

# The Jouanolou-Thomason homotopy lemma

Aravind Asok

February 9, 2009

## 1 Introduction

The goal of this note is to prove what is now known as the Jouanolou-Thomason homotopy lemma or simply “Jouanolou’s trick.” Our main reason for discussing this here is that i) most statements (that I have seen) assume unnecessary quasi-projectivity hypotheses, and ii) most applications of the result that I know (e.g., in homotopy K-theory) appeal to the result as merely a “black box,” while the proof indicates that the construction is quite geometric and relatively explicit. For simplicity, throughout the word *scheme* means separated Noetherian scheme.

**Theorem 1.1** (Jouanolou-Thomason homotopy lemma). *Given a smooth scheme  $X$  over a regular Noetherian base ring  $k$ , there exists a pair  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$ , where  $\tilde{X}$  is an affine scheme, smooth over  $k$ , and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Zariski locally trivial smooth morphism with fibers isomorphic to affine spaces.*

*Remark 1.2.* In terms of an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy category of smooth schemes over  $k$  (e.g.,  $\mathcal{H}(k)$  or  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}(k)$ ; see [MV99, §3]), the map  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (use [MV99, §3 Example 2.4]). Thus, up to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence, any smooth  $k$ -scheme is an affine scheme smooth over  $k$ .

## 2 An explicit algebraic form

Let  $\mathbb{A}^n$  denote affine space over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0$  denote the scheme quasi-affine and smooth over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$  obtained by removing the fiber over 0. Let  $Q_{2m-1}$  denote the closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^{2m}$  (with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_{2m}$ ) defined by the equation

$$\sum_i x_i x_{m+i} = 1.$$

Consider the following simple situation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any  $m \geq 1$ , projection onto  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  determines a morphism*

$$\varphi : Q_{2m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m \setminus 0$$

*that is Zariski locally trivial with fibers isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ . In particular, for  $m = 1$ ,  $\pi$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $\pi$  trivializes over the open affine subschemes  $U_i$  of  $\mathbb{A}^m \setminus 0$  where one coordinate  $x_i$  is non-vanishing.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is a closed subscheme defined by the vanishing of functions  $f_1, \dots, f_d$ . Consider the morphism  $\mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d$  defined by the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_d$ . Define a morphism*

$$\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^d \setminus 0$$

via  $(f_1, \dots, f_d)$ . Then,

- i) the fiber product  $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z} := \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z \times_{\mathbb{A}^d \setminus 0} \mathbb{Q}_{2d-1}$  (via  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\varphi$ ) is isomorphic to the closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^d$  (say with coordinates  $y_1, \dots, y_n, x_1, \dots, x_d$ ) defined by the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^d x_i f_i = 1.$$

and is in particular affine.

- ii) The projection morphism  $\pi : \widetilde{\mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z$  is Zariski locally trivial with fibers isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^{d-1}$ , and is, in particular, smooth.

*Proof.* Easy exercise. The key points are that  $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  defines an affine morphism, and that  $\pi$  can be (explicitly) trivialized along the open affine scheme  $U_i$  where  $f_i \neq 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.* Note that this presentation depends only on a choice of generators for the underlying reduced subscheme of  $Z$ . E.g., if we replace  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  by  $f_1^{a_1}, \dots, f_d^{a_d}$  for some integers  $a_1, \dots, a_d$ , we get a new morphism that is again Zariski locally trivial with affine space fibers. Simple examples show that the resulting schemes *need not* be isomorphic. Even though our construction does not explicitly indicate dependence on the generators, this dependence is implicit and so the construction is *not* functorial. This problem will reappear in all the variations on this result below. Furthermore, it is clear how to extend this to complements of arbitrary closed subschemes of an affine scheme.

### 3 Jouanolou's geometric lemma

Let  $V$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, and let  $V^\vee$  denote the dual  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\text{hom}(V, \mathbb{Z})$ . Consider the pairing  $V \times V^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by evaluation. Let  $\mathbb{P}(V) := \text{Proj Sym}^\bullet V$  and  $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee) := \text{Proj Sym}^\bullet V^\vee$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong \text{Sym}^\bullet V^\vee$  as graded algebras, so in our notation  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  corresponds to the projective space whose  $k$ -points are *hyperplanes* in  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$  (as in Grothendieck's conventions). Consider the closed subscheme  $H$  of  $\mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$  defined by the incidence hyperplane defined by the pairing above.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)}$  denote the complement  $\mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \setminus H$ . Then,*

- i) The scheme  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)}$  is affine.

- ii) The composite morphism

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbb{P}(V)$$

is a Zariski locally trivial affine morphism with fibers isomorphic to affine space, and is, in particular, smooth.

*Proof.* The hypersurface  $H$  defines a divisor on  $\mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$  that is ample. In particular, the associated invertible sheaf is  $\mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1) := p_1^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ . The complement of an ample divisor is always affine (use the closed embedding into projective space and the fact that the complement of a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  is just an affine space).

As a composite of an open immersion and a projection with smooth fibers, it follows that  $\pi : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}(V)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  is a smooth morphism. Choosing sections  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  on  $V$ , we see by explicit computation that  $\pi$  trivializes on the complement of the loci where each  $s_i$  is non-zero.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** (cf. [Jou73] Lemma 1.5 or [Wei89] Proposition 4.3). *Suppose  $X$  is a projective variety over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ , and fix a very ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ . Then,*

- i) *If  $\tilde{X}$  denotes the fiber product of  $X \times_{\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{L}))} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{H^0(X, \mathcal{L})})$ , then  $\tilde{X}$  is affine.*
- ii) *The morphism  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  induced by projection is Zariski locally trivial with fibers isomorphic to affine spaces.*

*Proof.* Easy exercise. Again, the key point is that the sections  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})^\vee$  produce a closed embedding, which is in particular an affine morphism. As before, the morphism  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  trivializes over the complement of the vanishing locus of the sections  $s_i$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Note, in particular, that we only care about  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module structure of these sections, and the particular choice of basis is not important (just as in the quasi-affine case we treated above). Thus, to prove the result for more general schemes, one expects to need only “enough sections.”

