Inaugural Newsletter

The Joint Educational Project (JEP) is a service-learning program that connects USC faculty and students with community-based organizations in mutually beneficial relationships. At the core of this practice is the principle that, when effectively connected, service is more informed by theoretical and conceptual understandings, and learning is more informed by "real world" applications.

This inaugural newsletter is aimed at USC faculty and has three primary purposes: 1) to (re)introduce faculty to JEP, specifically its mission, goals and current projects; 2) to provide information about the logistics of participating in JEP; and 3) to help faculty assess whether service-learning would be an appropriate and beneficial component of their course(s).

Pages 2-3 of the newsletter provide all of the information faculty need to know to partner with JEP, from the semester calendar and types of service-learning assignments available, to the requirements for participation and evaluation.

Page 4 details the role faculty can play within a JEP partnership and provides questions faculty can ask themselves to assess whether a service-learning assignment would help them meet the objectives of their course.

Page 5 describes the role of reflection in structuring a successful service-learning experience and provides an example set of "Reflective Questions" used to guide students' community experiences.

Pages 6-8 and 11 offer a sampling of the diverse array of innovative projects at JEP, including descriptions of unique course-community partnerships, on-campus collaborations, and the preliminary findings of some of the research projects currently underway.

Page 10 is a survey about faculty involvement in service-learning and other community-based learning activities. Please take the time to fill out this survey and return it to us in campus mail (or complete our online version).

USC’s new “Plan for Increasing Academic Excellence” (see box) has spurred interest in the value of service-learning and we hope this newsletter is a resource for exploring ways to incorporate this pedagogy into your teaching. JEP can provide a range of technical and logistical assistance to faculty members who want to incorporate service-learning into their courses. We can ease the heavy administrative burden that accompanies high quality community-based work. But even if JEP does not directly assist with the management of a service-learning course, we aim to be a resource for those who seek to incorporate community-based experiences into their teaching and research.

This newsletter should answer many of your questions about service-learning and JEP, but if you would like to learn more, please feel free to contact us using the information provided on the back page of this newsletter — or stop by the JEP House for a tour.

Inaugural Newsletter

“A growing body of research indicates that service-learning courses, when designed and delivered in accordance with good practices, have measurable benefits, including the opportunities to (a) explore the applied implications of theory and scholarship in a range of disciplines and (b) derive fundamental hypotheses and insights from applied experience. We will ask that every school and department incorporate one or more appropriately designed service-learning courses into its undergraduate curriculum. The goal is to expand the opportunities for every undergraduate student to enroll in service-learning courses preferably related to her major or her minor(s), before graduation.”

August JEP Program Assistants do brief, in-class presentations to describe the program to students

September JEP staff members train JEP students and coordinate their service-learning assignments

JEP begins the sixth week of the semester*

October Students submit weekly journals throughout their service-learning assignments

Students attend mid-semester discussion sections ("Second Training")

November JEP service-learning assignments end after eight weeks*

December Evaluations delivered to participating faculty

* The length of service-learning assignments varies some by course and type of assignment. This calendar reflects the schedule for most school-based JEP assignments. Please see "Advanced JEP" (page 3) for information about other scheduling options.

Planning for JEP—Fall 2005 Calendar

**Spring/Summer**

- "Planning with Prof" process. Talk with JEP staff about your intentions for the fall semester. Planning far in advance helps us make staffing decisions and gives us time to make any special arrangements necessary for your course.

