

# Algebraic vs. topological vector bundles

joint with Jean Fasel and Mike Hopkins

Aravind Asok (USC)

October 27, 2020

# Notation and the basic question

# Notation and the basic question

Throughout:  $k$  a commutative ring, usually a field, frequently  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$X$  is a smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety (Zariski top);  $\text{Sm}_k$  - category of such objects

# Notation and the basic question

Throughout:  $k$  a commutative ring, usually a field, frequently  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$X$  is a smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety (Zariski top);  $\text{Sm}_k$  - category of such objects

if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X^{an}$  is  $X(\mathbb{C})$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -manifold (usual top);

# Notation and the basic question

Throughout:  $k$  a commutative ring, usually a field, frequently  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$X$  is a smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety (Zariski top);  $\text{Sm}_k$  - category of such objects

if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X^{an}$  is  $X(\mathbb{C})$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -manifold (usual top);

$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$  -  $\cong$ -classes of *algebraic* vb of rank  $r$ ;

# Notation and the basic question

Throughout:  $k$  a commutative ring, usually a field, frequently  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$X$  is a smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety (Zariski top);  $\text{Sm}_k$  - category of such objects

if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X^{an}$  is  $X(\mathbb{C})$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -manifold (usual top);

$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$  -  $\cong$ -classes of *algebraic* vb of rank  $r$ ;

$\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X)$  -  $\cong$ -classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vb of rank  $r$  on  $X^{an}$

# Notation and the basic question

Throughout:  $k$  a commutative ring, usually a field, frequently  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$X$  is a smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety (Zariski top);  $\text{Sm}_k$  - category of such objects

if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X^{an}$  is  $X(\mathbb{C})$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -manifold (usual top);

$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$  -  $\cong$ -classes of *algebraic* vb of rank  $r$ ;

$\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X)$  -  $\cong$ -classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vb of rank  $r$  on  $X^{an}$

If  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ , set:

$$\Phi_r : \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X).$$

# Notation and the basic question

Throughout:  $k$  a commutative ring, usually a field, frequently  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$X$  is a smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety (Zariski top);  $\text{Sm}_k$  - category of such objects

if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X^{an}$  is  $X(\mathbb{C})$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -manifold (usual top);

$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$  -  $\cong$ -classes of *algebraic* vb of rank  $r$ ;

$\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X)$  -  $\cong$ -classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vb of rank  $r$  on  $X^{an}$

If  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ , set:

$$\Phi_r : \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X).$$

## Question

*Can we characterize the image of  $\Phi_r$ ?*



## Warm-up: topology of smooth algebraic varieties

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic variety of dimension  $d$ :

### Theorem

*The space  $X^{an}$  has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex.*

## Warm-up: topology of smooth algebraic varieties

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic variety of dimension  $d$ :

### Theorem

*The space  $X^{an}$  has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex.*

### Proof.

**Case.** If  $X$  is affine, i.e.,  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  a closed subset; Morse theory (Andreotti–Frankel);

in fact,  $X$  is a  $\leq d$ -dim'l cell complex

## Warm-up: topology of smooth algebraic varieties

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic variety of dimension  $d$ :

### Theorem

*The space  $X^{an}$  has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex.*

### Proof.

**Case.** If  $X$  is affine, i.e.,  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  a closed subset; Morse theory (Andreotti–Frankel);

in fact,  $X$  is a  $\leq d$ -dim'l cell complex

**Case.** If  $X$  not affine:  $\exists$  a smooth *affine*  $\mathbb{C}$ -variety  $\tilde{X}$  and a morphism  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  that is Zariski locally trivial with fibers isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^m$  (Jouanolou–Thomason);

## Warm-up: topology of smooth algebraic varieties

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic variety of dimension  $d$ :

### Theorem

*The space  $X^{an}$  has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex.*

### Proof.

**Case.** If  $X$  is affine, i.e.,  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  a closed subset; Morse theory (Andreotti–Frankel);

in fact,  $X$  is a  $\leq d$ -dim'l cell complex

**Case.** If  $X$  not affine:  $\exists$  a smooth *affine*  $\mathbb{C}$ -variety  $\tilde{X}$  and a morphism  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  that is Zariski locally trivial with fibers isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^m$  (Jouanolou–Thomason);

the morphism  $\pi$  is *not* a vector bundle projection; *is* topologically trivial.



## Example (Projective space)

When  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , set  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} := \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \setminus I$

## Example (Projective space)

When  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , set  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} := \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \setminus I$

*$I =$  incidence variety of hyperplanes vanishing on a line;*

# Projective varieties

## Example (Projective space)

When  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , set  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} := \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \setminus I$

$I =$  incidence variety of hyperplanes vanishing on a line;

$\pi : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  is induced by projection (fibers are affine spaces)

# Projective varieties

## Example (Projective space)

When  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , set  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} := \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \setminus I$

$I =$  incidence variety of hyperplanes vanishing on a line;

$\pi : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  is induced by projection (fibers are affine spaces)

## Definition

If  $X$  is smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety, a **Jouanolou device** for  $X$  is  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  with  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers, and  $\tilde{X}$  smooth affine.



# Projective varieties

## Example (Projective space)

When  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , set  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} := \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \setminus I$

$I =$  incidence variety of hyperplanes vanishing on a line;

$\pi : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  is induced by projection (fibers are affine spaces)

## Definition

If  $X$  is smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety, a **Jouanolou device** for  $X$  is  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  with  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers, and  $\tilde{X}$  smooth affine.

## Example

We call  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)}$  the standard Jouanolou device of projective space.

# Projective varieties

## Example (Projective space)

When  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , set  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} := \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \setminus I$

$I =$  incidence variety of hyperplanes vanishing on a line;

$\pi : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  is induced by projection (fibers are affine spaces)

## Definition

If  $X$  is smooth  $k$ -algebraic variety, a **Jouanolou device** for  $X$  is  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  with  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers, and  $\tilde{X}$  smooth affine.

