with modified unlabelled forward primer containing a 25 -bp zip-code tag (ZipPrim). Primer concentrations are listed for both primer types (Note: ZipPrim loci also used $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ fluorescently labelled zip-code tags per reaction), along with $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ concentrations for each locus. The number of alleles per locus ( $N_{\mathrm{A}}$ ), and the size ranges of those alleles are shown (*Inclusion of the 25-bp zip-code tag in the size range). GenBank Accession numbers are also listed for each locus.

[^2]:    Significant deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium are denoted by $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) and bold numbering.