**August**

- JEP Program Assistants do brief, in-class presentations to describe the program to students

**September**

- JEP staff members train JEP students and coordinate their service-learning assignments
- JEP begins the sixth week of the semester*

**October**

- Students submit weekly journals throughout their service-learning assignments

- Students attend mid-semester discussion sections ("Second Training")

**November**

- JEP service-learning assignments end after eight weeks*

**December**

- Evaluations delivered to participating faculty

JEP Courses Fall 2004-Spring 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&quot;Mini Courses&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;Individual Assignments&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;Advanced&quot; JEP and special projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANTH 200</td>
<td>FREN 352</td>
<td>EDCO 102 PSYC 336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTH 300</td>
<td>GEOG 100</td>
<td>EDPT 310 PSYC 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTH 315</td>
<td>GEOG 255</td>
<td>GEOG 100 SOCI 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTH 316</td>
<td>GEOG 257</td>
<td>LING 115 SOCI 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BISC 102</td>
<td>GEOG 345</td>
<td>LING 411 SOCI 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BISC 150</td>
<td>GEOL 105</td>
<td>MATH 108 SOCI 305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAS 150</td>
<td>GEOL 107</td>
<td>MATH 116 SOCI 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAS 151</td>
<td>GEOL 108</td>
<td>MATH 117 SOCI 342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAS 280</td>
<td>GEOL 125</td>
<td>MATH 118 SOCI 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASC 150</td>
<td>GEOL 240</td>
<td>MATH 125 SPAN 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENST 100</td>
<td>HIST 102</td>
<td>MATH 200 SWMS 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXSC 200</td>
<td>HIST 200</td>
<td>MATH 226 SWMS 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXSC 202</td>
<td>HIST 312</td>
<td>MATH 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXSC 203</td>
<td>PHYS 152</td>
<td>MATH 458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXSC 205</td>
<td>POSC 130</td>
<td>PHIL 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREN 250</td>
<td>POSC 320</td>
<td>PHIL 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREN 300</td>
<td>POSC 439</td>
<td>PPD 372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREN 330</td>
<td>POSC 439</td>
<td>PSYC 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ANTH 315 POSC 335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EDUC 205 POSC 424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EDHP 588 PPD 240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 100 PSYC 361*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 101 SOCI 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 210 SOCI 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 307 SOCI 351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 310 SOCI 369*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 315 SOCI 432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 318 SOCI 475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 326 SOWK 305*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 330 SOWK 400*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 343 SPAN 316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 383 SWMS 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 443 SWMS 499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IR 499 THV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LAW 622 URB 375*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PAS 371 URB 475*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* JEP required of all students (Fall semester only for PSYC 361)
Service-learning Assignments at JEP

**Mentors, Tutors & Teaching Assistants**

**Mentors and Tutors** provide academic assistance and friendship to one or two children over the course of the semester. These assignments provide JEP students with insight into the perspectives and experiences of the child(ren) with whom they are working. Mentor placements work best when the learning objective for the USC course is for students to develop a deeper understanding of the lived experience of a particular phenomenon (e.g., child development, social inequality, gender identity). Most mentors are placed from social science courses.

**Teaching Assistants (TAs)** help children in nearby schools with various tasks in small-group activities. This type of assignment is the least clearly defined from the outset, as school teachers make requests for TAs in order to maximize flexibility. Thus, these assignments work best when a wide variety of experiences would contribute to the learning objectives of the course. TAs are more likely than others to have the opportunity to observe groups of children, which is helpful for observing the rules of small group behavior, social psychology, etc.

---

**Mini Courses**

"**Mini-Course**" teams are comprised of 3-5 students who work together to develop and teach a series of lessons in K-12 classrooms using material from a university course or, in some cases, multiple courses. (See, for example, the description of interdisciplinary French and History mini course teams on page 7.) JEP students gain a more thorough understanding of classical mythology, the principles of nutrition, earthquakes, and the origins of humanity, for example, when they learn how to convey complex material in a way that school-aged children can understand. These assignments are most appropriate for courses in the natural sciences and the humanities, or courses in which learning through service comes primarily through the act of teaching.

---

**“Advanced JEP” and Special Projects**

The majority of JEP students are placed in schools as mentors, teaching assistants or “mini course” teachers. Increasingly, however, USC faculty and our community partners are asking JEP to help them develop special projects that involve activities other than mentoring or teaching and which directly relate to course objectives. In recent semesters, JEP has developed a number of innovative partnerships. For example, we regularly place students from a family sociology course at a shelter for battered women and their children. Last fall, we coordinated a polling project for students in POSC 335: Political Parties, Campaigns, and Elections. “Advanced JEP” projects vary widely and often take some time to develop, so please let us know well in advance if you would like to develop such a project for your course.