## Example

We call  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)}$  the standard Jouanolou device of projective space. If  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is a closed subvariety, then we get a Jouanolou device for  $X$  by restricting the standard Jouanolou device for  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$

## Representability:

### Theorem (Pontryagin–Steenrod)

*There is a canonical bijection  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X) \cong [X, Gr_r]$ .*

The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X)$

## Representability:

### Theorem (Pontryagin–Steenrod)

*There is a canonical bijection  $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X) \cong [X, Gr_r]$ .*

## Basic cohomological invariants:

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X)$

## Representability:

### Theorem (Pontryagin–Steenrod)

*There is a canonical bijection  $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X) \cong [X, Gr_r]$ .*

## Basic cohomological invariants:

$$H^*(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1^{top}, \dots, c_r^{top}], \deg(c_i^{top}) = 2i \text{ yields}$$

The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$

## Representability:

### Theorem (Pontryagin–Steenrod)

*There is a canonical bijection  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X) \cong [X, Gr_r]$ .*

## Basic cohomological invariants:

$H^*(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1^{\text{top}}, \dots, c_r^{\text{top}}]$ ,  $\deg(c_i^{\text{top}}) = 2i$  yields

Chern classes: if  $f : X \rightarrow Gr_r$  represents  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $c_i^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{E}) := f^* c_i^{\text{top}}$ ;

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X)$

## Representability:

### Theorem (Pontryagin–Steenrod)

*There is a canonical bijection  $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X) \cong [X, Gr_r]$ .*

## Basic cohomological invariants:

$H^*(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1^{top}, \dots, c_r^{top}]$ ,  $\deg(c_i^{top}) = 2i$  yields

Chern classes: if  $f : X \rightarrow Gr_r$  represents  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $c_i^{top}(\mathcal{E}) := f^* c_i^{top}$ ;

defines a function  $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X)$

## Representability:

### Theorem (Pontryagin–Steenrod)

*There is a canonical bijection  $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X) \cong [X, Gr_r]$ .*

## Basic cohomological invariants:

$H^*(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1^{top}, \dots, c_r^{top}]$ ,  $\deg(c_i^{top}) = 2i$  yields

Chern classes: if  $f : X \rightarrow Gr_r$  represents  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $c_i^{top}(\mathcal{E}) := f^* c_i^{top}$ ;

defines a function  $\mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$

Sub-question: are there restrictions on the possible Chern classes of algebraic vector bundles?



The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  ctd.

**Further cohomological invariants:**

The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  ctd.

## Further cohomological invariants:

### Theorem

*If we fix a rank  $r$  and classes  $c_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then the subset of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  consisting of bundles with these Chern classes is finite.*

The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  ctd.

## Further cohomological invariants:

### Theorem

*If we fix a rank  $r$  and classes  $c_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then the subset of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  consisting of bundles with these Chern classes is finite.*

### Proof.

the map  $c : Gr_r \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r K(\mathbb{Z}, 2i)$  is a weak equivalence for  $r = 1$ , and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -weak equivalence for  $r > 1$ ;

The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  ctd.

## Further cohomological invariants:

### Theorem

*If we fix a rank  $r$  and classes  $c_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then the subset of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  consisting of bundles with these Chern classes is finite.*

### Proof.

the map  $c : Gr_r \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r K(\mathbb{Z}, 2i)$  is a weak equivalence for  $r = 1$ , and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -weak equivalence for  $r > 1$ ;

the homotopy fiber of  $c$  has *finite* homotopy groups

The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  ctd.

## Further cohomological invariants:

### Theorem

*If we fix a rank  $r$  and classes  $c_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then the subset of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  consisting of bundles with these Chern classes is finite.*

### Proof.

the map  $c : Gr_r \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r K(\mathbb{Z}, 2i)$  is a weak equivalence for  $r = 1$ , and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -weak equivalence for  $r > 1$ ;

the homotopy fiber of  $c$  has *finite* homotopy groups

use obstruction theory via the Moore–Postnikov factorization of  $c$

The set  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  ctd.

## Further cohomological invariants:

### Theorem

*If we fix a rank  $r$  and classes  $c_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then the subset of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{\text{top}}(X)$  consisting of bundles with these Chern classes is finite.*

### Proof.

the map  $c : Gr_r \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r K(\mathbb{Z}, 2i)$  is a weak equivalence for  $r = 1$ , and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -weak equivalence for  $r > 1$ ;

the homotopy fiber of  $c$  has *finite* homotopy groups

use obstruction theory via the Moore–Postnikov factorization of  $c$



Sub question: are there restrictions on these “further” cohomological invariants for algebraic vector bundles?

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ I: non-abelian sheaf cohomology

Vector bundles are naturally described in terms of sheaf cohomology:

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ I: non-abelian sheaf cohomology

Vector bundles are naturally described in terms of sheaf cohomology:

## Proposition

$$H^1(X, GL_r) \cong \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X).$$



# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ I: non-abelian sheaf cohomology

Vector bundles are naturally described in terms of sheaf cohomology:

## Proposition

$$H^1(X, GL_r) \cong \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X).$$

Open cover  $u : U \rightarrow X$ , yields  $\check{C}(U) \rightarrow X$

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ I: non-abelian sheaf cohomology

Vector bundles are naturally described in terms of sheaf cohomology:

## Proposition

$$H^1(X, GL_r) \cong \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X).$$

Open cover  $u : U \rightarrow X$ , yields  $\check{C}(U) \rightarrow X$

Bar construction for  $BGL_r$

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ I: non-abelian sheaf cohomology

Vector bundles are naturally described in terms of sheaf cohomology:

## Proposition

$$H^1(X, GL_r) \cong \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X).$$

Open cover  $u : U \rightarrow X$ , yields  $\check{C}(U) \rightarrow X$

Bar construction for  $BGL_r$

Cocycles correspond to morphisms  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow BGL_r$

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ I: non-abelian sheaf cohomology

Vector bundles are naturally described in terms of sheaf cohomology:

## Proposition

$$H^1(X, GL_r) \cong \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X).$$

Open cover  $u : U \rightarrow X$ , yields  $\check{C}(U) \rightarrow X$

Bar construction for  $BGL_r$

Cocycles correspond to morphisms  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow BGL_r$

Build a homotopy theory  $\mathcal{H}_{alg}(k)$  for varieties: maps  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$  are weak equivalences.

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ I: non-abelian sheaf cohomology

Vector bundles are naturally described in terms of sheaf cohomology:

## Proposition

$$H^1(X, GL_r) \cong \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X).$$

Open cover  $u : U \rightarrow X$ , yields  $\check{C}(U) \rightarrow X$

Bar construction for  $BGL_r$

Cocycles correspond to morphisms  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow BGL_r$

Build a homotopy theory  $\mathcal{H}_{alg}(k)$  for varieties: maps  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$  are weak equivalences.