## 4 Thomason’s extension

As per our previous remark, we need schemes that have “enough open affines.” There is a convenient formalization of this concept generalizing the notion of an ample invertible sheaf.

**Definition 4.1.** A scheme  $X$  is said to *divisorial* or to *admit an ample family of invertible sheaves* if there are invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{L}_0, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$  on  $X$  together with global sections  $s_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_i)$ , such that the schemes  $U_i \subset X$  defined as the complement of the vanishing locus of  $s_i$  are affine and the map  $U := \coprod_i U_i \rightarrow X$  is a Zariski cover.

*Remark 4.2.* The notion of a scheme admitting an ample family of line bundles (e.g., [SGA71, Exposé II Définition 2.2.4-5]) was new terminology proposed by Illusie for the old notion of a divisorial scheme (variety) introduced by Kleiman and Borelli (e.g., [Bor63, §3]). See [SGA71, Exposé II Proposition 2.2.3] for a proof of equivalence of various conditions with that given in Borelli’s definition.

The basic idea of the proof is to glue together the schemes  $U_i \times \mathbb{A}^n$  (note that there are  $n+1$ -sections) with appropriate transition functions to obtain a morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  where  $\tilde{X}$  is affine.

**Proposition 4.3** (Thomason’s version of Jouanolou’s trick cf. [Wei89] Proposition 4.4). *Let  $k$  be a commutative unital ring. Suppose  $X$  is a  $k$ -scheme admitting an ample family of line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_0, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ . Choose sections  $s_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_i)$ . There exists a pair  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  consisting of an affine scheme  $\tilde{X}$  together with a Zariski locally trivial morphism  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  that has affine space fibers.*

*Proof.* View each section  $s_i$  as a morphism  $s_i : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i$ . If we set  $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}_i$ , then the the function  $(s_0, \dots, s_n)$  determines a morphism

$$\mathbf{s} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

Over each  $U_i$ , the morphism  $\mathbf{s}$  is a *split* monomorphism. Thus, the cokernel of  $\mathbf{s}$ , which we denote by  $\mathcal{F}$ , is actually a locally free sheaf. Set  $\tilde{X} := \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{F})$ , and let  $\pi$  be the composite morphism

$$\tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow X.$$

As the composite of an open immersion and a smooth projection,  $\pi$  is clearly a smooth morphism. By construction,  $\pi$  trivializes along each  $U_i$  and one obtains a product decomposition as advertised before the statement of the Proposition. More precisely, one can check that  $\tilde{X}$  can be identified with the closed subscheme of  $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{E}$  defined by the equation  $s = 1$ . In particular, this shows that  $\pi$  is an affine morphism. Let  $f_i$  denote the element of  $\Gamma(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  induced by  $s_i$ . We see that  $\sum_i f_i = 1$ , and the complement of  $f_i = 0$  is isomorphic to  $U_i \times \mathbb{A}^n$ , which is in particular an affine scheme. By the local criterion for affineness [Gro61, Proposition 5.2.1], it follows that  $\tilde{X}$  is affine.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* One can check that the above actually proves more: the morphism  $\pi$  is a so-called *affine vector bundle torsor*. If  $\mathrm{Lin}_n$  denotes the (linear algebraic) group of affine linear automorphisms of a vector space, then a torsor under a vector bundle of rank  $n$  is precisely a torsor, i.e., principal homogeneous space, for  $\mathrm{Lin}_n$ . A torsor under a vector bundle is called an affine vector bundle torsor if its total space is affine. Thus, the above proof shows that  $\pi$  is a torsor under the vector bundle associated with the locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ .

To finish, the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following pair of results.

**Theorem 4.5.** *If  $X$  is a regular variety over a field  $k$ , or more generally, a separated locally factorial Noetherian scheme, then  $X$  admits an ample family of line bundles.*

*Proof.* According to Borelli, the first statement is due to Zariski [Zar47] (I have not looked for the precise reference). For a proof of a statement slightly more general than this, see [Bor63, Theorem 4.1], but note that he refers to what we would today call a locally factorial variety as simply factorial. The second result is culled from [SGA71, Exposé II Proposition 2.2.7]. The latter proof is significantly more involved than the former, which might be the reason that the result is not usually stated in this generality.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *If  $X$  is smooth over a regular Noetherian base ring  $k$ , then  $X$  admits an ample family of line bundles.*

## References

- [Bor63] M. Borelli. Divisorial varieties. *Pacific J. Math.*, 13:375–388, 1963. 3, 4
- [Gro61] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (8):222, 1961. 4
- [Jou73] J. P. Jouanolou. Une suite exacte de Mayer-Vietoris en  $K$ -théorie algébrique. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pages 293–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Springer, Berlin, 1973. 3
- [MV99] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky.  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (90):45–143 (2001), 1999. 1
- [SGA71] *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 225. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1966–1967 (SGA 6), Dirigé par P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck et L. Illusie. Avec la collaboration de D. Ferrand, J. P. Jouanolou, O. Jussila, S. Kleiman, M. Raynaud et J. P. Serre. 3, 4
- [Wei89] C. A. Weibel. Homotopy algebraic  $K$ -theory. In *Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987)*, volume 83 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 461–488. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989. 3
- [Zar47] O. Zariski. The concept of a simple point of an abstract algebraic variety. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 62:1–52, 1947. 4