---

**“Not only did I feel my service-learning work benefited the community, but I also realized how unaware I was towards my surroundings until now.”**

—Spanish 220 mentor, Fall ’04

**“I learned so much at the women’s shelter; you learn so much information about our city from doing this project.”**

—PSYC 361 student, Fall ’04

**“It made me very knowledgeable about the subject. It forces you to not only know the material, but to manipulate the information into interesting activities.”**

—ANTH 200 “mini course” student, Fall ‘04
The Role of Faculty in JEP

JEP and Faculty Responsibilities

JEP student evaluations consistently show that the more faculty participate in JEP, the more their students learn from their in- and out-of-classroom experiences. To participate in JEP, faculty must, at a minimum, provide JEP staff with a current syllabus, determine the amount of credit they will give for the JEP assignment, and consider the JEP evaluation in determining their students' final course grades. In addition to these basic roles, faculty can assist in developing community-based projects and may write, review and/or grade students' reflective assignments. Ideally, professors will also incorporate information about the community and students' JEP experiences into course lectures, discussions and assignments to help students connect course content to their service experience. To complement the faculty role, JEP can provide any or all of the following services:

- Provide suggestions or feedback on ways to incorporate service-learning into a course or curriculum
- Coordinate site placements and training
- Develop “Reflective Questions” and other assignments and grade students' weekly responses
- Act as a liaison between faculty, students and community sites
- Evaluate students’ academic and service work

THE "LEARNING" IN SERVICE-LEARNING

To achieve the positive learning outcomes associated with service-learning, faculty members must intentionally incorporate service into the course and be aware of how the students’ community experiences will further the desired learning outcomes. To provide a framework for implementing service-learning into the curriculum, Barbara Jacoby, a well-known service-learning author, suggests that faculty should give the same consideration to students’ community service assignments that they do to the selection of texts and other coursework. She explains:

It can be useful to think of service as a “text” for the course. There are many reasons this comparison is useful. First, it suggests that service is equal to written work in its learning potential. Second, it implies that faculty must decide what “texts,” a.k.a. sites, are appropriate for the course and whether they are required or optional. Third, service as “text” also indicates that it is necessary to provide structured opportunities for students to read, analyze, and discuss the “text.” Finally, faculty must evaluate how well students have learned from the “text” (Jacoby, 2003).

ADAPTING EXISTING OR DEVELOPING NEW SERVICE-LEARNING ASSIGNMENTS

Tailoring service-learning assignments moves us closer to our goal of making students’ experiences in the community as informative as the lectures and readings assigned for the course. Whether faculty have new ideas for service-learning assignments or would like to adapt current assignments to best fit their course goals, we are more than willing to consider new proposals.

Consult with us about the placements and course design that will best fit your goals and we will do our best to accommodate your special requests. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to place your students through our program. However, even if JEP does not manage the entire project, we are happy to consult with you and should be able to provide some level of assistance in most cases.

To help you assess the appropriateness of including a service-learning assignment in your course, consider the following questions:

- How will the community service project enhance the academic learning of your students? How does the project complement the subject matter of your course?
- Will the service provide assistance that is of value to the community, or will it create more work for the site staff to accommodate your students?
- Will the commitment to the site/project extend beyond the current semester?
- Will service-learning be a requirement or an option for the course?
### SERVICE-LEARNING AND “REFLECTION”