## Proposition

$$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) = [X, BGL_r]_{\mathcal{H}_{alg}(k)}.$$

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ I: non-abelian sheaf cohomology

Vector bundles are naturally described in terms of sheaf cohomology:

## Proposition

$$H^1(X, GL_r) \cong \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X).$$

Open cover  $u : U \rightarrow X$ , yields  $\check{C}(U) \rightarrow X$

Bar construction for  $BGL_r$

Cocycles correspond to morphisms  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow BGL_r$

Build a homotopy theory  $\mathcal{H}_{alg}(k)$  for varieties: maps  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$  are weak equivalences.

## Proposition

$$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) = [X, BGL_r]_{\mathcal{H}_{alg}(k)}.$$

Criticism:  $BGL_r$  is not equivalent to  $Gr_r$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{alg}(k)$ .

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance

**Homotopy invariance:** replace unit interval  $I$  by the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance

**Homotopy invariance:** replace unit interval  $I$  by the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$

### Definition

A (contravariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}$  (valued in some category  $\mathbf{C}$ ) on  $\text{Sm}_k$  is *homotopy invariant*



## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance

**Homotopy invariance:** replace unit interval  $I$  by the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$

### Definition

A (contravariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}$  (valued in some category  $\mathbf{C}$ ) on  $\text{Sm}_k$  is *homotopy invariant* if the pullback map

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$$

is an isomorphism for all  $U \in \text{Sm}_k$ .

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance

**Homotopy invariance:** replace unit interval  $I$  by the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$

### Definition

A (contravariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}$  (valued in some category  $\mathbf{C}$ ) on  $\text{Sm}_k$  is *homotopy invariant* if the pullback map

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$$

is an isomorphism for all  $U \in \text{Sm}_k$ .

### Proposition

The functor  $U \mapsto \mathcal{V}_1^{alg}(U) = \text{Pic}(U)$  on  $\text{Sm}_k$  is homotopy invariant.

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance

**Homotopy invariance:** replace unit interval  $I$  by the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$

## Definition

A (contravariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}$  (valued in some category  $\mathbf{C}$ ) on  $\text{Sm}_k$  is *homotopy invariant* if the pullback map

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$$

is an isomorphism for all  $U \in \text{Sm}_k$ .

## Proposition

The functor  $U \mapsto \mathcal{V}_1^{alg}(U) = \text{Pic}(U)$  on  $\text{Sm}_k$  is homotopy invariant.

**Warning:** if we enlarge  $\text{Sm}_k$  by including sufficiently singular varieties, then the functor  $U \mapsto \text{Pic}(U)$  fails to be homotopy invariant.

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

### Question (Serre '55)

*If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , then are all vector bundles trivial?*

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

### Question (Serre '55)

*If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , then are all vector bundles trivial?*

$n = 1$ : yes, structure theorem for f.g. modules over a PID

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

### Question (Serre '55)

*If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , then are all vector bundles trivial?*

$n = 1$ : yes, structure theorem for f.g. modules over a PID

$n = 2$ : yes, Seshadri '58

# The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

## Question (Serre '55)

*If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , then are all vector bundles trivial?*

$n = 1$ : yes, structure theorem for f.g. modules over a PID

$n = 2$ : yes, Seshadri '58

yes if  $r > n$ , Bass '64

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

### Question (Serre '55)

*If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , then are all vector bundles trivial?*

$n = 1$ : yes, structure theorem for f.g. modules over a PID

$n = 2$ : yes, Seshadri '58

yes if  $r > n$ , Bass '64

$n = 3$ : yes if  $k$  algebraically closed, Murthy–Towber '74



## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

### Question (Serre '55)

*If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , then are all vector bundles trivial?*

$n = 1$ : yes, structure theorem for f.g. modules over a PID

$n = 2$ : yes, Seshadri '58

yes if  $r > n$ , Bass '64

$n = 3$ : yes if  $k$  algebraically closed, Murthy–Towber '74

$n = 3, 4, 5$ : yes, Suslin–Vaserstein '73/'74

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

### Question (Serre '55)

*If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , then are all vector bundles trivial?*

$n = 1$ : yes, structure theorem for f.g. modules over a PID

$n = 2$ : yes, Seshadri '58

yes if  $r > n$ , Bass '64

$n = 3$ : yes if  $k$  algebraically closed, Murthy–Towber '74

$n = 3, 4, 5$ : yes, Suslin–Vaserstein '73/'74

### Theorem (Quillen–Suslin '76)

*If  $k$  is a PID, then every vector bundle on  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  is trivial.*

## Conjecture (Bass–Quillen '72)

If  $k$  is a regular ring of finite Krull dimension, then for any  $r \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{V}_r(\mathrm{Spec} k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_r(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$$

is a bijection.

## Conjecture (Bass–Quillen '72)

If  $k$  is a regular ring of finite Krull dimension, then for any  $r \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{V}_r(\mathrm{Spec} k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_r(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$$

is a bijection.

Quillen's solution to Serre's problem actually shows that the Bass-Quillen conjecture holds for  $k$  a polynomial ring over a Dedekind domain.

## Conjecture (Bass–Quillen '72)

If  $k$  is a regular ring of finite Krull dimension, then for any  $r \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{V}_r(\mathrm{Spec} k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_r(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$$

is a bijection.

Quillen's solution to Serre's problem actually shows that the Bass-Quillen conjecture holds for  $k$  a polynomial ring over a Dedekind domain.

## Theorem (Lindel '81)

The Bass–Quillen conjecture is true if  $k$  contains a field.

## Conjecture (Bass–Quillen '72)

If  $k$  is a regular ring of finite Krull dimension, then for any  $r \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{V}_r(\mathrm{Spec} k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_r(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$$

is a bijection.

Quillen's solution to Serre's problem actually shows that the Bass-Quillen conjecture holds for  $k$  a polynomial ring over a Dedekind domain.

## Theorem (Lindel '81)

*The Bass–Quillen conjecture is true if  $k$  contains a field.*

Popescu '89 extended the Lindel's theorem to some arithmetic situations (e.g.,  $k$  is regular over a Dedekind domain with perfect residue fields)

## Conjecture (Bass–Quillen '72)

*If  $k$  is a regular ring of finite Krull dimension, then for any  $r \geq 0$*

$$\mathcal{V}_r(\mathrm{Spec} k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_r(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$$

*is a bijection.*

Quillen's solution to Serre's problem actually shows that the Bass–Quillen conjecture holds for  $k$  a polynomial ring over a Dedekind domain.

