BOYLE HEIGHTS
BOYLE HEIGHTS BHC SITE BOUNDARIES
Changing Demographics
Population by Race/Ethnicity
1990 to 2005-09

Source: PERE analysis of 1990 and 200 Census data and 2005-2009 ACS data, at the block group level.
Population by Race/Ethnicity
2005-09

Boyle Heights BHC Site
- Latino: 94.3%
- Other: 2.3%

Los Angeles County
- Latino: 47.3%
- Other: 13.1%

California
- Latino: 36.1%
- Other: 6.0%

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data, at the block group level.
Proportion of People of Color
1990 to 2005-2009

Household Language
1990 to 2005-2009

Source: PERE analysis of 1990 and 200 Census data and 2005-2009 ACS data
Percent of Households that are Linguistically Isolated
1990 to 2005-2009

*Linguistically isolated households are those that in which no one age 14 years and over speaks English only or speaks English "very well" (if they speak a non-English language).

Immigrant Integration
Population by Immigration Status 2005-2009

Boyle Heights BHC Site
- Non-foreign born: 51.0%
- Foreign born: 49.0%

Los Angeles County
- Non-foreign born: 64.6%
- Foreign born: 35.4%

California
- Non-foreign born: 73.2%
- Foreign born: 26.8%

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data, at the tract level.

Boyle Heights BHC Site

- Non-foreign born: 51.0%
- Naturalized: 11.5%
- Non-citizen: 37.4%

Los Angeles County

- Non-foreign born: 64.6%
- Naturalized: 15.4%
- Non-citizen: 20.0%

California

- Non-foreign born: 73.2%
- Naturalized: 11.8%
- Non-citizen: 15.0%

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data, at the tract level.
Proportion of Immigrants
1990 to 2005-2009

Country/Region of Origin for Immigrants

Boyle Heights BHC Site
Los Angeles County
California


Prepared by USC PERE | July 2013 | 14
Percent Immigrant by Census Tract, 1990, LA County BHC Sites

Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Immigrant by Census Tract, 2000, LA County BHC Sites

Source: Census 2000, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Immigrant by Census Tract, 2005-09, LA County BHC Sites

Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts.
Geography of Change
Percent Latino by Census Tract, 1980, LA County BHC Sites

Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Latino by Census Tract, 2000, LA County BHC Sites

Source: Census 2000, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Latino by Census Tract, 2010, LA County BHC Sites

Source: GeoLytics 2010 Summary File SF1, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Asian/Pacific Islander by Census Tract, 1980, LA County BHC Sites

Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Asian/Pacific Islander by Census Tract, 1990, LA County BHC Sites

Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Asian/Pacific Islander by Census Tract, 2000, LA County BHC Sites

Source: Census 2000, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Asian/Pacific Islander by Census Tract, 2010, LA County BHC Sites

Source: GeoLytics 2010 Summary File SF1, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent African American by Census Tract, 1980, LA County BHC Sites

Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent African American by Census Tract, 1990, LA County BHC Sites

Percent African American by Census Tract, 2000, LA County BHC Sites

Source: Census 2000, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent African American by Census Tract, 2010, LA County BHC Sites

Source: GeoLytics 2010 Summary File SF1, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Percent Non-Hispanic White by Census Tract, 1980, LA County BHC Sites

Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts.
Percent Non-Hispanic White by Census Tract, 1990, LA County BHC Sites

Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts

Legend:
- Less than 15%
- 15% - 34%
- 35% - 59%
- 60% or more
- Missing data
Percent Non-Hispanic White by Census Tract, 2000, LA County BHC Sites

Source: Census 2000, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Source: GeoLytics 2010 Summary File SF1, and US Census Bureau.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts
Generational Trends
Age by Sex, California, 2005-09

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data, at the block group level.
Age by Sex, Los Angeles County, 2005-09

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data, at the block group level.
Age by Sex, Boyle Heights BHC Site, 2005-09

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data, at the block group level.
Age Distribution by Race/Ethnicity
2005-2009

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data
Dependency Ratio*
1990 to 2005-2009

*The Dependency Ratio is the population above the age of 64 and below the age of 18 as a share of the working age population (ages 18-64).