Structuring and guiding the reflective process for students encourages them to learn particular lessons from their community experiences and to develop deeper, more critical, perspectives about the world around them. JEP requires all students in “mini course” placements to turn in weekly lesson plans and journals that reflect on the process of team-teaching college-level material to K-12 students. For “individual” and “advanced” JEP placements, students must respond to “reflective questions” or other writing assignments that ask them to demonstrate their comprehension of course material by applying relevant theories and concepts to their experiences in the community. (See pp. 2-3 for a description of each type of JEP placement and for a list of JEP courses by placement type.) These reflective assignments are developed by JEP staff, ideally in close collaboration with course instructors. Unless other arrangements are made, students’ journals and lesson plans are graded by trained JEP Program Assistants (PAs) based on a previously agreed-upon rubric. See below for a sample set of “Reflective Questions,” developed for JEP students in PHIL 141g: The Professions and the Public Interest in American Life. (Additional examples of JEP Reflective Questions are available online at http://www.usc.edu/dept/LAS/jep/jep/partstu.htm.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JEP REFLECTIVE QUESTIONS — PHILOSOPHY 141g — PROFESSOR DALLAS WILLARD — FALL 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK ONE (September 27-October 1) — First Impressions:</strong> Describe your initial experience at your JEP assignment. Include a detailed description of the responsibilities and activities involved in your particular assignment. What are your most vivid impressions of the site? How do your experiences during this first week compare to your expectations prior to beginning your assignment?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK TWO (October 4-8) — The “Professions” at the Site:</strong> Describe the social context of your JEP site. Try to be as specific as possible about the various roles played by people at your site (e.g., teacher, student, administrator, janitor, social worker, child care provider, counselor, etc.). Which of these roles would you describe as “professional” and what makes them so? (Please note: the Bayless essay on “The Professions” in Callahan, pp. 27-30, may be helpful in answering this question.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK THREE (October 11-15) — The Ethics of Service-learning Assignments:</strong> Your professor is offering extra credit for providing community service. Consider the potential ethical and moral value of this practice. Can you think of any ethical arguments against asking students to do community service for credit and/or any circumstances in which this practice might be unethical?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK FOUR (October 18-22) — Ethical Dilemmas:</strong> Have you observed any ethical dilemmas while working at your site? If so, please describe the circumstances and how they were addressed (if at all). If not, what might you imagine are some of the key ethical issues faced by the professional staff at your site? Discuss the moral considerations relevant to these issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK FIVE (October 25-29) — Professional Ethics:</strong> Go to the National Education Association’s website and read the organization’s code of ethics: <a href="http://www.nea.org/code.html">http://www.nea.org/code.html</a>. What are the core ethical values and principles expressed by the code? Have you observed any of these principles (or any violations of these principles) in practice in the classroom in which you are working? Assess the NEA’s code in light of some of the criticisms of professional codes you’ve read about in class (e.g., Kultgen and Bowie). Do you think the code does a good job of providing educators with guidance in resolving ethical dilemmas that arise in their professional lives? Why or why not?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK SIX (November 1-5) — “Disabling Profession”:</strong> Briefly explain Illich’s concept of the “disabling profession.” Apply this concept to your experiences at your site. Do you think the education profession is a “disabling profession?” Why or why not? Provide concrete examples from your JEP experiences to defend your point of view.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK SEVEN (November 8-12) — Professional Politics:</strong> The set of readings in Chapter Nine of Ethical Issues in Professional Life addresses the question of “whether professionals should (or, indeed, must) use their professional positions to try to influence the political process” (p. 301). In your opinion, should teachers lobby Congress and other policy-making bodies to institute changes in the educational system? If so, based on your experiences working in a school setting, what issues do you think they should focus on and why? If not, what do you see as the primary ethical problems with this practice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK EIGHT (November 15-19) — Final Reflections on the Professions &amp; the Public Interest in American Life:</strong> Think back over the last eight weeks. How have your experiences in the community helped you learn about the education profession? What have you learned about the U.S. educational system, ethical issues, the public interest, and/or about yourself that surprised or challenged you? How has JEP supplemented your classroom experiences? Highlight a noteworthy experience to illustrate your response.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Last year, JEP received a “Jumpstart” grant from the USC Center for Scholarly Technology (CST) to create an electronic journal system for JEP students during the 2004-05 academic year. The system, which was piloted in several JEP courses and is part of a larger initiative to develop a university-wide platform for learning journals, allows students to post weekly reflective work on-line and receive electronic feedback from the JEP Program Assistants (PAs).