## Theorem (Lindel '81)

*The Bass–Quillen conjecture is true if  $k$  contains a field.*

Popescu '89 extended the Lindel's theorem to some arithmetic situations (e.g.,  $k$  is regular over a Dedekind domain with perfect residue fields)

Still open in completely generality!

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Unfortunately  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(-)$  fails to be homotopy invariant for  $r \geq 2$ .

Example (A., B. Doran '08)

$$\text{Set } Q_4 = \text{Spec } k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, z] / \langle x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 = z(z+1) \rangle$$



## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Unfortunately  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(-)$  fails to be homotopy invariant for  $r \geq 2$ .

**Example (A., B. Doran '08)**

Set  $Q_4 = \text{Spec } k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, z] / \langle x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 = z(z+1) \rangle$

$E_2 \subset Q_4$  defined by  $x_1 = x_3 = z + 1 = 0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Unfortunately  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(-)$  fails to be homotopy invariant for  $r \geq 2$ .

### Example (A., B. Doran '08)

Set  $Q_4 = \text{Spec } k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, z] / \langle x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 = z(z+1) \rangle$

$E_2 \subset Q_4$  defined by  $x_1 = x_3 = z + 1 = 0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$

If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X_4 = Q_4 \setminus E_2$  is contractible: in fact, there is an explicit morphism  $\mathbb{A}^5 \rightarrow X_4$  that is Zariski locally trivial with fibers  $\mathbb{A}^1$

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Unfortunately  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(-)$  fails to be homotopy invariant for  $r \geq 2$ .

### Example (A., B. Doran '08)

Set  $Q_4 = \text{Spec } k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, z] / \langle x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 = z(z+1) \rangle$

$E_2 \subset Q_4$  defined by  $x_1 = x_3 = z + 1 = 0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$

If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X_4 = Q_4 \setminus E_2$  is contractible: in fact, there is an explicit morphism  $\mathbb{A}^5 \rightarrow X_4$  that is Zariski locally trivial with fibers  $\mathbb{A}^1$

$Q_4$  carries an explicit non-trivial rank 2 bundle (the Hopf bundle);

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Unfortunately  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(-)$  fails to be homotopy invariant for  $r \geq 2$ .

### Example (A., B. Doran '08)

Set  $Q_4 = \text{Spec } k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, z] / \langle x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 = z(z+1) \rangle$

$E_2 \subset Q_4$  defined by  $x_1 = x_3 = z + 1 = 0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$

If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X_4 = Q_4 \setminus E_2$  is contractible: in fact, there is an explicit morphism  $\mathbb{A}^5 \rightarrow X_4$  that is Zariski locally trivial with fibers  $\mathbb{A}^1$

$Q_4$  carries an explicit non-trivial rank 2 bundle (the Hopf bundle); this bundle restricts non-trivially to  $X_4$ , i.e., contractible varieties may carry non-trivial vector bundles!

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ II: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Unfortunately  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(-)$  fails to be homotopy invariant for  $r \geq 2$ .

### Example (A., B. Doran '08)

Set  $Q_4 = \text{Spec } k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, z] / \langle x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 = z(z+1) \rangle$

$E_2 \subset Q_4$  defined by  $x_1 = x_3 = z + 1 = 0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$

If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X_4 = Q_4 \setminus E_2$  is contractible: in fact, there is an explicit morphism  $\mathbb{A}^5 \rightarrow X_4$  that is Zariski locally trivial with fibers  $\mathbb{A}^1$

$Q_4$  carries an explicit non-trivial rank 2 bundle (the Hopf bundle); this bundle restricts non-trivially to  $X_4$ , i.e., contractible varieties may carry non-trivial vector bundles!

Moral: homotopy invariance fails badly for non-affine varieties (even  $\mathbb{P}^1$ )!

# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation

# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation
- $Gr_r$  has naturally the structure of a colimit of algebraic varieties

# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation
- $Gr_r$  has naturally the structure of a colimit of algebraic varieties
- $Sm_k$  is not “big enough” to do homotopy theory (e.g.,  $Gr_r$  is not in this category, cannot form all quotients, etc.)



# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation
- $Gr_r$  has naturally the structure of a colimit of algebraic varieties
- $Sm_k$  is not “big enough” to do homotopy theory (e.g.,  $Gr_r$  is not in this category, cannot form all quotients, etc.)
- $Spc_k$  - “spaces”; simplicial presheaves on  $Sm_k$

# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation
- $Gr_r$  has naturally the structure of a colimit of algebraic varieties
- $Sm_k$  is not “big enough” to do homotopy theory (e.g.,  $Gr_r$  is not in this category, cannot form all quotients, etc.)
- $Spc_k$  - “spaces”; simplicial presheaves on  $Sm_k$
- We now force two kinds of maps to be “weak-equivalences”:

# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation
- $Gr_r$  has naturally the structure of a colimit of algebraic varieties
- $Sm_k$  is not “big enough” to do homotopy theory (e.g.,  $Gr_r$  is not in this category, cannot form all quotients, etc.)
- $Spc_k$  - “spaces”; simplicial presheaves on  $Sm_k$
- We now force two kinds of maps to be “weak-equivalences”:
  - Nisnevich local weak equivalences (roughly,  $u : U \rightarrow X$  as Nisnevich covering, build  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$ , and force  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$  to be an iso)

# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation
- $Gr_r$  has naturally the structure of a colimit of algebraic varieties
- $Sm_k$  is not “big enough” to do homotopy theory (e.g.,  $Gr_r$  is not in this category, cannot form all quotients, etc.)
- $Spc_k$  - “spaces”; simplicial presheaves on  $Sm_k$
- We now force two kinds of maps to be “weak-equivalences”:
  - Nisnevich local weak equivalences (roughly,  $u : U \rightarrow X$  as Nisnevich covering, build  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$ , and force  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$  to be an iso)
  - $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalences:  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$

# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation
- $Gr_r$  has naturally the structure of a colimit of algebraic varieties
- $Sm_k$  is not “big enough” to do homotopy theory (e.g.,  $Gr_r$  is not in this category, cannot form all quotients, etc.)
- $Spc_k$  - “spaces”; simplicial presheaves on  $Sm_k$
- We now force two kinds of maps to be “weak-equivalences”:
  - Nisnevich local weak equivalences (roughly,  $u : U \rightarrow X$  as Nisnevich covering, build  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$ , and force  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$  to be an iso)
  - $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalences:  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$
- $\mathcal{H}_{alg}(k)$  - invert Nisnevich local weak equivalences