Youth by Race/Ethnicity*
2005-2009

Boyle Heights BHC Site
- White: 97.3%
- Latino: 2.5%
- Asian or Pacific Islander: 8.5%
- African American or Black: 9.8%
- Other: 6.4%

Los Angeles County
- White: 60.8%
- Latino: 18.4%
- Asian or Pacific Islander: 10.5%
- African American or Black: 3.9%
- Other: 6.4%

California
- White: 48.9%
- Latino: 30.4%
- Asian or Pacific Islander: 10.5%
- African American or Black: 3.9%
- Other: 6.4%

* "Youth" includes everyone less than 18 years old
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Youth in Poverty
1990 to 2005-2009

Percent of Youth in Poverty by Age Group*
1990 to 2005-2009

* "Youth" includes everyone less than 18 years old
Percent of Youth in Poverty by Age Group*
2005-2009

* Youth includes everyone less than 18 years old

Economic Indicators
Percent of Population Below 150 Percent Federal Poverty Line (FPL)*
1990 to 2005-2009

*Includes all persons living in households with household incomes below 150 percent of the FPL.
Median Household Income
1990 to 2005-2009

Data adjusted for inflation using the Consumer Price Index provided by California Department of Finance. Data in 2009 dollars.
Average Per Capita Income
1990 to 2005-2009

Data adjusted for inflation using the Consumer Price Index provided by California Department of Finance. Data in 2009 dollars.
Percent Poverty by Race/Ethnicity

Boyle Heights BHC Site
Los Angeles County
California

Educational Attainment by Race/Ethnicity
2005-2009

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data
Employment Status by Race/Ethnicity
2005-2009

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data
Occupation by Race/Ethnicity
2005-2009

Boyle Heights BHC Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Farming</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Management/Professional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Los Angeles County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Farming</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Management/Professional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PERE analysis 2005-2009 ACS data.
Industry by Sex, 2005-2009

Housing Equity Indicators
Housing Tenure

Housing Tenure by Race/Ethnicity
2005-2009

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data
Overcrowded Housing by Tenure
2005-2009

Boyle Heights BHC Site
Los Angeles County
California

Source: PERE analysis of 2005-2009 ACS data
Health Equity Indicators
32% of adults are uninsured versus 26% in LA County & 22% in CA

48% of adults are insured by private coverage / employer versus 56% in LA County & 61%* in CA

2% of children are uninsured versus 6% in LA County & 5% in CA

* Denotes statistically significant difference from BHC site estimate.

Environmental Justice Indicators
Proximity to Hazards and Sensitive Land Uses
LA County BHC Sites

Source: Rachel Morello-Frosch (UC Berkeley), Manuel Pastor (USC), and James Sadd (Occidental College); analysis by USC's Program for Environmental and Regional Equity (PERE).
Health Risk and Exposure Score, Quintile Ranking by Census Tract

Source: Rachel Morello-Frosch (UC Berkeley), Manuel Pastor (USC), James Sadd (Occidental College); analysis by USC’s Program for Environmental and Regional Equity (PERE).
CUMULATIVE IMPACT

Proximity to Hazards and Land Uses Associated with Air Pollution, and Sensitive Land Uses

Health Risk Indicators

Social Vulnerability Indicators
Regional Economic Trends
Los Angeles County & Boyle Heights BHC Site
Educational Requirements for New Jobs in the Region & Educational Attainment by Race/Ethnicity
Population 25+
(2008-2010)

Educational Requirements (Projected, 2008-2018)

Educational Attainment (2008-10)
Growth in Jobs and Earnings by Wage Level, 1990-2010

- Low-Wage: 7%
- Med-Wage: 9%
- High-Wage: 42%

Jobs:
- Low-Wage: -26%
- Med-Wage: -10%
- High-Wage: 1%

Earnings per worker:
- Low-Wage: 1%
- Med-Wage: 9%
- High-Wage: 42%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>$86,811</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>$61,406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services</td>
<td>$61,239</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Trade</td>
<td>$58,360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Insurance</td>
<td>$55,535</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$53,610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>$52,363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>$50,436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of Companies and Enterprises</td>
<td>$48,922</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care and Social Assistance</td>
<td>$48,871</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate and Rental and Leasing</td>
<td>$43,392</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Warehousing</td>
<td>$43,338</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
<td>$32,820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services (except Public Administration)</td>
<td>$28,862</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation</td>
<td>$28,688</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Services</td>
<td>$28,566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative and Support and Waste Manage</td>
<td>$28,175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting</td>
<td>$23,924</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation and Food Services</td>
<td>$17,903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Neighborhood Index: Using 5 indicators subtracting each from their mean of the county they are in and then dividing by the standard deviation.

Help determine the combined effect of social factors that impact health and well-being, including poverty, unemployment, less than high school education, cancer risk, and premature births.
Data is mapped on 2000 Census tracts