The system offers several benefits to JEP students while addressing some of the challenges we face as an academic program with limited opportunities to meet regularly with students:

Students get immediate feedback on their journals. Currently, most JEP students turn in hard copies of journals at the JEP House. The Program Assistants read and make comments and the students pick up the graded journals when they turn in the following week’s assignment. Thus, by the time the student is able to read and respond to the PA’s feedback, two weeks have passed. The e-journal system allows students to receive immediate feedback and facilitates dialogue between the student and PA. Immediate feedback is often critical, especially in a short eight-week program, to help students develop more analytical ways of thinking about their community experiences.

The online system makes it easier for faculty and teaching assistants to access their students’ work in JEP. Last fall, Amy Fiske, the instructor for Introduction to Clinical Psychology (PSYC 361), alternated the grading of her students’ JEP e-journals with the JEP Program Assistant, although each had access to all of the students’ journals. Thus, the Program Assistants read and make comments and the students pick up the graded journals when they turn in the following week’s assignment. Thus, by the time the student is able to read and respond to the PA’s feedback, two weeks have passed. The e-journal system allows students to receive immediate feedback and facilitates dialogue between the student and PA. Immediate feedback is often critical, especially in a short eight-week program, to help students develop more analytical ways of thinking about their community experiences.

The system allows for better assessment of learning outcomes. The e-journals are archived in a database, allowing students and JEP staff to review students’ progress over the course of the semester as well as offering JEP the potential to track groups of students over several semesters. The archive is also searchable, allowing for more sophisticated analyses of all students’ learning processes.

JEP is currently reviewing the pilot e-journal project and will be working this summer with CST and USC’s Information Services Division to determine the future of e-journals at JEP. If you would like more information about the system, or if you are interested in having your JEP students’ journals submitted on-line, please contact Susan Harris (213-740-1830, scharris@usc.edu).
On Thursday, March 24th, 2005, the JEP Trojan Health Volunteers (THV) hosted a guest speaker for student-volunteers in the program. Dr. Nupur Kumar, a USC alumna and physician at the nearby St. John’s Free Clinic, spoke to approximately 50 pre-med students about the importance of looking at medicine from a patient-care perspective, rather than as a customer-based or profit-driven field. She also answered many questions posed by students about the process of applying for medical school, life as a medical student and resident, and various aspects of being a doctor. Dr. Kumar has worked with many THV volunteers over the years and is regarded by many as a “great doctor.” Her talk reinforced one of THV’s primary missions: to help prepare students to become ethical and responsible physicians. The catered event also served to recognize the THV volunteers for their hard work and many hours of hospital service.

THV is a student-run program at JEP that offers pre-health students the opportunity to volunteer in a variety of hospital and clinical settings. Students gain hands-on experience in the 10-week, 40-hour program, while learning from biweekly journals and discussions about the ethical and practical dimensions of their experiences in the field.

Public Art Studies at Pio Pico Span School

With the help of USC’s Public Art Studies (PAS) program and JEP, Pio Pico Span School is undergoing an artistic renovation. Warm and Fuzzy — a design collaborative founded by Jeannie Olander, a graduate student in Public Art Studies and Landscape Architecture, and Joanna Hankamer, also a graduate student in Landscape Architecture — is working with Zipporah Lax, Assistant Director of the USC Public Art Studies program, architect Bianca Siegl, and administrators at Pio Pico Span School to infuse the school with a new aesthetic appeal. JEP is collaborating with the project by bringing undergraduate students to Pio Pico to teach children about public art and help them create their own works of art that will eventually be installed in an outdoor classroom space. Tariku Shiferaw and Malinda Blank — two students in PAS 371, taught by Zipporah Lax and Caryl Levy — worked with an 8th grade art class to create and decorate concrete tiles with designs that reflect the students’ interests and identities (see photograph). Two other students from the course, Kate Balug and Peggy Pabustan, worked with a 5th grade class to paint ceramic tiles with designs that reflect the school’s rich history. The PAS 371 students enjoyed having the opportunity to take part in a public art project and learned more about public art in the process. Malinda Blank describes what she learned through her participation: “I was expected to teach students who knew little or nothing about public art, not only what it is but how to create it. It forced me to examine my own knowledge of the material and come up with an age-appropriate way to present it.” Before the tiles are permanently installed in the outdoor classroom space at Pio Pico Span School, the tiles will be featured in an exhibition at USC’s Hillel Gallery in May.
JEP and the Marshall School of Business conducted a survey of nearly 800 USC students prior to the Presidential election in 2004. Preliminary findings of the study, which is designed to assess how USC’s efforts to encourage service-learning and community involvement may influence student political attitudes, appear to challenge the common perception that college students are apathetic about politics.