# The motivic homotopy category

- Naive homotopy (homotopies parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ) is not an equivalence relation
- $Gr_r$  has naturally the structure of a colimit of algebraic varieties
- $Sm_k$  is not “big enough” to do homotopy theory (e.g.,  $Gr_r$  is not in this category, cannot form all quotients, etc.)
- $Spc_k$  - “spaces”; simplicial presheaves on  $Sm_k$
- We now force two kinds of maps to be “weak-equivalences”:
  - Nisnevich local weak equivalences (roughly,  $u : U \rightarrow X$  as Nisnevich covering, build  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$ , and force  $\check{C}(u) \rightarrow X$  to be an iso)
  - $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalences:  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$
- $\mathcal{H}_{alg}(k)$  - invert Nisnevich local weak equivalences and
- $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  - inverting both Nisnevich local and  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalences (this is the Morel–Voevodsky  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy category)

## The motivic homotopy category ctd.

Isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  are called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -**weak equivalences**.

## The motivic homotopy category ctd.

Isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  are called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -**weak equivalences**.

### Example

*If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers, then  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (e.g., if  $\pi$  is a vector bundle):*



# The motivic homotopy category ctd.

Isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  are called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -**weak equivalences**.

## Example

If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers, then  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (e.g., if  $\pi$  is a vector bundle):

- $SL_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0$  (project a matrix onto its first column) is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence;

# The motivic homotopy category ctd.

Isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  are called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -**weak equivalences**.

## Example

If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers, then  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (e.g., if  $\pi$  is a vector bundle):

- $SL_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0$  (project a matrix onto its first column) is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence;
- if  $X$  is a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ , then  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (thus: every smooth variety has the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy type of a smooth affine variety)

# The motivic homotopy category ctd.

Isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  are called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -**weak equivalences**.

## Example

If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers, then  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (e.g., if  $\pi$  is a vector bundle):

- $SL_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0$  (project a matrix onto its first column) is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence;
- if  $X$  is a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ , then  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (thus: every smooth variety has the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy type of a smooth affine variety)

## Example

- $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible: the “shift map” is naively homotopic to the identity  $\implies Gr_1 = \mathbb{P}^\infty \rightarrow BGL_1$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence

# The motivic homotopy category ctd.

Isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  are called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalences.

## Example

If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers, then  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (e.g., if  $\pi$  is a vector bundle):

- $SL_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0$  (project a matrix onto its first column) is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence;
- if  $X$  is a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ , then  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (thus: every smooth variety has the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy type of a smooth affine variety)

## Example

- $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible: the “shift map” is naively homotopic to the identity  $\implies Gr_1 = \mathbb{P}^\infty \rightarrow BGL_1$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence
- More generally,  $Gr_n \rightarrow BGL_n$  classifying the tautological vector bundle is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ III: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Cannot expect representability of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  for all smooth  $X$ , however:

### Theorem

*If  $k$  is a field or  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then for any smooth affine  $k$ -scheme  $X$ ,*

$$[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) (= [X, Gr_r]_{naive}).$$

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ III: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Cannot expect representability of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  for all smooth  $X$ , however:

### Theorem

*If  $k$  is a field or  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then for any smooth affine  $k$ -scheme  $X$ ,*

$$[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) (= [X, Gr_r]_{naive}).$$

Morel '06 if  $r \neq 2$  and  $k$  a perfect field

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ III: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Cannot expect representability of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  for all smooth  $X$ , however:

### Theorem

*If  $k$  is a field or  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then for any smooth affine  $k$ -scheme  $X$ ,*

$$[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) (= [X, Gr_r]_{naive}).$$

Morel '06 if  $r \neq 2$  and  $k$  a perfect field

Schlichting '15 arbitrary  $r$ ,  $k$  perfect; simplifies part of Morel's argument

## The set $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$ III: homotopy invariance (ctd.)

Cannot expect representability of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{mot}(k)$  for all smooth  $X$ , however:

### Theorem

*If  $k$  is a field or  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then for any smooth affine  $k$ -scheme  $X$ ,*

$$[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) (= [X, Gr_r]_{naive}).$$

Morel '06 if  $r \neq 2$  and  $k$  a perfect field

Schlichting '15 arbitrary  $r$ ,  $k$  perfect; simplifies part of Morel's argument

A.–M. Hoyois–M. Wendt '15 (essentially self-contained: in essence, representability is equivalent to the Bass–Quillen conjecture for all smooth  $k$ -algebras)



# Comparing algebraic and topological vb

If  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety, then  $\Phi_r$  factors:

$$\mathcal{Y}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r] =: \mathcal{Y}_r^{top}(X).$$

**Motivic vector bundles** are elements of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

# Comparing algebraic and topological vb

If  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety, then  $\Phi_r$  factors:

$$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r] =: \mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X).$$

**Motivic vector bundles** are elements of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

Thus, determining the image of  $\Phi_r$  breaks into two stages:

# Comparing algebraic and topological vb

If  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety, then  $\Phi_r$  factors:

$$\mathcal{Y}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r] =: \mathcal{Y}_r^{top}(X).$$

**Motivic vector bundles** are elements of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

Thus, determining the image of  $\Phi_r$  breaks into two stages:

- characterize the image of  $\mathcal{Y}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ , and

# Comparing algebraic and topological vb

If  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety, then  $\Phi_r$  factors:

$$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r] =: \mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X).$$

**Motivic vector bundles** are elements of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

Thus, determining the image of  $\Phi_r$  breaks into two stages:

- characterize the image of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ , and
- characterize the image of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{top}$ .

# Comparing algebraic and topological vb

If  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety, then  $\Phi_r$  factors:

$$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r] =: \mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X).$$

**Motivic vector bundles** are elements of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

Thus, determining the image of  $\Phi_r$  breaks into two stages:

- characterize the image of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ , and
- characterize the image of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{top}$ .

Can we give a concrete description of motivic vector bundles?

# Comparing algebraic and topological vb

If  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety, then  $\Phi_r$  factors:

$$\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r] =: \mathcal{V}_r^{top}(X).$$

**Motivic vector bundles** are elements of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

Thus, determining the image of  $\Phi_r$  breaks into two stages:

- characterize the image of  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \longrightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ , and
- characterize the image of  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow [X, Gr_r]_{top}$ .

Can we give a concrete description of motivic vector bundles?

Does this factorization get us anything new?

If  $k$  a field, integral cohomology is replaced with motivic cohomology

If  $k$  a field, integral cohomology is replaced with motivic cohomology

$$H^{*,*}(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{Z})[c_1, \dots, c_r], \deg(c_i) = (2i, i) \text{ yields}$$



If  $k$  a field, integral cohomology is replaced with motivic cohomology

$H^{*,*}(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{Z})[c_1, \dots, c_r]$ ,  $\deg(c_i) = (2i, i)$  yields

Chern classes: if  $f : X \rightarrow Gr_r$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $c_i(\mathcal{E}) := f^* c_i$ ;

# Basic cohomological invariants

If  $k$  a field, integral cohomology is replaced with motivic cohomology

$H^{*,*}(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{Z})[c_1, \dots, c_r]$ ,  $\deg(c_i) = (2i, i)$  yields

Chern classes: if  $f : X \rightarrow Gr_r$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $c_i(\mathcal{E}) := f^* c_i$ ;

defines a function  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r H^{2i,i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$

# Basic cohomological invariants

If  $k$  a field, integral cohomology is replaced with motivic cohomology

$H^{*,*}(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{Z})[c_1, \dots, c_r]$ ,  $\deg(c_i) = (2i, i)$  yields

Chern classes: if  $f : X \rightarrow Gr_r$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $c_i(\mathcal{E}) := f^* c_i$ ;

defines a function  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r H^{2i,i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$

if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , cycle class map  $cl : H^{2i,i}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  sends  $c_i$  to  $c_i^{top}$

# Basic cohomological invariants

If  $k$  a field, integral cohomology is replaced with motivic cohomology

$H^{*,*}(Gr_r, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{Z})[c_1, \dots, c_r]$ ,  $\deg(c_i) = (2i, i)$  yields

Chern classes: if  $f : X \rightarrow Gr_r$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $c_i(\mathcal{E}) := f^* c_i$ ;

defines a function  $\mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r H^{2i,i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$

if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , cycle class map  $cl : H^{2i,i}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  sends  $c_i$  to  $c_i^{top}$

Fundamental difference:  $H^{2i,i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  more complicated than  $H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  (e.g.,  $X$  affine,  $H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  vanishes for  $2i > \dim X$ ; false for motivic cohomology!)

Just like in topology, further invariants are torsion:

**Theorem (A., J. Fasel, M. Hopkins)**

*If  $k$  a field and  $-1$  is a sum of squares in  $k$ , then*

$$Gr_r \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r K(\mathbb{Z}(i), 2i)$$

*is a rational  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence.*

## Theorem (A., J. Fasel, M. Hopkins)

Suppose  $X$  is a smooth complex affine variety of dimension 4, and  $\mathcal{E}^{an} \rightarrow X^{an}$  is a rank 2 complex analytic vector bundle with Chern classes  $c_i^{top} \in H^{2i}(X^{an}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Assume the Chern classes  $c_i^{top}$  of  $\mathcal{E}^{an}$  are algebraic, i.e., lie in the image of the cycle class map  $cl$ . The bundle  $\mathcal{E}^{an}$  is algebraizable if and only if we may find  $(c_1, c_2) \in H^{2,1}(X) \times H^{4,2}(X)$  with  $(cl(c_1), cl(c_2)) = (c_1^{top}, c_2^{top})$  such that  $Sq^2 c_2 + c_1 \cup c_2 = 0 \in H^{6,3}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

## Theorem (A., J. Fasel, M. Hopkins)

Suppose  $X$  is a smooth complex affine variety of dimension 4, and  $\mathcal{E}^{an} \rightarrow X^{an}$  is a rank 2 complex analytic vector bundle with Chern classes  $c_i^{top} \in H^{2i}(X^{an}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Assume the Chern classes  $c_i^{top}$  of  $\mathcal{E}^{an}$  are algebraic, i.e., lie in the image of the cycle class map  $cl$ . The bundle  $\mathcal{E}^{an}$  is algebraizable if and only if we may find  $(c_1, c_2) \in H^{2,1}(X) \times H^{4,2}(X)$  with  $(cl(c_1), cl(c_2)) = (c_1^{top}, c_2^{top})$  such that  $Sq^2 c_2 + c_1 \cup c_2 = 0 \in H^{6,3}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

## Conjecture (A., J. Fasel, M. Hopkins)

For “cellular” smooth  $\mathbb{C}$ -varieties  $X$ ,  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow [X, Gr_r]$  is a bijection.

# Motivic vector bundles, concretely

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ ;



# Motivic vector bundles, concretely

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ ;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) & \longrightarrow & [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [\tilde{X}, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \end{array}$$

commutes,

# Motivic vector bundles, concretely

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ ;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) & \longrightarrow & [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [\tilde{X}, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e., motivic vector bundles represented by actual vector bundles on a Jouanolou device.

# Motivic vector bundles, concretely

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ ;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) & \longrightarrow & [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [\tilde{X}, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e., motivic vector bundles represented by actual vector bundles on a Jouanolou device.

Thus, to understand image of top horizontal map, suffices to:

- characterize the image of  $\pi^*$ ,

# Motivic vector bundles, concretely

Assume  $X$  a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ ;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) & \longrightarrow & [X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [\tilde{X}, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e., motivic vector bundles represented by actual vector bundles on a Jouanolou device.

Thus, to understand image of top horizontal map, suffices to:

- characterize the image of  $\pi^*$ ,

which is the problem of *descent* for vector bundles.

Suppose  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ .

Suppose  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ .

- $\pi^*$  fails to be injective (very general phenomenon!), even for  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  (e.g., because  $\tilde{X}$  is affine,  $\pi^*$  splits extensions)

Suppose  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ .

- $\pi^*$  fails to be injective (very general phenomenon!), even for  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  (e.g., because  $\tilde{X}$  is affine,  $\pi^*$  splits extensions)
- Can  $\pi^*$  be surjective?

Suppose  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ .

- $\pi^*$  fails to be injective (very general phenomenon!), even for  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  (e.g., because  $\tilde{X}$  is affine,  $\pi^*$  splits extensions)
- Can  $\pi^*$  be surjective?