Of the JEP students surveyed (N=175), only 4% said they cared “not very much” about the Presidential election and more than 60% said they cared “a great deal” about who won. The JEP students polled were a relatively liberal bunch: 46% identified as Democrats, compared to 25% as Republicans and 14% as Independents. Of those who indicated they were eligible to vote (self-reported, linked to age and citizenship data), 92% said they were registered to vote and 85% intended to vote in the upcoming election.

These findings are consistent with other analyses of anticipated voter turnout among college students. For example, a nationwide survey of more than 1200 students conducted in October 2004 by the Harvard Institute of Politics found that 84% of students said they would “definitely be voting” in the November election, up from 50% of students surveyed in April 2004 (http://www.iop.harvard.edu/pdfs/survey/fall_2004.pdf). In fact, voter turnout among 18-24 year-olds was up nearly 6% from the 2000 election and more than three-quarters of all college students actually voted (http://www.aacu-edu.org/aacu_news/AACUNews04/December04/facts_figures_print.cfm).

Despite their interest in the Presidential election, a preliminary analysis of the 2004 USC data suggests that JEP students are relatively cynical and uninformed about government and the political process. For example, 29% of students strongly agree with the statement, “Lawmakers only listen to people with money,” and another quarter strongly disagree that “Americans care about each other’s problems.” This may not be altogether discouraging, however. Previous research using the cynicism scale (Lee, 1999) revealed that college students may express profoundly cynical beliefs, yet still feel compelled to vote, to stay informed about national political debates, or to take action on a local issue of importance to them. How does political cynicism coexist with a spirit of civic commitment in some students but not in others? Might political cynicism actually serve as a catalyst for some kinds of civic engagement? These are some of the questions the current study seeks to address.

The 1999 study by Lee operationalized the abstraction of “political cynicism,” measured it among college students, and examined its antecedents and behavioral correlates. Political cynicism was defined as an enduring negative attitude about one or more of the following:

- The power of the individual citizen to influence government, encompassing attitudes about voting and keeping up with politics;
- The power of the community to influence government, especially through local activism;
- The power of elected officials to influence government through effective legislation and other policies.

Analysis of responses to the 12-item cynicism scale are expected to support the notion that cynicism is not necessarily a proxy for disengagement or lack of knowledge about political affairs. In fact, students who score as “highly cynical” may fall into two distinct groups: those who are very involved in and informed about political issues, and those who conform more to assumptions about “apathetic” young people.

More Civic Education Needed

The 2004 Marshall-JEP political attitudes survey (see “Survey,” above) included a “quiz” that asked students to identify the offices associated with five well-known public officials: Condoleezza Rice, Colin Powell, William Rehnquist, Tom Ridge and Barbara Boxer. Were this a real quiz, the JEP students would have failed miserably. Only 6% of JEP students were able to correctly identify the roles of all five officials as of October 2004, while more than 25% of students were unable to correctly identify the position of even one official (median score = 2).