Long-standing conjectures in algebraic geometry (e.g., the '77 Grauert–Schneider conjecture) imply that  $\pi^*$  fails to be surjective for  $\mathbb{P}^n$  when  $n \geq 5$ .



Suppose  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ .

- $\pi^*$  fails to be injective (very general phenomenon!), even for  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  (e.g., because  $\tilde{X}$  is affine,  $\pi^*$  splits extensions)
- Can  $\pi^*$  be surjective?

Long-standing conjectures in algebraic geometry (e.g., the '77 Grauert–Schneider conjecture) imply that  $\pi^*$  fails to be surjective for  $\mathbb{P}^n$  when  $n \geq 5$ .

If  $\pi^*$  does fail to be surjective, can we find a counter-example?

Suppose  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ .

- $\pi^*$  fails to be injective (very general phenomenon!), even for  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  (e.g., because  $\tilde{X}$  is affine,  $\pi^*$  splits extensions)
- Can  $\pi^*$  be surjective?

Long-standing conjectures in algebraic geometry (e.g., the '77 Grauert–Schneider conjecture) imply that  $\pi^*$  fails to be surjective for  $\mathbb{P}^n$  when  $n \geq 5$ .

If  $\pi^*$  does fail to be surjective, can we find a counter-example?

What happens in low dimensions?

### Theorem (A., J. Fasel, M. Hopkins)

*If  $X$  is a smooth projective variety of dimension  $\leq 2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ , then  $\pi^* : \mathcal{Y}_r^{alg}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_r^{alg}(\tilde{X})$  is surjective.*

## Theorem (A., J. Fasel, M. Hopkins)

If  $X$  is a smooth projective variety of dimension  $\leq 2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ , then  $\pi^* : \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(\tilde{X})$  is surjective.

## Proof.

- Idea: Describe the target of  $\pi^*$  and construct enough vector bundles on  $X$ .

## Theorem (A., J. Fasel, M. Hopkins)

If  $X$  is a smooth projective variety of dimension  $\leq 2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ , then  $\pi^* : \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(\tilde{X})$  is surjective.

## Proof.

- Idea: Describe the target of  $\pi^*$  and construct enough vector bundles on  $X$ .
- Use obstruction theory to do describe  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  in cohomological terms;

## Theorem (A., J. Fasel, M. Hopkins)

If  $X$  is a smooth projective variety of dimension  $\leq 2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  is a Jouanolou device for  $X$ , then  $\pi^* : \mathcal{V}_r^{\text{alg}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_r^{\text{alg}}(\tilde{X})$  is surjective.

## Proof.

- Idea: Describe the target of  $\pi^*$  and construct enough vector bundles on  $X$ .
- Use obstruction theory to describe  $[X, Gr_r]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  in cohomological terms;
- Use the Hartshorne–Serre correspondence (between codimension 2 lci schemes and rank 2 vector bundles) to construct the required vb on  $X$ .



# Obstruction theory in $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy

Classical homotopy theory gives techniques for providing a “cohomological” description of homotopy classes: one factors a space into homotopically simple spaces (Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces). F. Morel developed these ideas in algebraic geometry.

# Obstruction theory in $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy

Classical homotopy theory gives techniques for providing a “cohomological” description of homotopy classes: one factors a space into homotopically simple spaces (Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces). F. Morel developed these ideas in algebraic geometry.

If  $(\mathcal{X}, x)$  is a pointed space, we may define  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, x)$ .



# Obstruction theory in $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy

Classical homotopy theory gives techniques for providing a “cohomological” description of homotopy classes: one factors a space into homotopically simple spaces (Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces). F. Morel developed these ideas in algebraic geometry.

If  $(\mathcal{X}, x)$  is a pointed space, we may define  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, x)$ .

$\mathbb{A}^1$ -Postnikov tower: given a pointed  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected space, we can build  $\mathcal{X}$  inductively out of Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces  $K(\pi, n)$ ; these have exactly 1 non-trivial  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaf in degree  $n$

# Obstruction theory in $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy

Classical homotopy theory gives techniques for providing a “cohomological” description of homotopy classes: one factors a space into homotopically simple spaces (Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces). F. Morel developed these ideas in algebraic geometry.

If  $(\mathcal{X}, x)$  is a pointed space, we may define  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, x)$ .

$\mathbb{A}^1$ -Postnikov tower: given a pointed  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected space, we can build  $\mathcal{X}$  inductively out of Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces  $K(\pi, n)$ ; these have exactly 1 non-trivial  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaf in degree  $n$

We can inductively describe the set of maps  $[U, \mathcal{X}]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  using sheaf cohomology with coefficients in  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves

Intuition: a space should be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected if points can be connected by chains of affine lines

Intuition: a space should be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected if points can be connected by chains of affine lines

### Example

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n) = 1$

Intuition: a space should be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected if points can be connected by chains of affine lines

### Example

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n) = 1$

*Turns out it suffices to check this on sections over fields*

Intuition: a space should be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected if points can be connected by chains of affine lines

### Example

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n) = 1$

*Turns out it suffices to check this on sections over fields*

*For any field  $F$ , any matrix in  $SL_n(F)$  may be factored as a product of elementary (shearing) matrices*

Intuition: a space should be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected if points can be connected by chains of affine lines

### Example

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n) = 1$

*Turns out it suffices to check this on sections over fields*

*For any field  $F$ , any matrix in  $SL_n(F)$  may be factored as a product of elementary (shearing) matrices*

*Any elementary shearing matrix is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic: if  $a \in F$  then use*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & at \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Intuition: a space should be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected if points can be connected by chains of affine lines

### Example

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n) = 1$

*Turns out it suffices to check this on sections over fields*

*For any field  $F$ , any matrix in  $SL_n(F)$  may be factored as a product of elementary (shearing) matrices*

*Any elementary shearing matrix is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic: if  $a \in F$  then use*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & at \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*Any matrix in  $SL_n(F)$  is naively  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic to the identity.*



## Example

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BGL_1) = GL_1$$

## Example

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BGL_1) = GL_1$$

*$GL_1$  is discrete, i.e.,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(GL_1) = GL_1$ : there are no non-constant algebraic maps  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow GL_1$*

## Example

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BGL_1) = GL_1$$

*$GL_1$  is discrete, i.e.,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(GL_1) = GL_1$ : there are no non-constant algebraic maps  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow GL_1$*