Apparently aware of their short-comings, 58% of the JEP students surveyed said they needed “more information in order to form opinions about national political issues.” These preliminary findings suggest that we, as educators, have a long way to go in helping students get where they need to be in order to make informed political decisions.
As noted in the feature on p. 11, the USC Community-based Learning Collaborative (CBLC) seeks to document and archive the wide range of community-based learning activities on campus. JEP is working with the CBLC to survey faculty about teaching and research projects involving the community and the organizations with which they work. We are also interested in hearing from faculty who currently do not use, but are interested in, such approaches. We’d like to learn about the obstacles and challenges faculty face in doing this work to determine what is needed to better support faculty.

Please take a few minutes to fill out the survey on this page, detach it, and drop it in campus mail. Or, if you prefer, you may visit JEP’s website and take an online version of the survey: http://www.usc.edu/jep. (Click on the link for “Community-based Learning Survey for Faculty.) PLEASE RESPOND BY APRIL 29th. Thank you!

1. Name: ____________________________________________
2. Department: _______________________________________
3. Email: ____________________________________________
4. Campus extension: __________________________________
5. Does your department require an internship for majors or minors?  ____ Yes  ____ No
6. Does your department offer a capstone course or another type of integrative seminar for majors or minors?  ____ Yes  ____ No
7. Do you engage in applied research, participatory action research and/or another kind of community-based research that involves working directly with community members or organizations?  ____ Yes  ____ No
8. Do you use service-learning, experiential learning, or other pedagogical approaches that involve students in community-based learning projects?  ____ Yes  ____ No
9. Regardless of whether or not you currently use community-based learning (CBL) in your research and teaching, what do you see as the major obstacles or challenges to using such approaches? Please check all that apply:
   ____ No interest in CBL
   ____ Lack of familiarity with CBL approaches
   ____ Lack of time to coordinate the logistics of CBL projects
   ____ Lack of institutional support for CBL
   ____ Concerns about academic rigor
   ____ Concerns about how to evaluate CBL work
   ____ Difficulty integrating in- and out-of-classroom learning
   ____ Lack of control over learning outcomes
   ____ Transportation problems for students
   ____ Student resistance to CBL
   ____ Concerns about safety
   ____ Other: ____________________________________________
10. Have you ever worked with any of the following organizations for academic or scholarly purposes (e.g., for a service-learning course, internship program, research project, professional development, etc.)? Please check all that apply:
    ____ Asian Pacific American Student Services
    ____ Career Planning and Placement Center
    ____ Center for Black Cultural and Student Affairs
    ____ Center for Religion and Civic Culture
    ____ El Centro Chicano
    ____ Office of Civic and Community Relations (CCR)
    ____ Center for Excellence in Teaching
    ____ USC External Relations
    ____ Joint Educational Project (JEP)
    ____ Lesbian, Gay, Bi, Transgender Center
    ____ Office of Religious Life
    ____ Sustainable Cities Program
    ____ Volunteer Center
    ____ Center for Women and Men
    ____ Other: ____________________________________________
11. Is there a staff or faculty member in your department who helps to coordinate CBL projects?  ____ Yes: (name: ____________________)  ____ No
12. Please describe your community-based teaching or research projects. Include the title and a brief description of the course(s) or research project(s) and the community-based organization(s) with which you work(ed). (Please continue on back or insert additional pages, if necessary.)
13. How could JEP and/or the CBLC help support you in your community-based teaching and research activities?
14. May we include information about your CBL projects in the Community-based Learning Collaborative’s database and website?  ____ Yes  ____ No
15. May we contact you for further information regarding this survey?  ____ Yes  ____ No
Please detach, fold and drop in campus mail

Community-based Learning Survey

JEP House
801 W. 34th Street
MC 0471
Attention: Susan Harris
Pilot Evaluation Project Underway

As USC moves toward a “learner-centered” approach to education, JEP is stepping up efforts to understand what and how students are learning from their experiences in the community. We currently are conducting a pilot study to assess changes over the semester in JEP students’ perceptions of race and ethnicity, civic responsibility and career plans. In addition to surveying JEP students enrolled in GEOl, EXSC, PHIL, PSYC and SOCI courses, we will be comparing the academic outcomes of JEP students and non-JEP students in two specific courses: Developmental Psychology (PSYC 336L) and Social Problems (SOCl 150gm). Our pre-test reveals a few interesting differences between the JEP and non-JEP students in these two courses:

- **Year in School:** JEP students are significantly younger than non-JEP students enrolled in the same course (p < .05)
- **Religion:** JEP students are significantly more likely to be Christian (Protestant or Catholic) than non-JEP students, and non-JEP students are significantly more likely than JEP students to report not having a religion (p < .05)
- **Social Class:** Non-JEP students have a significantly higher (self-reported) family socioeconomic status than JEP students (p < .05)
- **Civic Responsibility:** JEP students had significantly higher scores on a scale of civic responsibility than non-JEP students (p < .001)

We will report our post-test findings in the next JEP newsletter.

Open Graduate Student Positions at JEP

Please let the graduate students in your department know about the following part-time positions available at JEP during the 2005-2006 academic school year:

**Service-learning Liaison:** The Service-learning Liaison works with JEP staff, USC faculty (primarily in the social sciences), and community-based organizations to develop service-learning assignments that help students connect what they are learning in the classroom with what they are learning in the community.

**Research Assistant:** JEP is looking for a graduate student with quantitative research skills to work with JEP’s Director of Academic Development to research and evaluate the learning outcomes of JEP’s 2500 service-learning students.

**Psychology Service-learning Consultant:** The Psychology Service-learning Consultant works with JEP staff and faculty in the Psychology Department to develop reflective assignments that help psychology students connect what they are learning in the classroom with what they are learning in the community through JEP.

**Salvatori Community Scholar:** The 2005-06 Salvatori Community Scholar(s) will work with JEP staff, USC faculty, and one or more community organizations to develop mutually beneficial service-learning projects. Projects are to be jointly determined by the needs of the organization, the academic goals of the service-learning course, and the abilities and interests of the Scholar, and might include participatory action research or direct service activities. All projects must involve undergraduate students in work that builds their knowledge and skills while supporting the agency in achieving its mission. Ideally, the service-learning projects will closely correspond to the scholarly activities of the Salvatori Community Scholar.

Applications and additional information about these positions are available on JEP’s website: [www.usc.edu/jep](http://www.usc.edu/jep). The deadline for submission is Friday April 29, 2005.

The Community-based Learning Collaborative

JEP is a core member of the USC Community-based Learning Collaborative (CBLC), a campus-wide initiative whose mission is to promote public service as an integral part of education and to foster reciprocal relationships between the university and the neighboring community. Specifically, the CBLC seeks to:

- Increase awareness among students, faculty and community members about service-learning and other volunteer opportunities
- Develop and maintain a centralized source of information about community-based learning projects on campus
- Facilitate the development of new, innovative and interdisciplinary community-based learning projects for students and faculty
- Connect on- and off-campus resources in mutually beneficial partnerships
- Document and archive in a searchable database the wide range of community-based learning activities on campus (please see “CBLC survey”)

If you are interested in learning more about the CBLC, or if you would like to attend CBLC events, please contact Tammy Anderson (213-740-1824, tanderso@usc.edu) or Susan Harris (213-740-1830, scharris@usc.edu).
JEP Full-time and Senior Student Staff, 2004-05

Archana Agarwal, Political Science Consultant
Tammara Anderson, Executive Director
Ashley Borders, Psychology Consultant and Research Assistant
Ilene Cooper, Science Specialist
Johann Diel, Social Work Intern
Cristina Garcia, Math Advocate
Susan Harris, Director of Academic Development
Cassady Hastings, Service-learning Liaison
Tina Koneazny, Readers Plus Program Director
Vince Lazalde, Program Assistant Coordinator
Jacqueline Mitchell, Office Manager
Ashley Oaks, Placement Coordinator
Brenda Pesante, Coordinator of Community Programs
James Thing, Salvatori Community Scholar
Jacqueline Von Bergen, Coordinator of Special Projects
Alice White, Service-learning Liaison
Jameson Yu, Office Technology Coordinator