*the map  $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0 \rightarrow BGL_1$  is a principal  $GL_1$ -bundle and this yields an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fiber sequence*

## Example

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BGL_1) = GL_1$$

*$GL_1$  is discrete, i.e.,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(GL_1) = GL_1$ : there are no non-constant algebraic maps  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow GL_1$*

*the map  $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0 \rightarrow BGL_1$  is a principal  $GL_1$ -bundle and this yields an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fiber sequence*

*since  $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible, the result follows from the long exact sequence in homotopy*

## Example

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BGL_1) = GL_1$$

*$GL_1$  is discrete, i.e.,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(GL_1) = GL_1$ : there are no non-constant algebraic maps  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow GL_1$*

*the map  $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0 \rightarrow BGL_1$  is a principal  $GL_1$ -bundle and this yields an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fiber sequence*

*since  $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible, the result follows from the long exact sequence in homotopy*

## Example

*For any  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) = 1$ ; one identifies  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) = \pi_0(SL_n)$  using a fiber sequence.*

## Example

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BGL_1) = GL_1$$

$GL_1$  is discrete, i.e.,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(GL_1) = GL_1$ : there are no non-constant algebraic maps  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow GL_1$

the map  $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0 \rightarrow BGL_1$  is a principal  $GL_1$ -bundle and this yields an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fiber sequence

since  $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible, the result follows from the long exact sequence in homotopy

## Example

For any  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) = 1$ ; one identifies  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) = \pi_0(SL_n)$  using a fiber sequence.

## Example

For any  $n \geq 2$ , the map  $BGL_n \rightarrow BGL_1$  coming from  $\det : GL_n \rightarrow GL_1$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BGL_n) = GL_1$ .

## Example (F. Morel)

*There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_2^{MW} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathbf{K}_2^M & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

## Example (F. Morel)

*There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_2^{MW} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathbf{K}_2^M & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$\mathbf{K}_2^M$  is the second Milnor K-theory sheaf



## Example (F. Morel)

*There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_2^{MW} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathbf{K}_2^M & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$\mathbf{K}_2^M$  is the second Milnor K-theory sheaf

the map  $BSL_n \rightarrow BGL_\infty$  induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$  for  $n \geq 3$  and the latter represents Quillen's algebraic K-theory

## Example (F. Morel)

*There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_2^{MW} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathbf{K}_2^M & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$\mathbf{K}_2^M$  is the second Milnor K-theory sheaf

the map  $BSL_n \rightarrow BGL_\infty$  induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$  for  $n \geq 3$  and the latter represents Quillen's algebraic K-theory

$\mathbf{K}_2^M = \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and can be thought of as “non-trivial relations among elementary matrices” (classic presentation of Milnor  $K_2$ )

## Example (F. Morel)

*There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_2^{MW} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathbf{K}_2^M & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$\mathbf{K}_2^M$  is the second Milnor K-theory sheaf

the map  $BSL_n \rightarrow BGL_\infty$  induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$  for  $n \geq 3$  and the latter represents Quillen's algebraic K-theory

$\mathbf{K}_2^M = \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and can be thought of as “non-trivial relations among elementary matrices” (classic presentation of Milnor  $K_2$ )

$\mathbf{K}_2^{MW}$  is the second Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaf

## Example (F. Morel)

*There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_2^{MW} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathbf{K}_2^M & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$\mathbf{K}_2^M$  is the second Milnor K-theory sheaf

the map  $BSL_n \rightarrow BGL_\infty$  induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$  for  $n \geq 3$  and the latter represents Quillen's algebraic K-theory

$\mathbf{K}_2^M = \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and can be thought of as “non-trivial relations among elementary matrices” (classic presentation of Milnor  $K_2$ )

$\mathbf{K}_2^{MW}$  is the second Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaf

$SL_2 = Sp_2$  and the map  $BSL_2 \rightarrow BSp_\infty$  is an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$

## Example (F. Morel)

*There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_2^{MW} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathbf{K}_2^M & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$\mathbf{K}_2^M$  is the second Milnor K-theory sheaf

the map  $BSL_n \rightarrow BGL_\infty$  induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$  for  $n \geq 3$  and the latter represents Quillen's algebraic K-theory

$\mathbf{K}_2^M = \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and can be thought of as “non-trivial relations among elementary matrices” (classic presentation of Milnor  $K_2$ )

$\mathbf{K}_2^{MW}$  is the second Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaf

$SL_2 = Sp_2$  and the map  $BSL_2 \rightarrow BSp_\infty$  is an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$

the latter represents symplectic K-theory and includes information about symplectic forms over our base

## Example (F. Morel)

There are isomorphisms

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(BSL_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_2^{MW} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathbf{K}_2^M & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$\mathbf{K}_2^M$  is the second Milnor K-theory sheaf

the map  $BSL_n \rightarrow BGL_\infty$  induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$  for  $n \geq 3$  and the latter represents Quillen's algebraic K-theory

$\mathbf{K}_2^M = \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(SL_n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and can be thought of as “non-trivial relations among elementary matrices” (classic presentation of Milnor  $K_2$ )

$\mathbf{K}_2^{MW}$  is the second Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaf

$SL_2 = Sp_2$  and the map  $BSL_2 \rightarrow BSp_\infty$  is an isomorphism on  $\pi_2^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$

the latter represents symplectic K-theory and includes information about symplectic forms over our base

the map  $BSp_\infty \rightarrow BGL_\infty$  yields a map  $\mathbf{K}_2^{MW} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_2^M$ ; this map is an epimorphism of sheaves and its kernel may be described via the “fundamental ideal” in the Witt ring (A. Suslin)

## Theorem

If  $k$  is algebraically closed, and  $\tilde{X}$  is the Jouanolou device of a smooth projective surface  $X$ , then for  $r \geq 2$  the map

$$(c_1, c_2) : \mathcal{V}_r^{alg}(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \times H^{4,2}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism.

## Proof.

Obstruction theory! Case of trivial determinant: there is a canonical “Euler class” map

$$BSL_2 \longrightarrow K(\mathbf{K}_2^{MW}, 2);$$

if  $\tilde{X}$  is as in the statement, then  $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{K}_2^{MW}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{K}_2^M) \cong H^{4,2}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism; any class in  $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{K}_2^{MW})$  lifts uniquely to  $[\tilde{X}, BSL_2]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .  $\square$

Thank you!